UNCLASSIFIED



Defence Science + Technology

# New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometry Survey 2016-2018: Final Report

**Stephen Kolose** 

Samantha Rodrigues

Allan Keefe

May 2025

# **Defence Science & Technology**

ReportDST R-2025-3ReleaseApproved for public releaseDistributionUnlimited (for public release)

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the valuable contributions of Defence Science and Technology (DST) staff, New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) personnel, Auckland University of Technology (AUT) staff, and FVEY and industry partners. Together, their collaborative efforts and expertise have made this landmark study possible.

#### **Defence Science & Technology**

Te Ope Kātua o Aotearoa | New Zealand Defence Force

Devonport Naval Base Jim Titchener Parade Auckland 0744 New Zealand © Crown Copyright 2025

# Abstract

For over a decade, there has been an increasing demand for NZDF body size data. However, the New Zealand Defence Force has never had an accurate and comprehensive tri-service anthropometric (body size) database. In response, the Defence Technology Agency or DTA (now called 'Defence Science and Technology' or DST) conducted the New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometry Survey (NZDFAS). The aim of this survey was to gather comprehensive anthropometric data to develop an NZDF body scan and measurement database. The NZDFAS will inform NZDF system specifications, design, development and evaluation, and address human systems integration and human performance and health queries. This report describes the methods used to collect 85 manual (traditional anthropometry) and digital anthropometric measurements, on 1,000 NZDF Regular Force personnel (21% female, 79% male), between March 2016 and June 2018. The report also presents the normative summary statistics for each measure. The data is currently being used by the NZDF and data can be accessed through the DST Human Sciences Programme.

# **Executive Summary**

#### Background

Over the last decade, the Defence Technology Agency (DTA), now called Defence Science and Technology (DST), has fielded a number of requests from various units within the New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) for anthropometric data. For example, data has been requested to support weapon systems, air, land and maritime platform design, and personal protective equipment decisions. Due to a lack of quality NZDF anthropometric data, referrals to other countries' population data have been provided. Of all the Five Eyes (FVEY) nations (New Zealand, Australia, Canada, UK and USA), New Zealand was the only country that did not have an anthropometry body measurement database. To provide the NZDF with accurate data, and to bring the NZDF in line with international anthropometry research, an up-to-date and representative body measurement database was required. From 2016-2018, DTA conducted the largest and most comprehensive anthropometric survey in the NZDF and New Zealand.

#### Aim

The aim of the survey was to create an up-to-date, tri-service, anthropometric body scan and measurement database for the NZDF. This report details the study background, the methodology used in the survey and the results of the data collection activities.

#### Results

A review of military anthropometry surveys and international standards identified the potential body measures to be included in the New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometric Survey (NZDFAS). These measures were assessed by subject matter experts to identify the appropriate method for collecting measurement data (i.e. manual techniques, automated 3-D scanning software, or interactively post-processing of 3-D scans using specialised software). A total of 85 anthropometric measures (including weight) were selected for the final dataset. Of these, 25 were to be measured using manual or traditional anthropometry measures, 17 using automated 3-D scanning software and 43 using interactive 3-D post processing software. To support the implementation of the survey and data collection, 21 NZDF personnel were trained to be accredited anthropometrists.

Data collection spanned a period of eight months and was conducted at nine NZDF sites – Whenuapai, Philomel, Papakura, Waiouru, Linton, Ohakea, Trentham, Woodbourne and Burnham.

The survey measured 1,000 Regular Force personnel (211 females, 789 males; mean age 31.3±10 years; age range 18-69 years) from all three services (28.8% Air Force, 58.0% Army, 13.2% Navy), representing 11% of the Regular Force at the time of the survey. Body

measurements were taken manually, using traditional anthropometry methods, followed by a 3-D body scan in a Vitus XXL 3-D body scanner. Participants were also asked to provide comfort ratings for their service combat shirt and trouser and to comment on any issues of body fit with regards to equipment, uniform, platforms, etc.

The overall target for obtaining a representative proportion of female participants was exceeded, but Navy personnel were under-represented for both males and females. Although original target numbers for trade and ethnicity were not met, trades and ethnicities are proportionally represented in the final sample. However, trades that represent the 'front line', such as Combat trades, were under-represented and may form the focus for future immediate surveys.

For all 85 body dimensions, the average measurement was larger for males than females except for 'hip breadth', 'hip breadth, sitting' and 'elbow rest height, sitting'. No statistical significance was found for key measures across the three services, indicating that the NZDFAS may be applied in its entirety to Air Force, Army, or Navy design or acquisition projects. Summary statistics for all measures are provided in Appendix I and should be used for descriptive purposes only. Where relationships between multiple measures are involved (multivariate data), access to the raw dataset is required. In this case, advice should be sought from the DST Human Sciences Programme.

It should be noted that some measures in the database were missing data, particularly in the case of the scan-derived measures. While some gaps can be addressed via mathematical modelling techniques, to avoid these issues and improve scan quality, future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear and adopt the correct posture during scans. The use of an alternate scan posture or a different measurement technique may be more appropriate in some cases.

## Conclusion

The NZDFAS is the first and largest tri-service anthropometry study to date in the NZDF. The NZDFAS database can now be used to inform system specifications, design, development and evaluation, and address human systems integration and human performance and health queries. Data will facilitate the assessment of work activities and operator issues within confined platforms (i.e. vehicles, aircraft, ships, military workstations) and inform the sizing and fit of military clothing and equipment, particularly new acquisitions. NZDF data will be compared with overseas data where appropriate. It is recommended that requests for data be discussed in the first instance with the DST Human Sciences Programme, to ensure correct identification, interpretation and use of database information.

## Contents

A	ABSTRACTIII					
E	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY IV					
1	INTR	RODUCTION	13			
2	BAC	KGROUND	13			
3	мет	HODS	15			
•	3.1		15			
	3.1	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	17			
	0.Z		10			
	3.0		10			
	3/1	Survey locations and venues	10			
	312	Survey locations and venues	10 10			
	35		10			
	3.5		20			
	361		20			
	3.0.1		20			
	3.6.2	l andmarking	21			
	3.6.4	Manual measurements	21 21			
	365	Body scan	24			
	366	Doby Scall	2J 26			
	37		20			
	371	Automated measurements	20			
	372	Manual measurements	20 29			
	373	Diaital measurements using CvSize	30			
	3.7.4	Data outlier and logic checks	31			
4	RES	ULTS	32			
•	л 1					
	4.1		3Z 22			
	4.2	SURVET DEMOGRAPHICS	22 22			
	4.2.7	) Service	22			
	423	Fthnicity	34			
	4.2.4	Trade	.34			
	4.2.5	5 Age	35			
	4.2.6	S Rank	36			
	4.2.7	Length of service	36			
	4.2.8	B Handedness	37			
	4.3	COMPARISON OF SUBGROUPS WITHIN THE NZDFAS	37			
	4.3.1	Across sex	38			
	4.3.2	2 Across service	40			
	4.3.3	Across ethnicity	41			
	4.3.4	Across age	43			
	4.4	MISSING DATA	43			
	4.5	PARTICIPANT COMMENTS RELATING TO CLOTHING AND EQUIPMENT FIT	44			
5	DISC	CUSSION	45			

5.1	BOD	Y DIMENSIONS	45
5.2	SAM	PLE SIZE	45
5.3	Dem	OGRAPHICS	48
5.4	SAM	PLE WEIGHTINGS	49
5.5	Miss	ING DATA	50
5.5.1	1 Q	uality control and improving scan quality	52
5.5.2	2 R	emediation of missing data	52
5.6	Гіміт	ATIONS OF EXISTING DATA	61
5.6.1		omprehensiveness of NZDFAS measures	61
5.6.2	2 C	ollection of supplemental data	62
5.7	APPL	ICATION OF NZDFAS DATA	62
5.8		ATIONS	63
5.9	FUIL	JRE WORK	64
6 CON	ICLU	SIONS	65
REFERE	ICES		65
APPENDI	ХА	MEASUREMENT ROOM LAYOUT	68
APPENDI	ХВ	EQUIPMENT AND INSTRUMENTATION	71
APPENDI	хс	BRIEFING PROCEDURE AND INFORMED CONSENT	77
APPENDI	ХD	NZDFAS DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONNAIRE	80
APPENDI	ХE	METHODS TO ADDRESS SCAN ANOMALIES	82
APPENDI	ΧF	LANDMARKS	84
MARKE	D LAI	NDMARKS	86
Acro	mion,	Right and Left	86
Ante	rior Se	cye/Axilla	87
Butte	ock Po	int Posterior	87
Cervi	icale (	С7, Nape)	88
Croto	ch Poi	nt	88
Dact	ylion	111	89
Ecto	canth	<i>us</i>	89
Glab	ella		89
Hallı	IX		90
Ilioci	ristale	,	90
Medi	al ma	lleolus	91
Midp	atella	·	91
Mids	hould	er	92
Mids	tylion	D-44	92
Oleci	ranon	Bottom	93
Uleci	unon tocl T	KEUI	93 01
Pupil Dadi	alo	UIIIL	94 01
Ruuli Saca	uie nd Th	oracic Vertehra (T2)	94 05
Secon	nu 111 nn	01 ucie vei cebi u (12)	95 95
Suhn	nandi	hular	96
Sunn	anate	lla	96
Tent	h Rih		97

#### UNCLASSIFIED

The	elion/Bustpoint	
Thi	igh Point Top	
Tib	iale Laterale	
Tra	apezius Point, Right and Left	
Tro	ochanterion	
Wa	ist Preferred, Anterior and Posterior	
UNMA	ARKED LANDMARKS	
Acr	opodion	
Bic	eps Point	
Del	Itoid Muscle	102
Ing	uinal Point	
Mei	tacarpali II and V	
Mei	tatarsophalangeal Protrusion I and V	
Om	phalion	
Opi	isthocranion	
Pte	rnion	105
Sup	orasternale	105
Sub	osternale	
Tra	agion, Right and Left	
Ver	tex	
Zyg	jion, Right and Left	
APPEN	DIX G MEASUREMENT GUIDE	
G.1	HEIGHTS & CLEARANCES	
G.2	Breadths	
G.3	Lengths & Depths	
G.4	Reaches	
G.5	CIRCUMFERENCES	
G.6	HAND MEASURES	
G.7	FOOT MEASURES	
G.8	Head & Face Measures	
APPEN	DIX H MEASUREMENT DESCRIPTION	
H.1	ABDOMINAL EXTENSION DEPTH. SITTING	
H.2	ACROMIAL HEIGHT, STANDING	
H.3	ACROMIAL HEIGHT, SITTING	
H.4	ACROMION-RADIALE LENGTH	
H.5	ANKLE CIRCUMFERENCE	
H.6	ARM SPAN	119
H.7	AXILLA HEIGHT	
H.8	BALL OF FOOT CIRCUMFERENCE	
H.9	BALL OF FOOT LENGTH	
H.10	BIACROMIAL BREADTH	
H.11	BICEPS CIRCUMFERENCE, FLEXED	
H.12	BIDELTOID BREADTH	
H.13	BITRAGION SUBMANDIBULAR ARC	
H.14	BIZYGOMATIC BREADTH	
H.15	BUTTOCK CIRCUMFERENCE	
H.16	Виттоск Depth	

#### UNCLASSIFIED

H.17	Виттоск Неіднт	130
H.18	BUTTOCK-HEEL LENGTH	131
H.19	BUTTOCK-KNEE LENGTH	132
H.20	BUTTOCK-POPLITEAL LENGTH	133
H.21	CALF CIRCUMFERENCE	134
H.22	Cervicale Height	135
H.23	Cervicale Height, Sitting	136
H.24	CHEST BREADTH	137
H.25	Снеят Depth	138
H.26	CHEST HEIGHT, SITTING	139
H.27	CHEST/BUST CIRCUMFERENCE	140
H.28	CHEST/BUST HEIGHT	141
H.29	Скотсн Неіднт	142
H.30	CROTCH LENGTH	143
H.31	CROTCH-WAIST LENGTH PREFERRED, ANTERIOR	144
H.32	CROTCH-WAIST LENGTH PREFERRED, POSTERIOR	145
H.33	ELBOW CIRCUMFERENCE	146
H.34	ELBOW REST HEIGHT	147
H.35	ELBOW REST HEIGHT, SITTING	148
H.36	Elbow-Fingertip Length	149
H.37	ELBOW-GRIP LENGTH	150
H.38	EYE HEIGHT	151
H.39	EYE HEIGHT, SITTING	152
H.40	FOOT BREADTH	153
H.41	FOOT LENGTH	154
H.42	FOREARM-FOREARM BREADTH	155
H.43	FUNCTIONAL GRIP REACH	156
H.44	HAND BREADTH	157
H.45	HAND CIRCUMFERENCE	158
H.46	HAND LENGTH	159
H.47	HEAD BREADTH	160
H.48	HEAD CIRCUMFERENCE	161
H.49	Head Length	162
H.50	HIP BREADTH, SITTING	163
H.51	HIP BREADTH, STANDING	164
H.52	HIP CIRCUMFERENCE, MAXIMUM	165
H.53	ILIOCRISTALE HEIGHT	166
H.54	INDEX FINGER BREADTH, DISTAL	167
H.55	INDEX FINGER BREADTH, PROXIMAL	168
H.56	INDEX FINGER REACH	169
H.57	INTERPUPILLARY BREADTH	170
H.58	KNEE CIRCUMFERENCE	171
H.59	KNEE HEIGHT	172
H.60	KNEE HEIGHT, SITTING	173
H.61	Malleolus-Hallux Length	174
H.62	NECK CIRCUMFERENCE, BASE	175
H.63	PALM LENGTH	176
H.64	POPLITEAL HEIGHT	177
H.65	RADIALE-STYLION LENGTH	178

H.66	SHOULDER-ELBOW LENGTH	179
H.67	SITTING HEIGHT	180
H.68	SLEEVE OUTSEAM	181
H.69	Stature	182
H.70	SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	183
H.71	Т2 Неіднт	184
H.72	Телтн Rib Height	185
H.73	THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	186
H.74	THIGH CLEARANCE	187
H.75	ТНИМВТІР REACH	188
H.76	TIBIALE-LATERALE HEIGHT	189
H.77	TROCHANTERION HEIGHT	190
H.78	VERTICAL TRUNK CIRCUMFERENCE	191
H.79	WAIST BREADTH	192
H.80	WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE, NATURAL INDENTATION	193
H.81		194
H.82		
H.83		
H.84	WEIGHT	
H.85	WRIST CIRCUMFERENCE	198
APPEN	IDIX I SUMMARY STATISTICS	199
1.1	ABDOMINAL EXTENSION DEPTH. SITTING	
1.2	ACROMIAL HEIGHT	
1.3	ACROMIAL HEIGHT, SITTING	
1.4	ACROMION-RADIALE LENGTH	203
1.5	ANKLE CIRCUMFERENCE	204
I.6	ARM SPAN	205
1.7	AXILLA HEIGHT	206
1.8	BALL OF FOOT CIRCUMFERENCE	207
1.9	BALL OF FOOT LENGTH	208
I.10	BIACROMIAL BREADTH	209
I.11	BICEPS CIRCUMFERENCE, FLEXED	210
I.12	BIDELTOID BREADTH	211
I.13	BITRAGION SUBMANDIBULAR ARC	212
I.14	BIZYGOMATIC BREADTH	213
I.15	BUTTOCK CIRCUMFERENCE	214
I.16	Виттоск Depth	215
I.17	Виттоск Неіднт	216
I.18	BUTTOCK-HEEL LENGTH	217
I.19	Виттоск-Клее Length	218
1.20	BUTTOCK-POPLITEAL LENGTH	219
I.21	CALF CIRCUMFERENCE	220
1.22	CERVICALE HEIGHT	221
1.23	CERVICALE HEIGHT, SITTING	222
1.24	CHEST BREADTH	223
1.25		
1.26		225
1.27	CHEST/BUST CIRCUMFERENCE	226

1.28	Снеѕт/Виѕт Неіднт	. 227
I.29	Спотсн Неіднт	. 228
1.30	CROTCH LENGTH	. 229
I.31	CROTCH-WAIST LENGTH PREFERRED, ANTERIOR	. 230
1.32	CROTCH-WAIST LENGTH PREFERRED, POSTERIOR	. 231
1.33	ELBOW CIRCUMFERENCE	. 232
1.34	ELBOW REST HEIGHT	. 233
1.35	ELBOW REST HEIGHT, SITTING	. 234
1.36	Elbow-Fingertip Length	. 235
1.37	Elbow-Grip Length	. 236
1.38	Еүе Неіднт	. 237
1.39	EYE HEIGHT, SITTING	. 238
1.40	FOOT BREADTH	. 239
I.41	FOOT LENGTH	. 240
1.42	Forearm-Forearm Breadth	. 241
1.43	FUNCTIONAL GRIP REACH	. 242
1.44	HAND BREADTH	. 243
1.45	HAND CIRCUMFERENCE	. 244
1.46	Hand Length	. 245
1.47	Head Breadth	. 246
1.48	HEAD CIRCUMFERENCE	. 247
1.49	Head Length	. 248
1.50	HIP BREADTH. SITTING	. 249
1.51	HIP BREADTH, STANDING	. 250
1.52	HIP CIRCUMFERENCE. MAXIMUM	. 251
1.53	LIOCRISTALE HEIGHT	. 252
1.54	INDEX FINGER BREADTH, DISTAL	. 253
1.55	INDEX FINGER BREADTH, PROXIMAL	. 254
1.56	INDEX FINGER REACH	. 255
1.57	INTERPUPILLARY BREADTH	. 256
1.58		. 257
1.59	KNEE HEIGHT	. 258
1.60	KNEE HEIGHT. SITTING	. 259
1.61	Malleolus-Hallux Length	. 260
1.62	Neck Circumference. Base	. 261
1.63	Palm Length	. 262
1.64	Popliteal Height	. 263
1.65	RADIALE-STYLION LENGTH	. 264
1.66	SHOULDER-ELBOW LENGTH	. 265
1.67	SITTING HEIGHT	. 266
1.68	SLEEVE OUTSEAM	. 267
1.69	STATURE	. 268
1.70	SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	. 269
1.71	Т2 Неіднт	. 270
1.72	Телтн Rib Height	. 271
1.73	Thigh Circumference	. 272
1.74	THIGH CLEARANCE	. 273
1.75	ТНИМВТІР REACH	. 274
1.76	TIBIALE-LATERALE HEIGHT	. 275
-		

1.77	TROCHANTERION HEIGHT	276		
1.78	VERTICAL TRUNK CIRCUMFERENCE	277		
1.79	WAIST BREADTH	278		
1.80	WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE, NATURAL INDENTATION	279		
I.81	WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE, PREFERRED	280		
1.82	WAIST DEPTH	281		
1.83	WAIST HEIGHT PREFERRED, POSTERIOR	282		
1.84	WEIGHT	283		
1.85	WRIST CIRCUMFERENCE	284		
APPENDIX J MINIMUM SAMPLING REQUIRMENTS 2				
SYMBOL	SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS 2			

# 1 Introduction

The New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometry Survey (NZDFAS) is a large-scale, triservice, anthropometric study of New Zealand's military personnel. The survey was endorsed by Vice Chief of the Defence Force, Chief of Army, Chief of Air Force, and Chief of Navy in 2015. The first of its kind, the survey's aim was to capture a representative sample of the NZDF, with a target of 1,096 members of the Regular Forces across nine defence locations. The data collected would be used to support future capability decision making and other human performance, human engineering, clothing, and health-related research.

The survey was led by the Defence Technology Agency (DTA) Operations Analysis and Human Systems group (OAHS)<sup>1</sup> and implemented with the help of qualified and trained anthropometrists from the NZDF and Auckland University of Technology (AUT). The main data collection period occurred between March 2016 and September 2016. A follow-up data collection activity occurred at Papakura Military Camp in June 2018. The survey had ethics approval from the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (14/126 NZDF anthropometry survey: Variations in Kinanthropometry and implications for the New Zealand Defence Force).

The aim of the survey was to develop a database of representative and up-to-date, triservice, anthropometric measurements and 3-D body scans of NZDF Regular Force personnel.

# 2 Background

In 2015, data relating to the anthropometric dimensions of NZDF personnel, and New Zealanders in general, were extremely limited and a comprehensive survey suitable for human engineering design and ergonomic applications had never been undertaken. As no 'New Zealand' data existed, researchers typically relied on data from military allies (e.g. Australia, US or UK). To address this gap, some researchers generated New Zealand data based on overseas datasets. For example, Slappendel and Wilson derived anthropometric estimates for New Zealanders by 'ratio scaling' British data [1] against stature data collected during the health check examination of the Life in New Zealand (LINZ) survey in 1990. The LINZ survey collected data from 1,610 females and 1,405 males, randomly selected from the electoral rolls [2]. The use of overseas data and ratio scaling can result

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Now called the Defence Science and Technology (DST) 'Human Sciences Programme' (HSP)

in large inaccuracies due to body shape and size differences between different populations and ethnicities.

The largest New Zealand military anthropometry survey was conducted in 1974 by the Royal New Zealand Air Force (RNZAF) Aviation Medicine Unit (AMU) in Auckland. The purpose of this survey was to better inform the procurement of flying clothing size ranges and to compare New Zealand anthropometry data to international military organisations. The study measured 238 male Aircrew between the ages of 18 and 49 years and captured 62 measurements per participant. Despite the large scale (high number of participants) and breadth (high number of measurements) of this study, few people knew of its existence as it was only published as an internal report [3].

An anthropometric survey of feet was conducted on members of the New Zealand Army in 2011 to provide a normative set of data to support the specification and development of footwear [4]. Four measurements (foot length, breadth, circumference and arch height) were obtained from a convenience sample of 807 New Zealand Army Regular Force personnel. Unfortunately, no demographic data were recorded so it is not possible to identify if the sample was representative of the New Zealand Army or if there were differences between demographic groups (e.g. men and women). Results of this study suggest that the arch height of the New Zealand Army is different from the general population and that approximately 50% of soldiers were wearing the wrong size boot. Despite a lack of demographic data, the authors speculate that the differences in foot characteristics demonstrated by the New Zealand Army may be due to the greater prevalence of Māori and Pacific Islanders.

Since 2013, DTA has received many anthropometry-related requests from the NZDF including:

- Arm length dimensions for the In-Service Weapons Upgrade Program (ISWRUP);
- Weight and sitting hip breadth data for the new Navy lifeboat;
- Standing eye height, functional reach and reach envelope measurements for the Navy bridge console design;
- Height and weight data for Navy bunk bed sizes;
- Weight and seated measurements (e.g. hip breadth, knee length and knee height) for the NH90 cabin seat;
- Assistance with taking RNZAF aircrew anthropometry measurements.

The lack of NZDF (or NZ civilian population) data to inform these requests highlighted the need for a database of up-to-date NZDF anthropometric data, and trained personnel to collect and interpret the information. This backed up a 2008 scoping study conducted by Professor Stephen Legg at Massey University [2] which recommended i) the creation of a trained anthropometry team and ii) the procurement of a 3-D body scanner to help automate anthropometry data collection. As a result, in 2013, DTA purchased the Human Solutions Vitus XXL 3-D Body Laser scanner. In preparation for an NZDF-wide Anthropometry Survey, 18 NZDF personnel were trained and accredited as International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) Level 2 anthropometrists.

This report describes the background to the development of the NZDF Anthropometry Survey (NZDFAS), the methodology used and the results of each phase of the survey. Recommendations for future directions are provided.

# 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Measurement selection

In 2014, DTA conducted a review of international military anthropometric surveys and NZDF requirements to identify the most appropriate body measurements for the New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometry Survey (NZDFAS). This list of measures was assessed to determine if these measures could feasibly be obtained by 3-D body scans using automated, or semi-automated, data processing techniques. To support this assessment, three validation trials were conducted to compare automated measurements extracted from 3-D body scans to manual measurements on select anthropometric measures [5]. As a result, a total of 85 NZDFAS body measurements were identified, with 17 to be extracted automatically using Anthroscan software (Human Solutions GmbH, Kaiserslautern, Germany), 25 manually using traditional tapes and callipers, and 43 semi-automatically using CySize software (Headus 3D Pty, Orchard Part, Australia). The final list was reviewed by an ISAK Level 4 Anthropometrist<sup>2</sup> and international subject matter experts in 3-D body scanning. The final list of measures is provided in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ISAK Level 4 is the most senior level in the ISAK anthropometry accreditation scheme and is reserved for a relatively small group of internationally recognised anthropometrists. It represents a criterion anthropometrist and recognises the following: (i) several years of experience in taking ISAK-approved body measurements, (ii) a high level of theoretical knowledge, (iii) involvement in teaching/examining ISAK courses and workshops, (iv) involvement in large anthropometric research projects, and (v) a significant publication record in anthropometry.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Anthroscan Automated	Manual measures	CySize Digital measures			
measures (17)	(25)	(43)			
Ankle Circumference	Arm Span	Abdominal Extension Depth,			
Buttock Circumference	Ball Of Foot Circumference	Sitting			
Buttock Height	Ball Of Foot Length	Acromial Height			
Calf Circumference	Biceps Circumference, Flexed	Acromial Height, Sitting			
Chest/Bust Circumference	Bitragion Submandibular Arc	Acromiale-Radiale Length			
Chest/Bust Height	Bizygomatic Breadth	Axilla Height			
Crotch Length	Buttock-Heel Length	Biacromial Breadth			
Elbow Circumference	Elbow-Grip Length	Bideltoid Breadth			
Head Circumference	Elbow Rest Height, Standing	Buttock Depth			
Knee Height	Foot Breadth	Buttock-Knee Length			
Neck Circumference, Base	Foot Length	Buttock-Popliteal Length			
Stature	Forearm-Forearm Breadth	Cervicale Height			
Suprasternale Height	Functional Grip Reach	Cervicale Height, Sitting			
Thigh Circumference	Hand Breadth	Chest Breadth			
Waist Circumference, Natural	Hand Circumference	Chest Depth			
Indentation	Hand Length	Chest Height, Sitting			
Weight	Head Breadth	Crotch Height			
wrist Circumference	Head Length Index Finger Breadth. Distal	Anterior			
	Index Finger Breadth, Proximal	Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Posterior			
	Index Filiger Reach	Elbow-Fingertip Length			
	Palm Longth	Elbow Rest Height, Sitting			
	Sitting Height	Eye Height			
	Thumbtin Reach	Eye Height, Sitting			
	Thumbup Reach	Hip Breadth, Sitting			
		Hip Breadth, Standing			
		Hip Circumference, Maximum			
		Iliocristale Height			
		Knee Circumference			
		Knee Height, Sitting			
		Malleolus-Hallux Length			
		Popliteal Height			
		Radiale-Stylion Length			
		Second Thoracic Vertebrae (T2) Height			
		Shoulder-Elbow Length			
		Sleeve Outseam			
		Tenth Rib Height			
		Thigh Clearance			
		Tibiale-Laterale Height			
		Trochanterion Height			
		Vertical Trunk Circumference			
		Waist Breadth			
		Waist Circumference, Preferred			
		Waist Depth			
		Waist Height Preferred, Posterior			

#### Table 1. Full list of NZDFAS body measurements.

#### 3.2 Sample identification

A minimum sample size that ensures a valid representation of body dimensions across the NZDF was determined using a power analysis equation from ISO 15535:2006 [6]. The formula, provided in Equation 1, is based on the probability that a survey population will provide sufficient fidelity to represent the true population in question, between the 5th and 95th percentiles, with 95% confidence and 1% relative accuracy. This is a common method applied by other international military organisations [7], 8].

$$N = \left(\frac{1.96 \times CV}{a}\right)^2 \times 1.534^2$$
 (1) ISO 15535:2006 sample size estimate.

Where:

*N*= the minimum sample size;

*1.96* = the critical *z* value from a standard normal distribution for a 95% confidence interval;

*CV*= the coefficient of variation for the measure to be assessed;

*a* = the percentage of relative accuracy required (typically 1%).

Note that this formula was applied to each measure indicated in Table 1 to determine the minimum sample size for that measure. Typically, the Coefficient of Variation (CV) is obtained from a previous survey of similar demographics. As no recent anthropometry data existed for the NZDF, the measure that obtained the greatest CV% in the NZDFAS validation study [5] was used<sup>3</sup>. This measure was Waist Height (CV 12.9%), which generated a minimum required sample size of 1,504 (17% of the NZDF population) for the study. An assessment of the CVs of 140 measures in the 1997 Canadian Land Forces Survey demonstrated that a sample size of 1,000 for each sex was sufficient to achieve 1% relative accuracy for 131 male and 126 female measures [9]. Examples of measures common to the NZDFAS requiring a sample size greater than 1,000 include Elbow Rest Height, Waist Circumference, Waist Depth and Weight. If a relative accuracy of 2% was deemed to be acceptable, then all measures of the NZDFAS could be adequately represented by a sample size of 1,000 males and 1,000 females. Achieving the ISO recommended sample size for both sexes is challenging for the NZDF as it would require measuring almost every woman in the NZDF and approximately 13% of all men. Advice from international partners recommended a minimum sample size of 10% of the total population. To capture more participants and to mitigate against potential nonrespondents and withdrawals, a target of 15% was set for the NZDF survey. When applied

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note that the validation study contained only 12 participants. The Waist Height CV of 12.9% observed in the validation study was much greater than the 5.1% seen in ANSUR II [15, 16].

to the 2015 NZDF Regular Force population of 8,885, the 15% target was equivalent to 1,333 personnel.

A random sampling strategy was used to select the 1,333 personnel to receive an invitation to participate, with the three services, the nine camps/bases, gender, ethnicity, and trades across the NZDF all appropriately represented. Of the selected personnel, only 1,096 (82%) could be contacted. Thus, the survey target was readjusted to 1,096 personnel or 12% of the NZDF population. Of those who could not be contacted, errors in personnel records, posting cycles and personnel leaving the organisation accounted for lack of contact information. Inclusion criteria for personnel included being a member of the Regular Force and being based at one of the camps/bases included in the survey plan (see Section 3.4).

#### 3.3 Data collection team

The NZDFAS data collection team consisted of 21 personnel made up of DTA Scientists and NZDF Physical Training Instructors (PTIs) and Medics. The team was trained and accredited to ISAK Level 2, with two individuals at ISAK Level 1. The ISAK accreditation, commonly used for anthropometric assessments, ensured that all team members were able to locate specific human anatomical landmarks and take accurate measurements within specified technical errors of measurement [10]. Team members also completed a conversion course, run by DTA, to learn the NZDFAS landmarks and measurements, which differ from ISAK measurements.

Training was complete when participants achieved satisfactory inter-rater reliability (within 2%) with the Lead Researcher, who was an experienced ISAK Level 2 anthropometrist. The Lead Researcher provided regular feedback to the technical team throughout data collection to ensure quality control.

Nine team members were also trained as body scanner operators. At any one data collection site, the minimum data collection team consisted of six personnel (one receptionist, two ISAK Level 2 qualified measurers, two data recording scribes and one body scanner operator).

## 3.4 Location and set-up

#### 3.4.1 Survey locations and venues

The survey was conducted at the following nine locations:

Royal New Zealand Air Force

- Whenuapai Airforce Base (Sioux Block)
- Ohakea Airforce Base (Squadron 3 Hangar)
- Woodbourne Airforce Base (Conference Centre)

New Zealand Army

- Papakura Military Camp (Conference Centre)
- Waiouru Military Camp (Workwear Clothing Store)
- Linton Military Camp (Conference Centre)
- Trentham Military Camp (Band Room)
- Burnham Military Camp (Gymnasium)

Royal New Zealand Navy

• Devonport Naval Base (Navy Amenities A Building)

#### 3.4.2 Survey layout and equipment

Where available, each survey site consisted of a briefing area, two measurement rooms and a body scanning room or area. The briefing desk consisted of a laptop equipped with an Anthroscan demographic questionnaire (digital), participant information sheets and informed consent forms.

Each measurement room contained two tables: one for the measurement equipment and one for the data collection laptop. See Appendix A for detailed information about the layout and placement of items and Appendix B for a detailed list of measuring equipment.

## 3.5 Body scanner

As per the advice provided by Massey University to procure a 3-D body scanner for survey purposes, the NZDFAS acquired a Human Solutions Vitus XXL whole body laser scanner (Human Solutions GmbH, Kaiserslautern, Germany). This scanner projects non-ionising laser light onto the body with the reflection captured by cameras as a series of points (between 700,000 and 1,000,000) with Cartesian coordinates. Accuracy of the Vitus XXL is  $\pm 1$  mm with a resolution of 27 points/cm<sup>3</sup>. The scanner is comprised of four scan heads/sensor assemblies, one in each of the scanner columns. Scan images are collected from each assembly using optical triangulation and are merged to create a single 'digital statue'. Total scan volume is 2100 mm x 1200 mm x 1,000 mm. The scanner uses eye-safe Class 1 visible red laser light and was manufactured and developed in compliance with the regulations of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration pertaining to laser safety (21CFR1040.10 and 21CDR1040.11).

As the external dimensions of the scanner are 2950 mm x 2200 mm x 2200 mm, survey locations were required to have a ceiling height of at least 3.1 m and a floor area more than 4 m<sup>2</sup>. An ability to darken the room was also required to optimise the scan quality. Additional space was also required to accommodate a small desk for the computer. The measurement rooms were required to be in close vicinity to the body scanner and were set up in accordance with the requirements provided in Appendix A.

#### **3.6 Data collection procedure**

Data collection and analysis was based on manufacturer instructions and standards followed by other large-scale military anthropometry surveys (e.g. the Australian Warfighter Anthropometry Survey (AWAS) [7, [11]2[13]3], the Anthropometric Survey of the Royal Australian Navy (ASRAN) [14], and the Anthropometric Surveys of U.S. Army Personnel (ANSUR II) [15, 16] and U.S. Marine Corps Personnel [17]. Defence Research and Development Canada (DRDC) also provided video resources and a batch file conversion tool to facilitate converting scan images from their native body scan raw .bsf file format to the more common .obj file format.

A typical data collection day consisted of the following six key activities:

- 1. Vitus XXL calibration
  - As per manufacturer's instructions
- 2. Participant registration
  - Overview of the study provided
  - Informed consent obtained
  - Unique participant ID assigned
  - Demographic data collected
  - Feedback on issues of equipment fit and uniform fit collected
- 3. Landmarking
  - Body landmarks identified via palpation (Appendix F)
- 4. Manual measurements
  - Manual anthropometric measurements obtained in accordance with Appendix G
- 5. Body scan
  - 3-D body scans obtained in each of the standing (2) and seated (1) postures
- 6. Data backup
  - All data incrementally saved to three external hard drives at the end of each day

Each of these steps are discussed in greater detail in the following sub-sections.

## 3.6.1 Calibration

All survey equipment was calibrated regularly. Manual measurement equipment, e.g. callipers, was calibrated using a ruler or tape measure. The body scanner platform scale and manual (SECA) scales were calibrated using a 20 kg weight. The body scanner was calibrated daily as per manufacturer's instructions, by scanning the Human Solutions calibration column in five predefined locations centred on the body scan volume. The Anthroscan 'register' function uses the position and dimensional data from these scans to

calculate the appropriate calibration matrix. Full details of the calibration procedure are provided in the Vitus XXL instruction manual [16].

## 3.6.2 Brief

Participants were briefed individually before participating in the survey (Figure 1). The brief consisted of a short introduction to the study covering the aim, purpose, application of data and testing procedure. Each participant was requested to read and sign an informed consent form (Appendix C) and informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequence. An electronic demographic questionnaire (Appendix D) was then administered, consisting of age, gender, posting location, handedness, ethnicity, job/role, uniform shirt and trouser size, and uniform shirt and trouser perceived fit (rated on a Likert scale from 1 = Very good to 5 = Very poor).

On completion of the demographic questionnaire, each participant was invited to provide additional open-ended comments regarding issues of fit associated with the equipment they use and wear when carrying out their work.



Figure 1. Example briefing area.

## 3.6.3 Landmarking

To facilitate ease and consistency of measuring, key anatomical landmarks were identified and marked on each participant. After the briefing and signing of the informed consent form, each participant was directed to a private booth where they disrobed to their undergarments. Participants were informed to wear form-fitting shorts (and bras for women).

They were then landmarked by a trained anthropometrist. Male and female anthropometrists were available, to accommodate participant preference. Landmarks were identified by palpation or visual identification and indicated with a mark from a felt tip pen or whiteboard marker (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Examples of physical (manual) landmarking.

To obtain the body dimension measures, a total of 22 surface landmarks were required to be marked, which took an average of 10 minutes to complete. Landmarks were taken from the facial midline or the right side of the body, as appropriate. In certain instances, such as the tragion and acromion, bilateral landmarks were marked to facilitate breadth measures. If a right-side landmark could not be identified due to injury or physical deformity, then the left side of the body was landmarked. Certain physical landmarks were used for both manual and digital measures (indicated by the underlined text in Table 2) – these 16 landmarks were later marked with a black ring sticker prior to body scanning for easy visual identification on the 3-D scan. A further 20 landmarks were identified digitally on each 3-D scan, using CySize software. A visual guide to each landmark is provided in Appendix F.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Physical landmarks (via palpation)	Digital landmarks (via CySize©)
<u>Acromion, Left</u>	<u>Acromion, Left</u>
<u>Acromion, Right</u>	<u>Acromion, Right</u>
Anterior Scye/Axilla	<u>Anterior Scye/Axilla</u>
<u>Cervicale (C7 Vertebra)</u>	Buttock Point
<u>Iliocristale</u>	Buttock Point, Sitting
<u>Midpatella</u>	Centre Wrist
<u>Midshoulder</u>	<u>Cervicale (C7 Vertebra)</u>
Midstylion	Cervicale, Sitting
<u>Radiale</u>	Crotch Point
<u>Second Thoracic Vertebra (T2)</u>	Dactylion III
<u>Stylion</u>	Ectocanthus
Submandibular	Ectocanthus, Sitting
<u>Suprapatella</u>	Hallux
<u>Tenth Rib</u>	<u>Iliocristale</u>
<u>Tibiale Laterale</u>	Medial Malleolus
Tragion, Left	<u>Midpatella</u>
Tragion, Right	<u>Midshoulder</u>
Trapezius Point, Left	Olecranon, Bottom
Trapezius Point, Right	Olecranon, Rear
<u>Trochanterion</u>	Popliteal Point
Waist Preferred, Anterior	<u>Radiale</u>
Waist Preferred, Posterior	Seat Pan Height Marker
	<u>Second Thoracic Vertebra (T2)</u>
	<u>Stylion</u>
	<u>Suprapatella</u>
	<u>Tenth Rib</u>
	Thelion/Bustpoint
	Thelion/Bustpoint, Projected
	Thelion/Bustpoint, Sitting
	Thigh Point, Top
	<u>Tibiale Laterale</u>
	<u>Trochanterion</u>
	Waist Breadth, Left
	Waist Breadth, Right
	<u>Waist Preferred, Anterior</u>
	Waist Preferred, Posterior
	Waist Breadth, Left Waist Breadth, Right <u>Waist Preferred, Anterior</u> <u>Waist Preferred, Posterior</u>

# Table 2. Marked NZDFAS physical and digital landmarks. Underlined landmarks were used for both physical and digital measures.

#### 3.6.4 Manual measurements

For each participant, a trained anthropometrist took 25 manual measurements (Table 1). Due to technical <sup>4</sup> and scan volume limitations, these measurements could not be accurately captured by the body scanner and hence were collected manually. Various tools such as a stadiometer, anthropometry box, measuring tapes, rulers, anthropometer and callipers were used (see Figure 3 for an example of these tools in use). All manual measurement equipment was borrowed from the Auckland University of Technology (AUT) Sports Performance Research Institute of New Zealand (SPRINZ).

All measurements were taken on the right side of the body unless there was an injury or deformity present (in which case they were taken on the left side). See Appendix H for a complete description of each measure definition and measurement procedure. The measuring process took, on average, approximately 30 minutes to complete.



Figure 3. Examples of manual measurement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In cases where the measurement is small (e.g. index finger breadth, distal) or where the measurement relates to the foot, artefacts are common.

On completion of all manual measures, the participant re-dressed and was escorted to the body scanner waiting area.

#### 3.6.5 Body scan

Once at the body scanner location, the participant was required to undress to their undergarments. Participants were instructed to wear form-fitting underwear, as loosefitting boxer-type briefs are not suitable for obtaining an accurate scan of the pelvis, crotch and upper thighs.

The body scan operator placed a landmark sticker over landmarked locations, to enhance detection of the landmark in the scan image. The stickers were black or white rings (14 mm diameter with 6 mm hole), as appropriate, to achieve maximum contrast to the participant's skin tone and accurate positioning of the sticker in relation to the marked landmark. Participants were then positioned (by the operator) on the body scanner podium in each of three scan postures (two standing and one sitting), as depicted in Figure 4. These postures are based on those used in AWAS [11]. Note that Posture 2 deviated from the equivalent Human Solutions posture, which indicates the hands being held in a loose fist (Figure 5).



Figure 4. Body scanner postures. Illustration used with permission [9, 12].



Figure 5. Preparing participant for body scan (Posture 2).

Immediately after each scan, the body scan operator checked to ensure a) that the 16 physical-digital landmarks were present and clearly visible, b) that participant posture and positioning was correct (a strict requirement for the 3-D analysis process), and c) that the scan image was of good quality. If any scans were deemed inadequate, the scanning process was repeated. Once scans of each of the three postures had been successfully captured, the data collection was considered complete, and the participant was able to re-dress and leave. Stickers were removed by the scan operator and marked landmarks cleaned with alcohol swabs.

## 3.6.6 Data backup

At the end of each data collection day, all .bsf files, PErsonal DAta (PEDA) files (containing demographic information) and manual measures (.xls) files were copied on to three external hard drives. PEDA files were then copied from the briefing laptop to the participants' respective scan folders on the body scanner computer.

## 3.7 Analysis

#### 3.7.1 Automated measurements

All body scan images were uploaded to a Human Solutions Anthroscan database. Anthroscan uses a special automated landmarking and measurement algorithm and measurement definitions derived from ISO 7250 to automatically derive a number of body measurements (Figure 6). Seventeen measurements in this survey were obtained using these automated algorithms and are listed in Table 3.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Masure 30			100 M 100 M 100	+ Measure Inspector		1.1	
▶★ ポノキ 目前の無 护民 あき				File Sole Options			
				Warnet   Warnet Confederation	Peaker Points		
				and a second sec	M take	Trade CR	100
<u></u>	and the second sec			and the second s	AT14 187.5		Constant.
	a laboration			School Sector	000 97.2 25	1	- Servers
	A COLOR	20000	R. A.	time height	0110 81.7.09	c	Standard
			10.1	freest height	0170 138.3 cm	c	Sterdard
	1			Neck height front	0380 136-1 05	с	Standard
		4.6.7		Neck at base grth:	1520 45.5 cm	c	Standard
	The state of the s			Head droumference	1530 17.5 cm	c	Standard
				Crotch weight	5010 BLB (Th	e e	Standard Chandred
	AND STOL			Defend on the	200 902.1 00	é.	Ganlard
	A MANAGERIA			they arthrupt	8531 28.2 09	c	standard
		and the second se		Wrist pretright	8551 18.0 cm	. C	Standard
				Thigh grith right (horizontal)	9511 39.0 cm	с	Sardard
	1000	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL		call grounghe	9941 38.7 01	¢	Standard
			A TURN AND	Aride getterigen	9051 30.2 0*	6	Standard
	20 1 20			wege	9900 82.0 kg	c	E Starsland
	B 3 - 12/2		IN INCOME.				
	E 3 312 3						
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1505 T					
No. KEODERINGSON (M	AND A PARTY OF						
	STATISTICS.						_
	NEW AND						
	1.550						
	a second						
	1000		ARABINE .				
	1 14 1441		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				_
	A STATE STATE						
	124-045						
1027 W120	2	10日 10月	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL				
	AN INCOME.						
PE MN	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O	501 802			100.77		
	1000	19420 USE	10 100		200 m		
					2 EAds	hidete	
1 101			1 2000		5		
			1000397	11/			
		10.0 0.0	NUMBER			-50	ve.
	39.80						1.2
30 NG	ABUR	W1 827				00	10
	1)-862					binere the	the second se
and a state	1:00					P. B. B. D.	
17 N		JA 480	10000				187.2 cm
	48.4		18300				and the second states of the
		(international and international and inte	10000S		Body heigh	10	
	And Designation		100.00				
	ALC: NOT		TO STORE				
			Contraction of the local division of the loc	14	4		
Destanting			This is a second of the local sector.				
			your to promitiy a stell makes	1			

Figure 6. Example of Anthroscan automated measurement. Body Height (Stature) measurement is indicated in this example.

Table 3. List of NZDFAS scan measures obtained by Anthroscan automated software.

Anthroscan automated measures
Ankla Circumforonco
Ruttool Circumforongo
Buttock Height
Calf Circumference
Chest/Bust Circumference
Chest/Bust Height
Crotch Length
Elbow Circumference
Head Circumference
Knee Height
Neck Circumference, Base
Stature
Suprasternale Height
Thigh Circumference
Waist Circumference, Natural Indentation
Weight
Wrist Circumference

All three scan posture files were uploaded to the NZDF Anthroscan online database, along with the associated demographic information for each participant. Automated measurements were derived from Posture 2 (Figure 4), the 'Standard' scan posture, as per Anthroscan software guidelines. To extract the automated measurements, the operator followed the procedures outlined in the Anthroscan User Manual entitled 'Running an Automated Measurement' [16]. Anthroscan automatically identifies landmarks and measurement locations and extracts user-selected, pre-defined

measurements from each scan. A quality control check was performed by inspecting for errors such as improper posture or clothing or hair artefact, and to verify that the measurement indicators (i.e. landmarks and measurement line) were correctly located on the body. Examples of these errors and recommended remedial actions are provided in Table 4. Visual examples of errors are provided in Figure 7.

Table 4. Automated measures	analysis cl	hallenges and	recommended actions.
-----------------------------	-------------	---------------	----------------------

Issue	Recommended Action
<b>Head Circumference</b> – for many female participants, the circumference line was drawn around the hair bun which exaggerated the head circumference results. The measurement line may also follow an incorrect path.	<ul> <li>If the line does not follow the appropriate path, adjust it by moving the front or back feature points (landmarks) of the measurement line until it passes through the appropriate landmarks (Figure 7a).</li> <li>If the hair bun is located over the Opisthocranion landmark, skip this measure as an accurate measure is not possible (Figure 7b).</li> <li>For future data collection, ensure the hair bun is removed or is passed through an aperture in the scan cap as per ISO 20685.</li> <li>Future data collection should use the manual technique.</li> </ul>
<b>Neck Circumference, Base</b> – the line drawn by the software does not follow the natural curvature of the base of the neck. This creates an error in the results.	• Adjust by moving the measurement line feature points until they conform to the shape of the neck. The software re-adjusts the curvature of the measurement line accordingly (Figure 7c).
<b>Crotch Length</b> – the wearing of loose-fitting shorts affects the accuracy of this measurement. Close-fitting undergarments were recommended but not all participants wore these during the assessment.	<ul> <li>If the shorts are not form-fitting, skip this measure (Figure 7d).</li> <li>For future data collection, ensure participants are wearing the appropriate undergarment or provide form-fitting shorts for participants to wear.</li> </ul>



Figure 7. Examples of measures which require checking, adjustment, or removal – Head Circumference (A & B), Neck Circumference (C) and Crotch Length (D).

#### 3.7.2 Manual measurements

Participants' individual manual measures were recorded in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (Figure 10). The spreadsheet was based on a modified ISAK Level 2 data collection sheet, with NZDFAS-specific measures and known correction factors specific to measuring equipment added. For example, Grip Reach required 0.35 cm to be added to the original measurement. This is to account for the radius of the rod held in the participant's hand as the true measure is to the centre axis of the rod. Correction factors used for manual measures are as follows:

- Index Finger Reach add 1.1 cm to account for width of anthropometer blade;
- Thumbtip Reach add 1.1 cm to account for width of anthropometer blade;
- Grip Reach add 0.35 cm (+1.1 cm to account for the width of anthropometer blade and -0.75 cm to account for the radius of the grip rod);
- Elbow-Grip Length subtract 0.75 cm to account for the radius of the grip rod;
- Elbow Rest Height, Standing add 40.3 cm to account for the height of the anthropometry box.

Each measurement was taken twice to obtain an average. If the first and second measurements differed by a specified tolerance, then a third measure was taken and the median of the three measures was used. The allowable error was 1% between the two measurements, except for Seated Height, Index Finger Reach, Thumbtip Reach, Grip Reach, Elbow Grip Length, Elbow Rest Height Standing, Bicep Circumference Flexed, and Arm Span, which all had a tolerance of 5%. Allowable errors and correction factors were reviewed and approved by a Level 3 anthropometrist from AUT University.

									CORRECTED
	ID Code	10716003				% Difference	3rd measure required?	Mean or	Mean or
	Measurer initials	SK	1	2	3			Median	Median
1	Head Length		20.6	20.6		0.0%	No	20.6	20.6
2	Head Breadth		16.0	15.8	15.9	-1.3%	Yes	15.9	15.9
3	Bizygomatic Breadth		15.0	15.0		0.0%	No	15.0	15.0
4	Bitragion mandibular Arc		29.6	31.6	31.5	6.8%	Yes	31.5	31.5
5	Interpapillary Breadth		6.2	6.2		0.0%	No	6.2	6.2
6	Seated Height		94.5	94.5		0.0%	No	94.5	94.5
7	Index finger reach		81.9	80.7		-1.5%	No	81.3	82.4
8	Thumb tip reach		81.3	78.0		-4.1%	No	79.7	80.8
9	Grip reach		74.0	74.8		1.1%	No	74.4	74.8
10	Elbow-grip length		35.5	35.8		0.8%	No	35.7	34.9
11	Elbow rest height standing		64.4	64.6		0.3%	No	64.5	104.8
12	Forearm - forearm breadth		61.8	60.0	61.3	-2.9%	Yes	61.3	61.3
13	Bicep Circumference, flexed		41.5	41.8		0.7%	No	41.7	41.7
14	Arm span		182.8	183.5		0.4%	No	183.2	183.2
15	Buttock-Heel length		106.5	106.2		-0.3%	No	106.4	106.4
16	Index finger breadth distal		1.5	1.5		0.0%	No	1.5	1.5
17	Index finger breadth proximal		1.8	1.8		0.0%	No	1.8	1.8
18	Hand breadth		8.2	8.4	8.3	2.4%	Yes	8.3	8.3
19	Palm length		11.7	11.7		0.0%	No	11.7	11.7
20	Hand length		19.5	19.8	19.8	1.5%	Yes	19.8	19.8
21	Hand circumference		19.4	20.1	20.1	3.6%	Yes	20.1	20.1
22	Foot length		25.2	25.2		0.0%	No	25.2	25.2
23	Ball of foot length		18.6	18.8	18.8	1.1%	Yes	18.8	18.8
24	Foot breadth		9.6	9.4	9.4	-2.1%	Yes	9.4	9.4
25	Ball of foot circumference		24.5	24.4		-0.4%	No	24.5	24.5

Figure 8. Example manual measures data collection sheet.

## 3.7.3 Digital measurements using CySize

Digital measurements were obtained using CySize, a third-party software used by military research organisations such as DRDC Canada and DST-G Australia. CySize is a powerful and accurate visual measuring tape tool for analysing 3-D body scan data.

CySize software training was provided to the Lead Researcher by the University of South Australia. Three DTA scientists were then trained in the use of CySize by the Lead Researcher to provide additional capacity for scan data analysis.

The NZDFAS CySize measurement process was primarily based on the AWAS Landmarking and Measurement process [11]. The AWAS manual was a valuable source of information for file preparation, defining the basic measurement tools and processes in CySize, and troubleshooting issues.

CySize outputs measurement data in three-element arrays. For landmark locations, each location was represented by an x, y and z coordinate. When imported into Microsoft Excel, locations appear as a single string with each coordinate delimited by a space. Using the Excel 'Split' function, the z value was extracted from this array and used to calculate height measures such as Stature, Acromial Height, and Crotch Height. Measures such as Seated Height were calculated by subtracting the height of the seat pan from the seated height of the vertex. Linear and circumferential measures were also provided as three-element arrays, with the elements representing -1 linear distance between points, 2) surface (geodesic) distance and 3) a virtual tape measure distance. The appropriate element was extracted depending on the measure of interest. Finally, a new calliper tool was developed to provide maximum depth or breadth measures such as waist and buttock depth.

#### 3.7.4 Data outlier and logic checks

All automated, semi-automated and manual measurements were exported to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and merged with the corresponding demographic information (PEDA files) and service numbers (obtained through the NZDF profile database) to provide a complete data record for each participant. Measurements were then visually inspected for gross outliers or potentially erroneously input data. If the suspect data was collected by either the automated or semi-automated processes, then the original scan was inspected and reprocessed if warranted. If the reprocessed data were plausible then new values replaced the suspect data. Data that could not be reconciled were deleted from the database and treated as null.

The working dataset was then imported into JASP version 0.14.1.0 statistical software (JASP Team, 2020; [19]) for further analysis. As per ISO 15535 recommendations [6], data points greater than ±3 SD from the mean of each measure were inspected for outliers. Additionally, regression residual analysis was performed, and scatterplots were created for measures that were highly correlated. This additional step was useful in identifying measurements that appeared sound, however, their relationship to other correlated measures was suspect. For example, Figure 9 illustrates the use of a regression/scatterplot approach to outlier analysis. The data point circled in red falls within the distribution of both hand breadth and circumference, however, it is evident that the relationship between these two measures is not consistent with other data points. Each measure was then assessed to determine which of the pair is suspect. As with the first pass of data checks using Excel, suspect data were assessed against scan data and adjusted or deleted accordingly.



Figure 9. Example of outlier analysis using the regression/scatterplot approach showing a possible outlier (circled in red) that was not identified via the traditional univariate outlier analysis.

# 4 Results

Demographic information and manual, automated and semi-automated measurement data for all 85 measures were collated in a single Microsoft Excel worksheet for subsequent analysis. These data comprise the master NZDFAS database that will form the NZDF standard for future anthropometric analysis and decision making. Summary statistics for all measures are provided in Appendix I.

## 4.1 Survey results and measuring locations

A total of 1,000 NZDF personnel participated in the survey, representing approximately 11% of the Regular Force at the time of the survey and 75% of the preliminary target of 1,333 participants (set as 15% of the NZDF Regular Force). As only 1,096 of the targeted 1,333 personnel could be contacted for survey recruitment, the target was adjusted to this value. Total participants represented 91% of the adjusted target of 1,096 personnel.

In terms of measuring locations, Linton (Army), Burnham (Army), Philomel (Navy) and Whenuapai (Air Force) surveys had the most participants at 25%, 15%, 13% and 12% respectively (Table 5).

Location	Male	Female	% of Total
Linton	217	34	25
Burnham	121	33	15
Philomel	85	41	13
Whenuapai	88	36	12
Ohakea	85	22	11
Trentham	72	12	8
Waiouru	46	15	6
Woodbourne	40	9	5
Papakura	35	9	4
Total	789	211	100

Table 5. Total NZDFAS participants by survey location.

## 4.2 Survey demographics

To provide an indication of sub-group representation, the following section provides a breakdown of the survey sample by various demographic attributes. A comparison of the Actual NZDFAS sample and the Target sample is included for attributes where sampling targets were set to ensure population representativeness (sex, service, ethnicity, trade).

## 4.2.1 Sex

A total of 789 males and 211 females were measured, accounting for 79% and 21% of the overall survey respectively. While the male sampling target was not achieved (86% success rate), the female target was exceeded by 17% (Table 6). As the overall survey sample was not met, it is meaningful to express the subgroups within the actual survey sample as a proportion of the total survey to evaluate if it is representative of the NZDF.

When compared to the proportion of females in the NZDF, females in the NZDFAS data set were oversampled (Table 6). However, the absolute number of females sampled did not meet the ISO sampling requirements for many measures. The implications of this are discussed in Subsections 5.1 and 5.4.

Sex	Target	Actual
Female	180 (16%)	211 (21%)
Male	916 (84%)	789 (79%)
Total	1,096	1,000

Table 6. Target and Actual samples by Sex, with percentage of Target Total and Actual Total displayed in brackets.

#### 4.2.2 Service

The NZDFAS was a tri-service survey, sampling from the Air Force, Army and Navy. Compared to the sampling targets, the actual Air Force and Army samples exceeded the target by 9%. Due to recruitment constraints, only 44% of the Navy target sample was achieved. When the proportion of each of the three services were evaluated, it is evident that the Army sample comprises 58% of all NZDFAS participants, while only 13% of participants represent the Navy (Table 7).

Table 7. Target and Actual samples by Service, with percentage of Target Total andActual Total displayed in brackets.

Service	Target	Actual
Air Force	264 (24%)	288 (29%)
Army	535 (49%)	580 (58%)
Navy	297 (27%)	132 (13%)
Total	1,096	1,000

Breaking each service down by sex, both male and female sampling targets were achieved for Air Force and Navy personnel. Sampling targets were not met for male and female Navy personnel, with only 38% of the Navy male and 67% of the Navy female sampling targets achieved (Table 8).

Service	Sex	Target	Actual
Air Force	Male	215	215
	Female	49	73
Army	Male	470	486
	Female	65	94
Navy	Male	231	88
-	Female	66	44
Total		1,096	1,000

Table 8. Target and Actual sample by Service and Sex.

When considered as a proportion of the actual sample for each service (Table 9), women were oversampled compared to the proportions of women in the Air Force (19.2%), Army (13.6%) and Navy (23.8%) [20].

Service	Male (%)	Female (%)	% of Total
Air Force	75	25	29
Army	84	16	58
Navy	67	33	13
Total	79	21	100

Table 9. Male and Female representation in the NZDFAS sample by Service.

#### 4.2.3 Ethnicity

In terms of ethnicity, the largest group represented was European, accounting for 41% of respondents, followed by Māori/Pacific at 20%. Composition of the 'Other' category (33%) revealed that the majority represented in this category (n=306 of 334) identified as 'New Zealander'.

Target numbers for Europeans were exceeded, while all other ethnicities were underrepresented (Table 10). This trend is further illustrated when ethnicity is expressed as a proportion of the Target and Actual survey sample (see percentages in Table 10).

Table 10. Target and Actual samples by Ethnicity, with percentage of Target Total and Actual Total displayed in brackets.

Ethnicity	Target	Actual
European	381 (35%)	407 (41%)
Māori/Pacific Peoples	282 (26%)	199 (20%)
Asian	41 (4%)	22 (2%)
Middle East/Latin American	7 (1%)	3 (0.3%)
African	8 (1%)	0 (0%)
Other	377 (34%)	334 (33%)
Not disclosed	-	35 (4%)
Total	1,096	1,000

## 4.2.4 Trade

In terms of trades, targets were only met for the less populated trades, including Specialist, Medical and Health, Aviation and Apprentice (Table 11). Other trades were sampled to around 90% of their target, except for Hospitality (47%) and Combat (74%). As Combat is considered an operational trade that utilises specialised protective and personal equipment, platforms and vehicles, it is desirable that this trade be sampled as completely as possible. When proportionality within the total sample is considered, the Actual sample matched well with the Target sample composition (see percentages in Table 11). Slightly less than half (44%) of the total survey sample is represented by two Army trades – Engineering/Technical and Combat (which is slightly short of the 48% in the Target sample), however, the proportional representation across trades is consistent with the Target sampling proportions.

Trade	Target	Actual
Engineering/Technical	276 (25%)	249 (25%)
Combat	257 (23%)	191 (19%)
Logistics and Admin	128 (12%)	112 (11%)
Intelligence IT COMS	100 (9%)	92 (9%)
Other	83 (8%)	73 (7%)
Hospitality	73 (7%)	34 (3%)
Specialist	63 (6%)	96 (10%)
Medical Health	55 (5%)	60 (6%)
Aviation	33 (3%)	46 (5%)
Apprentice	28 (3%)	47 (5%)
Total	1,096	1,000

Table 11. Target vs Actual sampling by Trade, with percentage of Target Total a	nd
Actual Total displayed in brackets.	

#### 4.2.5 Age

Age groups were not sampled according to specific targets, however, Table 12 highlights that the 20-to-29-year age group was the largest group (54%), followed by the 30-to-39 age group (20%). This was consistent for both males and females with the 20-to-29-year age group representing 53% of males and 55% of female respondents (Table 12).

Comparison of the male and female groups was performed to assess if there was any difference in mean age between the two groups. A Shapiro-Wilk test of normality confirmed that both male and female age distributions were normally distributed, however, a Levene's test to evaluate equality of variances revealed a significant difference (p<0.01). Based on this information, a Welch's t-test was performed to compare the two groups. Results indicate a significant difference (t = 1.362, df = 369.628, p = 0.174) with males (M = 31.6,  $SD = \pm 10.7$ , Range = 18-69 years) being older than females (M = 30.5,  $SD = \pm 9.4$ , Range = 18-59 years). The effect size (Cohen's d = -0.1) was small suggesting the average differences in age between the two groups is negligible, despite the statistical significance.

Age Group	Female	Male	Total
<20	10 (5%)	44 (6%)	54 (5%)
20 to 29	117 (55%)	418 (53%)	535 (54%)
30 to 39	44 (21%)	155 (20%)	199 (20%)
40 to 49	30 (14%)	114 (15%)	144 (14%)
50 to 59	10 (5%)	49 (6%)	59 (6%)
60 to 69	-	8 (1%)	8 (1%)
Not disclosed	-	1 (0%)	1 (0%)
Total	211	789	1,000

Table 12. Age of NZDFAS participants, with percentage of Female Total, Male Total and overall NZDFAS Sample Total displayed in brackets.

#### 4.2.6 Rank

Ranks were collated into four groups representing:

- Junior Non-Commissioned Officers and Enlisted (JNCO/E)
- Junior Officers and Officer Cadets (JO/Cadet)
- Senior Non-Commissioned Officers (SNCO)
- Senior Officers (SO)

Sampling targets were not applied to Rank Groups during data collection. Distributions of Rank Groups are provided in Table 13 and Table 14. The NZDFAS survey comprised 85% Non-Commissioned Officers (NCO) and 16% Officers. Within each of these groups, Junior and Senior NCOs represented most participants. Note that Table 14 percentages were calculated based on available data.

Comparing across Services and Sex, the Air Force sample comprised the most Officers, with 30% of female and 24% of male participants being Junior Officer and Officer Cadet or Senior Officers. The Army sample predominantly comprised Junior NCO and Enlisted and Senior NCOs, with 90% of male and 84% of female participants in these Rank Groups. This closely matched the Navy sample where Junior NCO and Enlisted and Senior NCOs comprised 88% of male and 89% of female participants. Conversely, the Navy NCO Rank Groups represent the greatest proportion of women across the three Services at 89%, followed closely by Army women at 84%.

	Air Force		Army		Navy			
Rank Group	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Total	
JNCO/E	33	106	58	327	35	57	616	
JO/Cadet	16	41	3	13	2	7	82	
SNCO	17	58	14	75	4	20	188	
SO	6	10	11	31	3	4	65	
Missing	1	0	8	40	0	0	49	
Total	73	216	94	486	44	89	1,000	

Table 13. Rank	Group by	/ Service a	nd Sex.
----------------	----------	-------------	---------

Table 14. Rank Group as a percentage of valid data by Service and Sex.

	Air Force		Army		Navy		
Rank	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Total
Group	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
JNCO/E	46	49	67	73	80	65	65
JO/Cadet	22	19	3	3	5	8	9
SNCO	24	27	17	17	9	23	20
SO	8	5	7	7	7	5	7
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

## 4.2.7 Length of service

Length of service of survey participants was heavily weighted towards recent recruits, with 52% of male and 54% of female respondents having 5 or less years of service (Table
15). Interestingly, 5% of participants did not provide a response to this question. When both age and years of service are considered, it is apparent that the NZDFAS is representative of the average age of NZDF personnel (32 years) but with the majority of participants (69%) having less years of service than the NZDF average of 10.3 years [21].

Years of Service	Females	Males	% of Total
0 to 5	108 (54%)	386 (52%)	52
6 to 10	43 (21%)	162 (22%)	22
11 to 15	17 (8%)	60 (8%)	8
16 to 20	10 (5%)	47 (6%)	6
21 to 25	11 (5%)	29 (4%)	4
26 to 30	9 (4%)	40 (5%)	5
31 to 35	3 (1%)	14 (2%)	2
36 to 40	0 (0%)	7 (1%)	1
40+	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0
Not disclosed	10	44	
Total	211	791	1,000

Table 15. Years of Service of NZDFAS participants, with percentage of Female Total and Male Total displayed in brackets.

Note: All percentages exclude those that did not disclose years of service

### 4.2.8 Handedness

A total of 945 participants (95%) provided their handedness information. Of these, the majority indicated right hand dominance (88%), while 1% of respondents indicated being ambidextrous (Table 16).

Table 16. NZDFAS participant handedness. % of Total excludes those that did not disclose handedness.

Handedness	Frequency	% of Total
Right	833	88
Left	96	10
Ambidextrous	14	1
Not disclosed	57	-
Total	1,000	100

## 4.3 Comparison of subgroups within the NZDFAS

NZDFAS was a stratified, randomised survey, sampling across services, trades, age groups and ethnicities according to one strata – sex. As age, trade, sex and ethnicity are key determinants of anthropometric differences between groups [22], it is helpful to examine the data to understand if there are any inherent differences between subgroups.

To provide a high-level comparison of anthropometry across these groups, a one-way ANOVA was performed on select anthropometric measures that represent gross body size in standing and seated postures. A Bonferroni correction (p = 0.0125) was used to compare mean values across the following measures:

- Stature
- Weight
- Sitting Height
- Buttock-Knee Length

A direct comparison between males and females is appropriate, but it is not recommended to perform statistical analysis between female subgroups (e.g. across services), as the available dataset is too small to allow for valid statistical inferences. As such, the comparison results presented in this section are based on male NZDFAS data only. Caution must be extended to the male dataset as well; when subdivided across various age, ethnicity or occupation groups, the actual sample size is well below the minimum required ISO 15535 sample size for many measures (Appendix J). Thus, the sample size of these groups may not ensure true population variability is represented.

### 4.3.1 Across sex

Anthropometric differences between men and women are an important factor due to sexual dimorphism resulting in women being, on average, smaller than men for most measures. Dimorphism is characterised by males and females exhibiting different size and body shape characteristics, as illustrated by differences in distribution of stature in Figure 10. Combining male and female data would result in a bimodal distribution (i.e. a distribution with two peaks) which is not suitable for statistical analysis. For this reason, men and women are typically compared separately.



Figure 10. Bimodal distribution of stature between men and women.

Anthropometric differences between men and women are exemplified by significant differences for the following measures: Stature (F(1,574), p<.001,  $\eta_p^2$ =0.125), Weight (F(1,254), p<.001,  $\eta_p^2$ =0.211), Sitting Height (F(1,347), p<.001,  $\eta_p^2$ =0.292) and Buttock-Knee Length (F(1,136), p<.001,  $\eta_p^2$ =0.125) (Table 17).

Measure	Female	Male
Stature (mm)	$1669 \pm 65^{a}$	$1786 \pm 54^{b}$
Weight (kg)	$70.2 \pm 11.3^{a}$	$85.9 \pm 12.8^{b}$
Sitting Height (mm)	$890 \pm 30^{a}$	$942 \pm 33^{b}$
Buttock-Knee Length (mm)	$599 \pm 26^{a}$	$627 \pm 30^{b}$

Table 17. Summary statistics for males and females across key body measures. Significance between groups is denoted at p<.001(a,b).

A bivariate representation of the standing and seated measures of NZDFAS males and female is provided in Figure 11. This figure clearly illustrates the bimodal relationship between these data.



Figure 11. Bivariate plots of standing (left) and seated (right) measures, comparing males (red) and females (grey). Accommodation ellipse is defined at 95%.

It is important to note that not all measures are larger for males. In particular, the mean values for measures such as Buttock Girth, Chest Depth, Crotch-Waist Length Preferred Posterior, Hip Breadth (Standing), Hip Girth Maximum, Sitting Elbow Rest Height and Thigh Girth are similar between the sexes. However, mean values are not typically useful for anthropometric analysis as it is the distribution that is typically more important. For univariate design problems where only one body measure is of concern, it is often assumed that the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile female is the smallest and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile male is the largest person. However, for measures such as Hip Breadth the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile values belong to males and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile belong to females (Figure 12). This highlights the importance of consulting the summary statistics in Appendix I when developing system specifics.

#### UNCLASSIFIED



Figure 12. Hip Breadth (Standing) values demonstrating females being larger than males at each end of the distribution.

### 4.3.2 Across service

While the NZDFAS sampled personnel from across the Air Force, Army and Navy, with only 88 male observations, it is not recommended that the Navy sample be considered as a standalone data set.

Summary statistics for the four select measures are provided in Table 18 and bivariate visualisations of the distribution of these data are provided in Figure 13 and Figure 14. Inspection of these data suggest minor differences, such as the mean height for Air Force personnel being 1.0 cm greater than Army and Navy and Navy being approximately 2.5 kg heavier than the other services. However, results of the ANOVA revealed no main effects of service across all four measures, indicating that the male data of the three services may be pooled into a single NZDFAS dataset.

Measure	Air Force	Army	Navy
Stature (mm)	1794 ± 67	1784 ± 66	1784 ± 56
Weight (kg)	85.4 ± 14.1	85.8 ± 12.2	88.0 ± 13.5
Sitting Height (mm)	943 ± 33	943 ± 34	941 ± 27
Buttock-Knee Length (mm)	629 ± 33	624 ± 29	632 ± 28

Table 18. Comparison of four key measures across services (males).

#### UNCLASSIFIED



Figure 13. Visualisation of male Stature and Weight across all three services represented in the NZDFAS.



Figure 14. Visualisation of male Sitting Height and Buttock-Knee Length across all three services represented in the NZDFAS.

### 4.3.3 Across ethnicity

For ethnicity, the majority (94%) of male participants were clustered in three main groups – European, Māori/Pacific and Other. While the Other ethnic group primarily identified as 'New Zealanders', it is likely comprised of European and Māori/Pacific ethnicities. However, as this group comprises a substantial portion of the NZDFAS it warrants further investigation as to possible differences between ethnic groups. As there were few participants in the African, Asian and Middle East/Latin American groups, these were excluded from this analysis.

A one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed, investigating the effect of Ethnicity Group on Stature, Weight, and Seated Height. This ANOVA revealed a statistical difference in Ethnicity Group between at least two groups for stature (F(2,731) = 5.141, p = .006,  $\eta_p^2$ =.014) and weight (F(2, 693), p < .001,  $\eta_p^2$ =0.033). However, the partial eta

squared values of 0.014 and 0.033 indicate the effect size as being small for both measures. Thus, the differences between groups likely have little operational significance.

Table 19 provides the results of a Tukey's HSD post hoc test for the one-way ANOVAs performed on each of the four dependent variables with Ethnicity Group as the predictor. Significant differences between Ethnicity Groups are indicated by different symbols while same symbols indicate no difference. For example, Stature is significantly different between European (a) and Māori/Pacific (b) groups but there are no differences between these groups and the Other (ab) group. The same notation is used in the plots below (i.e. different letter superscripts indicate significant differences between groups).

	respectively.		
Measure	European	Māori/Pacific	Other
Stature (mm)	1796 ± 67 <sup>b</sup>	1777 ± 61 <sup>a</sup>	$1787 \pm 61^{ab}$
Weight (kg)	85.7 ± 13.5*	$90.6 \pm 12.6^{\dagger}$	$84.2 \pm 11.6^{\dagger}$
Sitting Height (mm)	946 ± 33	943 ± 33	941 ± 33
Buttock-Knee Length (mm)	630 ± 31	626 ± 29	625 ± 28

Table 19. Summary statistics for Weight across the three main Ethnicity Groups. Significance between groups is denoted at p < .001 (<sup>a</sup>,<sup>b</sup>) and p < .005 (<sup>\*</sup>,<sup>†</sup>) respectively

In terms of Weight, a post hoc Tukey test indicates significant differences between European and Māori/Pacific (adjusted p<.001) and Other and Māori/Pacific (adjusted p<.001), with the Māori/Pacific group being approximately 5-6 kg heavier on average than the other two groups (Figure 15).



Figure 15. Weight distributions across three Ethnicity Groups. <sup>a,b</sup> denotes significance between groups at p<.001.

With regards to Stature, post hoc Tukey tests revealed a significant difference between the European and Māori/Pacific groups (adjusted p=.005) with European males being, on average, 20 mm taller (Figure 16).



Figure 16. Stature distribution across three Ethnicity Groups. <sup>a,b</sup> denotes significance between groups at p<.005.

Based on the results of the Ethnicity Group analysis, it is evident that there are significant differences in Stature and Weight between key groups. While the effect size is small, it is possible that other measures related to Weight (i.e. circumferences) or Stature (i.e. length measures) may further discriminate between the groups and should be examined in a dedicated analysis.

## 4.3.4 Across age

It has been previously established that there was no difference between males and females when comparing age (Subsection 4.2.5. Additionally, as the majority (> 70%) of data is represented by the 20-29 and 30-39 age groups, the available data is unsuitable for analysis across a broader age range and there is little utility in comparing across age groups.

## 4.4 Missing data

Missing data was noted across both sexes for all but two measures – Ankle Circumference and Stature (reasons for missing data are discussed in Section 5.5). While the amount of missing data was low for many measures, 30% of male and 29% of female measures were missing at least 10% of data, and many important measures were missing 20% or more of their data. Table 20 provides a summary of the measures for males and females with an incidence of missing data of at least 20%. From this table, the worst cases of missing data were for Trochanterion Height (50%) and Hip Circumference, Maximum (59%). This is of particular concern for the female dataset as it reduces an already statistically small sample to only 86 valid observations.

Males		Females	
Measure	Missing Data (%)	Measure	Missing Data (%)
Trochanterion Height	50	Hip Circumference, Maximum	59
Hip Circumference, Maximum	40	Hip Breadth, Standing	54
Hip Breadth, Standing	38	Crotch Height	42
Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Posterior	35	Trochanterion Height	39
Vertical Trunk Circumference	33	Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Posterior	39
Malleolus-Hallux Length	31	Head Circumference	38
Thigh Clearance	27	Vertical Trunk Circumference	36
Waist Breadth	24	Waist Circumference, Preferred	31
Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting	23	Malleolus-Hallux Length	30
Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Anterior	23	Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Anterior	24
Buttock Depth	21	Buttock-Popliteal Length	23
Crotch Height	21	Thigh Clearance	22
		Iliocristale Height	22
		Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting	21

# Table 20. Measures missing more than 20% of data for male and female NZDFAS participants.

Counts of valid observations and missing data for each measure in the NZDFAS are provided within the summary statistics tables in Appendix I. When applying these data, users must evaluate it in the context of minimum sampling requirements (Appendix J) and against demographic data to determine if there is any bias due to the missing data and establish if there is sufficient data to provide a representative sample.

## 4.5 Participant comments relating to clothing and equipment fit

Comments from surveyed personnel emphasised issues of fit with uniform and equipment at the time of the survey. Although the average personnel rating of shirt and trouser fit was between 'acceptable' and 'good', individual feedback from personnel highlighted issues with the fit and sizing of uniform.

Comments relating to packs highlighted two main themes – a dislike of the Terminator pack and a preference for the Alice pack. Comments about webbing, body armour, footwear, and helmets generally focussed on issues of fit, sizing, comfort and equipment

integration. Comments about vehicles suggested that the space within Pinzgauers is too cramped for some individuals, particularly those of a larger stature.

Comparison of the top comments for male and female personnel revealed greater fit and sizing issues for female personnel, for various pieces of kit including uniform, body armour, and webbing. Although a reflection of the fact that the 'male' standard is used in equipment design and supply, particularly in the case of body armour, this finding accentuates the need for such items to consider female body dimensions.

It should be noted that the survey likely did not capture all issues from personnel. Had personnel been asked specific questions around each item, different feedback may have been received. This means that although the comments analysis captures a snapshot of issues at the time (most of which would likely be enduring due to minimal change in clothing and equipment since the survey), it may not represent a true reflection of the magnitude of all issues.

## 5 Discussion

## 5.1 Body dimensions

The study produced 85 anonymised body dimensions (including body weight). Summary statistics for these can be found in Appendix I. The study also produced an anonymised body scan and measurement database (three postures – two standing and one seated).

## 5.2 Sample size

As discussed in Section 4.1, the overall sample size of 1,000 participants (789 men and 211 women) achieved 91% of the revised target of 1,096 participants and represents 11% of the 2018 NZDF Regular Force population (Table 21). A key explanation for the difference between target and actual samples was the difficulty in meeting the Royal New Zealand Navy target, with only 131 personnel or 44% of the target being measured. Even with the reduced sample size, the NZDFAS survey represents approximately 13% of women and 10% of men in the NZDF, according to 2019 statistics [23].

#### UNCLASSIFIED

	New	Canadian	Australian	Australian	US Army	US Marine	UK Armed
	Zealand	Forces	Army	Navy	Personnel	Corps	Forces
	2018	2012	2012	2015	2012	2010	2007
		[8]	[7]	[14]	[16]	[17]	[24]
Total	1,000	2,205	2,138	1,322	5,178	1,921	2,470
measured	(11%)	(3.2%)	(7.1%)		(0.5%)		(1.2%)
Males	791	1,890	1,861	1,322	4,082	1,301	2,160
Females	211	315	277	232	1,986	620	311
No. of	85	52	85	87	93	94	120
measures							

Table 21. Comparison of Anthropometry surveys from the FVEYs community
[[7],8,14,16,17,24]. The percentage of the total Regular Force sampled is included in
brackets where data was available.

Sampling targets in anthropometric surveys can be determined according to two criteria – 1) ISO 15535 minimum sampling requirements and 2) proportional representation of subgroups. While the achieved sample size in the NZDFAS is reasonable from the perspective of proportionality, the absolute sample size is limited for those measures that demonstrate a large coefficient of variation. Based on this knowledge, a small sample size may introduce bias or fail to adequately represent the true variability of the population distribution. The degree to how a small sample size affects measurement relative accuracy is illustrated in Appendix J, which provides a listing of minimum sample size requirements for each measure in the NZDFAS calculated in accordance with ISO 15535 guidelines.

Based on ISO sampling requirements of 5<sup>th</sup> to 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles at 95% confidence and 1% relative accuracy, the current NZDFAS sample meets 67 of 85 (79%) requirements for male data and 17 of 85 (20%) for female measures. Thus, despite NZDFAS females being proportionally oversampled, the absolute sample size is insufficient to adequately represent most measures at 1% relative accuracy. Due to missing data, the adequacy of the sample size is likely even lower than this calculation.

The ISO sample size requirement is based on sampling from a large population. As a result, some of the required minimum sample sizes are greater than the number of women in the NZDF. For example, a minimum of 2,375 women are required to adequately sample body weight with 95% confidence and 1% relative accuracy. This compares to the 2019 reported total of 1,651 women in the NZDF Regular Force. Reducing the relative accuracy to 2% reduces the required sample size to 594 women, which is still a substantial proportion (36%) of the total population of NZDF women.

When a required sample size is greater than 5% of the target population, a modified version of Cochran's (1977) finite population correction formula can be used to adjust the sample size to reflect the smaller target population [25].

$$n = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{(n_0 - 1)}{N}}$$
 (2) Finite population sampling correction formula.

Where:

 $n_0$  = Sample size derived from the ISO 15535 equation;

N = Size of the target population.

Using the example of body weight for the reported 1,651 women in the NZDF Regular Force [23], the adjusted sample size would be:

$$n = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{(n_0 - 1)}{N}} = \frac{2,375}{1 + \frac{(2,375 - 1)}{1.651}} = 974$$

Thus, the required minimum sample size at 1% relative accuracy is reduced from 2,375 to 974 women, while still retaining the confidence and precision of the ISO 15535 calculation. Note that at 2% relative accuracy, the required sample size is reduced from 594 to 437 individuals.

As mentioned above, 79% (67) of male and 20% (17) of female measures (not accounting for missing data) satisfy ISO sampling requirements. No determination can be made for eight of the NZDFAS measures as suitable data to calculate sample size could not be found in other surveys. Applying the Cochran correction formula increases the acceptable sample rate to 80% of measures for males and 34% for females. This increases to 91% for males and 80% for females at 2% relative accuracy. As each measure has a unique recommended sample size, it is important to consult Appendix I to determine the sampling quality of any measure of interest. In general, measures that require greater sample sizes and are thus most affected by insufficient sample size involve circumferential and depth measures in the hip, abdominal and chest regions. Table 22. provides a summary of the NZDFAS measures requiring the largest sample size for men and women. Due to the limited sample size of the NZDFAS, these measures are undersampled at the 1% relative accuracy level, and in some cases under-sampled at 2% relative accuracy. Use of these data for design or system specification will require an understanding of the limitations of under sampling data, as they may not accurately represent the true mean and variability of the target population.

Males		Females	
Measures	Required Sample Size	Measures	Required Sample Size
Weight	1,885	Weight	974
Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting	1,550	Waist Depth	896
Waist Depth	1,541	Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting	837
Waist Circumference, Natural	1,094	Waist Circumference, Natural and Preferred	701
Elbow Rest Height	1,065	Elbow Rest Height	679
Elbow Rest Height, Sitting	1,003	Chest Depth	661
Buttock Depth	921	Waist Breadth	657
Waist Breadth	903	Buttock Depth	618
Chest Depth	858	Elbow Rest Height, Sitting	614
Biceps Circumference, Flexed	760	Biceps Circumference, Flexed	589

Table 22. NZDFAS measures requiring largest sample size at 95% confidence and 1% relative accuracy. Cochran's correction has been applied to required sample size.

## 5.3 Demographics

The demographic results show that the target numbers were achieved and indeed exceeded for Air Force and Army. Unfortunately, the low success rate of the Navy survey meant that the overall 1,096 target was not achieved. Several uncontrollable factors, such as absence due to leave or training, posting cycles and operational deployments, affected participation. If the Navy target had been met then the final count would be in the vicinity of 1,169 personnel, translating to 106% of the target.

Plans for a second Navy survey have been discussed but have not been implemented due to personnel availability. It is hoped that a second survey will be approved in the near future.

The NZDFAS female participant rate is particularly positive. However, only 86% of the male target was achieved.

The largest proportion of the sample was between 20 and 29 years of age with most being relatively new to the organisation (52% had 0 to 10 years of experience). Whether these proportions are reflected in the main population (n = 8,885 Regular Force) requires further investigation, however, a younger demographic is arguably preferable considering

operational procurement decisions will generally be targeted at users within the 20-40 years age range.

Although the original target numbers for trade and ethnicity were not met for all subgroups, the final sample still reflected the proportional differences of both categories across the NZDF. 44% of the participants came from the Engineering/Technical and Combat trades, which is important as these trades often wear specialised protective equipment. Having sufficient anthropometric data is beneficial for determining clothing, equipment and vehicles specifications.

Analysis of ethnicity reveals that the Māori/Pacific group tends to be shorter than the European group and heavier than both European and Other groups. Differences between Māori and other groups are exemplified by Baxter and Baxter [11] who found significant differences in foot dimensions of Māori in the New Zealand Army, resulting in a high proportion of these soldiers being unable to find a suitable boot size. Given that Māori comprise approximately 14.6% of the NZDF Regular Force [26], and a portion of the 'Other' group are likely also of Māori descent, it is important to further explore the anthropometric differences of these groups to determine the potential implications for system specification and design.

## 5.4 Sample weightings

As the NZDFAS is based on a proportional sampling, it is important to adjust sample observations by weighting factors to ensure that the survey is representative of the target population. As a minimum, sampling weightings need to be calculated for the primary strata of sex, if male and female data are to be combined when calculating summary statistics for future project use. However, age and ethnicity may also be reasonable candidates for calculating weighting factors if comparison of these factors are important. A sample weighting compensates for biases (i.e., under/over representation) of sample proportions. Weightings are then applied to measurement values in the corresponding strata to provide statistics such as a weighted mean or median.

Sample weightings are simply the reciprocal of the probability of the likelihood of being sampled. For the NZDFAS, sample weightings for males and females are calculated as follows:

$w_{sex} =$	Population Proportion <sub>Sex</sub>	(3) Formula for calculation of
	_	Sample Proportion <sub>Sex</sub>

Where  $w_{sex}$  represents the sample weighting for males or females.

Based on the NZDFAS target and actual sample for sex (Table 6), males were undersampled (79%) and females oversampled (21%) compared to their proportion in the NZDF of 84% and 16% respectively. To adjust for this, the sample weightings for males and females are as follows:

$$w_{males} = \frac{84\%}{79\%} = 1.06$$

And

$$w_{females} = \frac{16\%}{21\%} = 0.76$$

To use these weightings to calculate the combined average Stature of men and women, one would use the following formula:

$$Mean \ Stature_{NZDFAS} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} 1.06(men_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 0.76(women_i)}{m+n}$$

Where i = the Stature for  $i^{th}$  men or women;

*m* = the total number of observations of Stature for males;

*n* = the total number of observations of Stature for women.

#### 5.5 Missing data

Data loss is often the result of technical issues affecting equipment function or calibration, administrative errors such as failure to review, save or backup data, or procedural errors during data collection.

Technical issues were the main contributor to data loss, due to poor scan quality because of arm/torso interference and poor foot scan quality. As these were identified as concerns during the NZDFAS validation study [5], a stricter protocol would have avoided or mitigated these issues. Missing data affects the quality of many measures, for example, 59% of missing Hip Circumference, Maximum data reduced the available female data to only 86 valid observations. When all measures are considered, 30% of all male and female measures are missing at least 10% of data. Missing data is considered a violation of data integrity that affects not only the measure in question, but also has an adverse effect on multivariate analysis, as the relationship between missing and non-missing variables is affected. For example, when designing gloves, hand length and width may be measures of concern. If a hand breadth value is missing, then the associated hand length datum is of less value since these measures must be considered concurrently. Additionally, when considering the minimum required sample, missing values can result in a decreased representativeness of the data due to under sampling. Additionally, if there are systematic reasons for the missing data (e.g. taller individuals disproportionally affected), bias will be introduced into the dataset.

Inspection of the measurements demonstrating high levels of missing data reveals that most measures have two distinct features in common. These measures were primarily scan-derived from Posture 1. Second, except for women's Head Circumference, they were dependent on landmarks identified in the region extending from the hips to the abdomen.

Two key factors account for the loss of data in the hip to abdomen region. In the case of males, many participants wore baggy boxer shorts which adversely affected the ability to identify key landmarks, such as the crotch, and interfered with the ability to make accurate surface measurements due to the looseness of fabric or folds (addressed further in Subsection 5.5.1). For both male and female participants, the arm was often held too close to the body during scanning in Posture 1 (see Subsection 3.7.3). This occluded landmarks such as the Trochanterion, Iliocristale and others around the hip, making it impossible to take measures based on these landmarks. Second, as the arm could not be distinguished from the hip or torso, circumferential measures could not be obtained in this region. These scan posture artefacts have been previously identified and are illustrated in Appendix E.

Missing data for Head Circumference is primarily due to the influence of hair affecting the quality of the scan. Women's long hair was gathered in a bun under the scan cap. As the Opisthocranion (the most posterior point on the head) was obscured by the bun, Head Circumference measures were impossible to extract on these individuals. Ideally, Head Circumference should be taken using the manual method, with the hair not gathered in a bun and the bulk of hair compressed by the measuring tape. If Head Circumference is taken by scan, then the modified scan cap recommended in ISO 20685 should be used. This cap has a hole in the superior-posterior portion of the cap to allow the hair to be drawn through and the hair bun can be formed externally.

Another measure adversely affected by missing data is Malleolus-Hallux Length. As the Vitus scan is based on the view of two laser heads, the lower head is obscured by the scan podium when taking measures near ground level. This introduces interference, resulting in scan anomalies. In future studies, it is not recommended to use the Vitus scanning system for foot measures. Alternatively, a small standing block could be used to raise the participant above the podium by several centimetres.

Seated measures, such as Buttock-Popliteal Length, Thigh Clearance and Abdominal Extension Depth, were affected by voids in the scan mesh and surface reconstruction errors caused by the CySize software. These issues could be avoided in future by slight changes in posture to reduce shadowing (e.g. seated posture with arms straight at side, sit forward to create gap between seat and popliteal fossa). Scan voids on the top of the thigh could be prevented by placing a small dome marker on the top of the thigh to provide better scan reflectance.

In addition to scan pose and scan technical considerations, an administrative error resulted in no Seated Height data being collected for the 125 RNZAF participants (41 women, 117 men) at Whenuapai. This accounts for most of the total of 144 missing cases of Seated Height, resulting in the loss of one half of RNZAF sitting height data. Such a loss is of particular concern as sitting height is an important consideration for cockpit accommodation.

## 5.5.1 Quality control and improving scan quality

As most measures were extracted from Posture 1, in future, scan quality control checks should be performed to quickly verify proper arm separation from the hips and torso and thigh separation to facilitate circumferential and crotch measures. This may involve posture verification by the scanner operator or the use of aids such as spacers to assist in setting the posture and ensuring a minimum distance is maintained between the arm and torso. A study by Kouchi and Mochimaru [26] indicates that accurate shoulder height and breadth measures could be achieved from 3-D scans as long as arm abduction was less than 5° and leg abduction less than 20°, suggesting that arm and leg separation could be greater than what was typically adopted during data collection.

Some scan issues were the result of artefacts caused by the CySize auto body fill process. These could be mitigated in future by processing the scans as soon as possible after scanning, to identify any potential issues in scan and measurement extraction quality. Although this is a time-consuming process and is likely not feasible after each scan is obtained, daily or even weekly incremental processing of scans would allow early detection of postural concerns and facilitate adjustments to the scanning process as issues are identified.

As many male participants wore boxer shorts, a stronger emphasis on wearing proper undergarments could have been made during the survey recruitment process to mitigate this issue. Additionally, low-cost disposable medical/travel briefs could have been available for any participants that did not bring suitable undergarments. Again, continuous processing of all or randomly sampled scan data would have identified issues in scan and measurement extraction quality early so mitigating procedures could be implemented to minimise further data loss.

As the bulk of hair under the scan cap was a major contributor for women's Head Circumference data loss, a second scan could be taken with the hair hanging loosely below the scan cap. This would reduce the scan error greatly; however, this measure is best obtained using manual methods and should be captured accordingly in future surveys.

## 5.5.2 Remediation of missing data

It may be possible to recover missing anthropometric data through three possible techniques – imputation (and other associated numerical techniques), reprocessing of existing scan data, and collection of new data. It must be emphasised, however, that these methods will not provide as accurate and valid data as would have been achieved with direct measuring techniques.

#### 5.5.2.1 Imputation

Imputation of anthropometric data can be achieved through many techniques, such as replacement with mean, regression techniques, or matching techniques. Additionally, there are several machine learning statistical concepts, such as random forest [28] and

Support Vector Machines [29], that have emerged recently which show promise for imputing anthropometric data. Due to the large amount of missing data in the NZDFAS database across many related variables, the use of such techniques must be carefully examined to establish if they are valid methods to impute missing data.

Nearest Neighbour Matching is a form of imputation whereby an anthropometric 'twin' of a survey participant located within the current or similar database is identified. Assuming this twin has a complete data profile, the missing data of the survey participant is then populated with the relevant twin's data. One particular method cited in anthropometric studies is hot-deck swapping [30]. This involves finding the nearest neighbour of a survey participant based on the minimal Cartesian distance of several measures. The measurement(s) of the nearest neighbour, or twin, is then used to complete the missing data of the survey participant.

Ideally, the data from which potential source twins are derived should be matched to the target twin based on demographics such as sex, age, race and occupation. The selection of matching measurements should also be meaningfully related to the measure that is to be imputed. For example, when imputing a measure such as Waist Circumference, matching based on arm and leg length may not provide an accurate match compared to matching on Torso Circumference measures.

A practical example of matching is an evaluation of the quality of NZDFAS Head Circumference data. As these data were obtained from scan data, there is a concern that the resulting data overestimated true Head Circumference. A matching evaluation was completed by comparing scan derived Head Circumferences of NZDFAS males and females with those manually measured in the most recent U.S. Army Survey (ANSUR II) [15, 16]. As Head Breadth and Head Length were measured manually in both ANSUR II and NZDFAS, using the same method, these measures could be used to calculate nearest neighbour head sizes between the two surveys.

To perform this comparison, only NZDFAS participants where all Head Breadth, Head Length and Head Circumference were available were evaluated. This resulted in a reduced database of 757 men and 120 women. The Cartesian distance between each NZDFAS and ANSUR II participant's Head Length (HL) and Head Breadth (HB), for participants of the same sex, was computed using the following formula:

Distance = 
$$\sqrt{(HL_{NZDFAS} - HL_{ANSUR II})^2 + (HB_{NZDFAS} - HB)^2}$$
 (4) Distance calculation  
for head nearest  
neighbours.

For each NZDFAS participant, distance values were computed to each ANSUR II participant and then sorted from smallest to largest. The Head Circumferences of the five closest ANSUR II matches were then averaged to provide a predicted Head Circumference for each NZDFAS participant. In general, minimum nearest neighbour distances were in the order of 1 to 5 mm indicating a good match was found between surveys.

To compare the Anthroscan measured NZDFAS Head Girths (circumferences) and those predicted from ANSUR II data, a simple delta measure was calculated by subtracting the Anthroscan measure from the predicted measure. Figure 17 provides a visualisation of these results. In general, the ANSUR II-predicted Head Girths for each NZDFAS participant are smaller than Anthroscan measures for both males (t(756) = 46.96, P <.001, Cohen's d = 1.71) and females (t(119) = 8.81m, p < .001, Cohen's d = 0.80). The mean difference in Head Circumference between Anthroscan and predicted measures is -18.0 mm and -10.3 mm, for males and females respectively. This is expected as this method compensates for the hair bulk that is included in the NZDFAS measure. Interestingly, a greater difference is found in males which is unexpected as women tend to have longer hair which may result in increased bulk. When the difference is normalised to absolute head size, male head size is reduced by 3.0%, while female head size is reduced 1.7%, thus differences in head size do not account for the observed difference between males and females. In lieu of head size effects, it is possible that initial differences between NZDFAS and ANSUR male and female sample size, head size distribution and scan extraction methodological issues may account for differences in predicted head size.



Measured Head Circumference (mm)

Figure 17. Comparison of difference between ANSUR-predicted and measured Head Circumferences for NZDFAS males and females.

Inspection of NZDFAS data before the matching study indicated that the Head Girths of males and females tended to be larger than ANSUR II for any given Head Breadth (Figure 18). While this could be due to demographic differences between the two populations, it is likely that a large portion of this is due to systematic methodological differences between manual and scan derived measures.



Figure 18. NZDFAS with ANSUR II Head Breadth and Head Circumference distributions for males (left) and females (right).

When NZDFAS head circumference is adjusted by substituting the average of the five nearest ANSUR II Head Breadth and Head Length neighbours to the data, it is apparent that the NZDFAS Head Circumference data is in much greater correspondence with the ANSUR II data (Figure 19). While it appears that this realignment of data is indicative of a bias in the NZDFAS Head Circumference data, a thorough analysis that includes matching databases on age, ethnicity and possibly occupation should be completed.



Figure 19. Nearest neighbour adjustment of NZDFAS Head Circumference for males (left) and females (right).

In addition to ensuring demographic similarities between the matching and target databases, it is also important to ensure that the two databases are comparable in body size and shape variability and that the matching database is large enough to provide a sufficient number of potential matches. Ideally, the matching database would represent a wider distribution of body sizes and overlap the extreme regions of the target database. For instance, in the Head Circumference example above, the average distances between the 10 best matches were  $1.3\pm1.6$  mm for men and  $3.0\pm2.7$  mm for women. However, the maximum distances were 21.8 mm for men and 17.2 mm for women indicating that there were several head sizes that were not well matched between surveys. Mismatches are

likely to occur at the extremes of the distribution if the matching database does not adequately represent those regions.

#### 5.5.2.2 Regression

Regression is a common approach used to predict measures based on other measures that are highly correlated. For example, Sitting Height is highly correlated with Eye Height, Sitting (r = 0.893 for males and 0.909 for females), therefore a reasonable prediction of Sitting Heights may be achieved through the following linear regression formulas:

Sitting Height (mm) = 0.89 Eye Height, Sitting + 206.05 (males)

Sitting Height (mm) = 0.94 Eye Height, Sitting + 158.24 (females)

In all cases of regression, it is recommended that separate analyses be performed for men and women, as the proportionality between measures may vary between the sexes. Suitability of the regression may be evaluated by inspection of the regression residuals to understand the variability of the prediction and identify any outliers. A Q-Q plot will also be helpful in ensuring that the data are normally distributed and that the regression is sufficiently robust to accurately predict measures at the small and large end of the distribution.

#### 5.5.2.3 Derived measures

A second method that may be applied in certain circumstances involves the derivation of new measures from existing measures. Derivation of measures is a method that has been extensively used in surveys such as ANSUR II, where 41 derived measures were obtained by adding or subtracting directly measured dimensions to create new ones. It must be emphasised that this technique is generally not as accurate as obtaining the measurement directly, but if the underlying measurements were obtained with sufficient precision, then the derived measure is valid for design use.

As an example, and as an alternative to using regression, Sitting Height may be derived using several measures that were collected as part of the NZDFAS. For example, the distance from the Ectocanthus to the Vertex can be calculated as the difference between Stature and Eye Height, Standing. This measure should be fixed within each participant, as both measurement landmarks are located on the head and are not susceptible to postural variability as other measures that cross joints would be. Thus, Sitting Height may be represented by the following formula:

Sitting Height = (Stature – Eye Height, Standing) + Eye Height, Sitting

There is sufficient data in the NZDFAS to reclaim almost all missing Sitting Height data using this method.

Although derived measures were not used in the NZDFAS, there are sufficient measures and landmarks to identify additional measures that may be of use for specific clothing and equipment design projects. For example, front and back ballistic plates are often sized based on an individual's front and/or back length. As C7 and Surprasternale heights are provided in the NZDFAS, along with 10<sup>th</sup> Rib, Iliocristale and Waist Height, sufficient data is available for defining sizing for this personal equipment based on torso lengths defined by these measures. Examples of other useful, common measures that can be derived from NZDFAS measures include:

- Body Mass Index  $= \frac{\text{Stature}}{\text{Weight}^2}$
- Suprasternale Height, Sitting = Sitting Height (Stature Suprasterale Height)
- Ectocanthus to Vertex Height = Sitting Height Eye Height, Sitting

Considering the number of measures available, as well as the landmark coordinates extracted using CySize, there are likely many more derived measures that can be computed from existing data.

#### 5.5.2.4 Reassessment of alternate scan posture

As mentioned in Section 5.5, many missing values were a result of arm and torso/hip occlusion, as well as thigh interference caused by too narrow a stance when adopting Posture 1. This results in two issues that adversely affect accurate body measurements. First, large occlusions in the scan mesh may occur as the laser light is not able to penetrate small gaps between the arm and torso, or between the thighs. Filling large occlusions often results in geometric errors as the missing scan regions have to be estimated. Second, the measuring software may be unable to differentiate between close body segments, resulting in the formation of a scan 'webbing' that bridges the gap between segments.

It is possible that many missing data values may be completed by analysing the Posture 2 scan data that was collected for each participant. As illustrated in Figure 20, Posture 2 is an open stance with arms and legs held apart providing unobtrusive views of the side of the abdomen, hip and thigh regions. In fact, this posture is very similar to the 'Standard' posture (Figure 4) that is prescribed by Human Solutions for extraction of most scan measures and by the AWAS survey for measures that are difficult to obtain in Posture 1 (e.g. Thigh Circumference).



Figure 20. Examples of Posture 1 (right) and Posture 2 (left) collected as part of the NZDFAS.

UNCLASSIFIED

Analysis of Posture 2 can allow recovery of missing circumferential and breadth data about the central region of the body, and many missing heights can also be extracted, providing the leg separation angle is less than 20° in accordance with the guidance provided by Kouchi, as described in Subsection 5.5.1. As an example, Axilla Height (18% missing from females) and Crotch Height (21% missing from males) may be better identified in this posture than Posture 1, providing valid measures of these measures.

Finally, Posture 2 provides an alternate scan model that may be free from any scanning artefact that may have affected Posture 1. This provides an opportunity to review measures obtained from Posture 1 that may have been based on a poor-quality scan and recover missing measures such as Malleolus-Hallux Length.

Inspection of the NZDFAS list of measures suggests that many of the standing measures could be satisfied by Posture 2 except for those requiring unique postures such as reaches, hand and face measures and certain arm measures. Shoulder measures are not recommended in this posture as excessive arm abduction affects the location of the deltoid and acromion landmarks. Fortunately, measures depending on these landmarks are not substantially affected by missing data. The wearing of boxer shorts, primarily by male participants, remains an issue of concern and it is likely that measures about the hip and crotch will remain unresolved for these participants.

When considering Posture 2 for completing missing data, it is important to carefully review each scan to verify that the posture is relaxed and neutral without any exaggerated separation of the legs (waist/hip measures) or raised arms (axilla/chest height measures), which may affect measurements in these regions. If there is concern regarding the reliability of any measure between Postures 1 and 2, a simple reliability study could be conducted comparing valid measures from Posture 1 to those obtained from Posture 2.

#### 5.5.2.5 Correction of mesh errors

Extracting measurements from Posture 2 is a reasonable alternative to obtain measures affected by scanning artefacts in Posture 1. Figure E-1 in Appendix E provides an example of these artefacts created during the body autofill process employed by both CySize and Anthroscan software. As discussed previously, the body autofill process removes any voids in the scan surface to provide a complete surface mesh and facilitate measurements. However, this process is easily confused when two body segments are in proximity. The small gap between the segments is a void in the scan surface and the autofill algorithms create a bridging mesh to complete this void. While CySize software provides a rudimentary tool to manually identify the separation of body segments, Human Solutions Anthroscan software provides two comprehensive tools called the Slits Wizard and Bridge Cut Wizard which allow the user to identify areas to be separated, such as segments at the axilla and crotch, and repair any mesh bridges that are created during the surface reconstruction (autofill) process. For example, Figure 21 demonstrates the identification and repair of a bridge artefact created between the arm and the hip.



Figure 21. Screenshot of Anthroscan's Bridge Cut Wizard.

As Anthroscan provides superior scan editing capabilities, including interactive measurement tools like CySize, it is feasible that many missing data values can be completed by reprocessing and measuring the problematic scans using Anthroscan software. In certain cases, it may be desirable to use the mesh deletion tools to remove the arms from the scan before mesh reconstruction, to provide less ambiguity between the arm and torso and improve waist and hip measures.

#### 5.5.2.6 Correction to existing data

The NZDFAS validation study identified the following measures as not being of sufficient quality to be extracted by automated measurement, however, a decision was made by the Project Lead at the time to retain them as automated measurements:

- Head Circumference
- Ankle Circumference
- Chest/Bust Circumference
- Elbow Circumference
- Waist Circumference, Natural Indentation

As a result of this decision, the quality of these measures is suspect. An alternate method of obtaining these measures is the further processing of the 3-D scans using CySize software. Evidence to support the collection of anthropometric measures in the NZDFAS using CySize was provided by a validation trial report prepared by the University of South Australia [13], in support of the Australian Warfighter Anthropometric Survey (AWAS). Unfortunately, the measures listed above were not included in this report, however, the AWAS landmarking and measurement guide [11] indicates Ankle Circumference being obtained using CySize. This suggests that CySize is a valid tool to obtain this measure, however, it is recommended that the authors of the AWAS report be contacted to verify its validity. As for the other measures, Head Circumference, Chest/Bust Circumference and Waist Circumference (Omphalion) were all obtained using manual methods,

suggesting CySize is not a valid measurement extraction method for these measures. Unfortunately, Elbow Circumference was not included in the AWAS so a separate validation effort would need to be conducted to confirm if this measure can be obtained using CySize.

A reassessment of the NZDFAS validation trial data revealed that the automated scan extraction validity of all the above measures was acceptable. Unfortunately, Ankle Circumference was evaluated on only three participants so the validation data for this measure is inconclusive. Reassessment of validation data involved the removal of outliers and adjusting the validation data to correct for systematic bias between the scan extracted and manual measure. As scan measures were consistently larger than the manual measures, each scan measure was adjusted by subtracting the mean difference of the manual and scan measures. To improve the accuracy of the existing NZDFAS database, it is recommended that automated scan measures be adjusted by their associated correction factor, where applicable.

Finally, as Interpupillary (IP) Breadth was measured using a transparent Perspex ruler, there is a possibility of parallax error during measuring. During pre-processing of the NZDFAS data, measurements as low as 40 mm were noted. IP distances this small are more representative of those found in children and were removed from the NZDFAS dataset as probable outliers. The resulting male NZDFAS IP distances (61.1±3.8 mm) are slightly smaller than what is found in other surveys, such as ANSUR II (64.0±3.4 mm) and CFAS (63.0±3.0 mm), which should be treated with caution. A similar trend exists for female data suggesting bias in the NZDFAS data. Figure 22 provides a visual comparison of the distribution of male IP distances in NZDFAS and ANSUR II. In future data collection efforts, it is recommended that IP Breadth be collected using a pupilometer rather than Perspex ruler, to ensure data accuracy and integrity.



Figure 22. Interpupillary Breadth of NZDFAS and ANSUR II males.

## 5.6 Limitations of existing data

## 5.6.1 Comprehensiveness of NZDFAS measures

While the NZDFAS provides a comprehensive set of anthropometric measures, it is difficult to forecast and meet the requirements of all potential applications of these data. Therefore, future project requirements may specify additional body measures that were not part of this survey. Fortunately, the library of seated and standing 3-D scans can be continuously analysed to provide additional measures as required, assuming that the current poses and identifiable landmarks are available.

Unfortunately, there are certain measures which cannot be extracted from 3-D scans with acceptable reliability and accuracy, due to technical limitations of the Vitus XXL scanner. Measures of the head, hands and feet do not scan well due to the resolution of the scanner and complex surface of these body segments. Additionally, collection of certain measures is not feasible from 3-D body scans due to their requirement for specialised postures. If, after review of available scan postures, predicting or deriving new measures is not feasible, a dedicated effort to supplement the NZDFAS by measuring additional personnel may be warranted.

Despite the relative comprehensiveness of the NZDFAS, there are several measures that were not collected that would be of value to clothing and individual equipment projects. For specifying torso borne gear, such as load carriage systems, fragmentation protection vests and ballistic plates, the following measures can readily be extracted from scan data:

- Waist-Back Length (contour)
- Waist-Back Length (vector)
- Waist-Front Length (contour)
- Waist-Front Length (vector)

Under Bust Circumference is an additional measure that is important for sizing and fitting clothing and protective equipment for women. While it is feasible to extract this measure from 3-D scans for many women, it is difficult to extract for those women who present with breast ptosis (sagging) due to larger breasts.

In addition to these torso measures, there are several head measures of value. Menton-Sellion Length is of particular importance for respirator sizing and fitting. These measures should be able to be extracted from 3-D scans with reasonable accuracy, with Tragion to Vertex being susceptible to hair artefact:

- Menton-Sellion Length (face length)
- Tragion to Vertex
- Bitragion-Chin Arc

Time will be the true assessment of the comprehensiveness of the NZDFAS, as projects related to workspace accommodation and habitability, biomechanics, clothing and

individual equipment procurement, and physical fitness leverage the current anthropometric data and introduce new measures and analysis requirements.

## 5.6.2 Collection of supplemental data

It is ideal to recover missing measurements where possible, however, there remains a strong possibility that factors such as improper scan attire (i.e. boxer shorts) and other postural or scan issues will preclude certain measurement extraction. While it may be possible to recover some manual measures using the aforementioned techniques, many measures are impacted by sufficiently large amounts of missing data that imputation may not provide reliable data. In these cases, it is advised to implement supplementary data collection to complete these missing data.

One of the key measures of concern is Head Circumference, where both missing and existing data are of concern. Missing Head Circumference almost exclusively affected female participants (38%) and was due to the bulk of hair under the scan cap affecting accurate measurement of the skull. This error was noted in the NZDFAS validation report where it was acknowledged that Head Circumference demonstrated poor reliability when measured by automated scan extraction. As measuring error is due to hair artefact rather than landmarking, the scans would not be of sufficient quality to attempt recovering these data using CySize software. In retrospect, this measure should have been obtained using manual methods to obtain an accurate measurement for both female and male participants.

While it is tempting to focus additional data collection on replacing only missing data, it is important to remember that multivariate analysis of anthropometric data is concerned with relationships between various body measures within an individual, as well as across a population. Therefore, it is important to have a complete set of data for each participant. If supplementary data collection is proposed, demographic and occupation criteria must be assessed to maintain the proportionality defined by the sampling targets and not create biases to the overall dataset.

Apart from missing body measures, additional data collection should focus on sampling additional Royal New Zealand Navy personnel to augment the current sample size to achieve proportional representation. Second, while the female cohort of the NZDFAS was proportional to the representation of women in the NZDF, the statistical power of the current sample size of 211 women would be strengthened by additional observations.

## 5.7 Application of NZDFAS data

Data presented in Appendix I should be used with care. These data provide an overview and basic statistical reference for individual measures for NZDF males and females. These data are also useful for answering requests that require univariate data, where only one measure is required and its relationship to other measures is not considered. For example, stature to define maximum cabin height in airframes. These data cannot, however, provide answers to questions of a multivariate nature (a combination of measures) without the assistance of a qualified and experienced Anthropometrist. For example, workstation design is dependent on multiple measures which must be considered simultaneously. To answer multivariate questions, advanced statistical analysis of raw anthropometric data is required. All requests for data should be directed to the current DST Human Sciences Programme.

Survey protocol/procedures, data collection, and analysis techniques have been developed specifically for this survey. The process can be modified, simplified and reduced to support various activities for the NZDF, such as conducting a targeted survey of a specific group within the NZDF, e.g. Navy divers, Special Forces, Army drivers, etc. With CySize, new measurements can be created provided the body scan images are free of artefacts and obstructions.

## 5.8 Limitations

Various measurements were affected by missing data due to digital artefacts, such as webbing, when processed in CySize. Improvements to initial posturing and provision of appropriate scan clothing can aid in reducing this data loss. The use of scan extraction for Head Circumference is invalid due to hair artefact and manual measuring methods should be used for this measure in the future. Mitigation strategies for addressing missing or biased data are provided in Section 5.5.2.

As the sample size for the NZDFAS is small, particularly for women, missing data has a negative consequence that reduces the validity of certain measures being representative of the NZDFAS male or female population. Appendix J provides guidance on the minimum required sample size for each measure and can be used to assess the adequacy of each measure's sample size. It is recommended that steps be taken to address limited data and representation of demographic groups by pursuing missing data mitigation strategies or conducting a focused anthropometric data collection effort to augment the current NZDFAS. In particular, deficiencies in the representation of Navy and of women should be addressed.

A short measurement time for each participant was one of the most critical requirements for this survey, to ensure a high volume of participants could be measured whilst capturing as many measures as possible. Some of the more traditional measurements (e.g. Stature, Hip Breadth, Bideltoid Breadth etc.) were obtained using semi-automated or fully automated digital methods (CySize or Anthroscan) to save time during the survey. Validation of Anthroscan measures was based on a limited population (as little as three participants) and may not represent the true validity of these measures. Additionally, certain measures that did not pass the validation study were included in the automated scan extraction analysis (e.g. Head, Ankle, and Chest Circumferences). Although no validation study was performed on CySize measures, the AWAS validation report provided guidance on the suitability of semi-automated measurement extraction. It has been determined that not all NZDFAS CySize measures can be accounted for by the AWAS report. It is recommended that additional review of the validity of each measure be conducted to identify measures that have not been validated. Furthermore, review of the NZDFAS validation study found a constant bias between scan measures and certain automated extracted measures using Anthroscan. Consideration of adjusting the pertinent measures in the NZDFAS database to correct for this bias is recommended.

Being the first study of its kind in the NZDF and indeed New Zealand, many of the processes developed by the survey team were based on a mixture of innovation (resource limitations) and trial and error. For example, the sampling strategy calculations, logistics planning, measurement identification procedures, measurement definition protocols, landmark identification protocols, post-survey data sorting and analysis protocols were all trialled and refined. As a result of this time, effort, and lessons learned, future surveys will be able to be conducted more effectively and efficiently.

### 5.9 Future work

The comprehensive NZDFAS body scan and measurement database is now complete. The following related activities are underway:

- Development of an NZDF Anthropometric data request template. This will help streamline requests for anthropometric data and aid the client/NZDF personnel in identifying the best data for their requirements.
- The development of a clothing sizing prediction application. The purpose of this application is to predict accurate clothing (uniform) sizes for the NZDF based on an individual's body scan.
- Identification of how NZDFAS data can support research on optimising military clothing (e.g. combat and standard dress uniform) and equipment (e.g. helmet, armour, PT equipment, weapon systems or "bomb suit") sizing and fit.

It is envisaged that future applications of the survey data will be as follows:

- Development or acquisition of visualisation and analysis tools to support the anthropometric expert and decision makers in the use and analysis of multivariate anthropometric data. This may be facilitated through international collaboration with FVEY colleagues.
- Identification of software that can facilitate multivariate analysis or define test manikins that represent the anthropometric diversity of the NZDF based on discrete measures or 3-D body scans. These can then be used to assess:
  - Work activities in 3-D, e.g. potential muscular-skeletal disorders associated with maintenance tasks.
  - Operator issues within confined platforms, such as vehicles, aircraft, and common work areas.
  - Specification of clothing, equipment and personal protective equipment (PPE) for design, fit and logistics.

• Comparison of NZ data with anthropometric data of allied nations to determine a level of interoperability for items such as clothing, equipment, platforms, or weapon systems.

## 6 Conclusions

DTA has conducted the largest tri-service anthropometry study in the NZDF to date, surveying 1,000 Regular Force personnel. The survey was also the first anthropometry study in New Zealand to utilise a 3-D whole body laser scanner.

A robust baseline tri-service anthropometric dataset of the NZDF has been created which can now be used to inform design, health, human performance and human systems integration applications. The study has laid a strong foundation for future short-term (i.e. targeted) and longer-term (i.e. longitudinal; 5 or 10 years) surveys.

The methodology utilised in this report was based on those of the Australian Defence Force, and the Canadian and US Armed forces anthropometry surveys, though DTA has introduced new techniques not seen in previous surveys, e.g. the introduction of a 3-D calliper tool for measuring maximum breadths and depths directly from a 3-D mesh in CySize.

It should be noted that some measures in the database are missing data, particularly the scan-derived measures. While some gaps can be addressed using mathematical modelling techniques, to avoid these issues and improve scan quality, future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear and adopt the correct posture during scans. The use of an alternate scan posture or a different measurement technique may be more appropriate in some cases.

The dataset represents the current make-up of the NZDF (as at 2016) with respect to trade and ethnicities. However, trades that represent the "front line", such as Combat trades, were under-represented and may form the focus for future immediate surveys. Personnel from the Navy were also under-represented. Therefore, a second survey of Navy personnel is recommended at the earliest opportunity.

The NZDFAS has a high percentage of female participants compared to overseas surveys. It is apparent from both the number of females participating and the comments provided, that female members of the NZDF want their say in decisions regarding the procurement of clothing and equipment and seek solutions/options that consider their body form.

The NZDFAS data are now part of an anonymised NZDF body scan database. Requests for specific information from this database can be made by contacting the current DST Human Sciences Programme.

## References

[1] Slappendel, C., & Wilson, B. (1992). Anthropometric estimates for New Zealand adults. *Ergonomics New Zealand*, 7(3), 5-7.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

- [2] Legg, S. (2008). *New Zealand Army Anthropometry Project Pre-scoping Report. A pre-scoping report for the Human Systems Group of the New Zealand Defence Technology Agency.* Massey University.
- [3] Toulson, P.K. (1973). *Report on the Anthropometric Survey of RNZAF Aircrew, Royal New Zealand Air Force*. Royal New Zealand Air Force.
- [4] Baxter, M. L., & Baxter, D. G. (2011). Anthropometric Characteristics of Feet of Soldiers in the New Zealand Army. *Military Medicine*, 176(4), 438–445. <u>https://doi.org/10.7205/milmed-d-10-00383</u>.
- [5] Kolose, S., Keefe, A., Rodrigues, S., Hume, P., & James, S. (2025). *New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometry Survey: Body Measurement Selection and Methods Validation.* DST-R-2025-4. Defence Science and Technology.
- [6] International Standards Organisation. (2006). *ISO 15535 General Requirements for Establishing Anthropometric Databases*. ISO.
- [7] Tomkinson, G., Daniell, N., Dale, M., & Bowler, T. (2012). *Australian Warfighter Anthropometry Survey (AWAS): Methods, Temporal Changes and Summary Statistics.* University of South Australia, Adelaide.
- [8] Keefe, A., Angel, H., & Mangan, B. (2015). *2012 Canadian Forces Anthropometric Survey (CFAS) Final Report. DRDC-RDDC-2015-R186*. Defence Research and Development Canada.
- [9] Chamberland, A., Carrier, R., Forest, F., & Hachez, G. (1998). *1997 Anthropometric Survey of the Land Forces*. DCIEM TR 98-CR-15. Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine. Department of National Defence, Toronto.
- [10] Norton, K. I. (2019). Standards for Anthropometry Assessment. In Kinanthropometry and Exercise Physiology (pp. 68–137). Taylor and Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315385662-4.
- [11] Marfell-Jones, M., Stewart, A. D., & Olds, T. (2001). International Standards for Anthropometric Assessment. International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry.
- [12] Tomkinson, G., Daniell, N., Dale, M., & Bowler, T. (2012). *Australian Warfighter Anthropometry Survey (AWAS): Landmarking and Measurement Manual.* University of South Australia, Adelaide.
- [13] Tomkinson, G., et al. (2013). *Validation Trial Report*. Health and Use of Time Group, School of Health Sciences, University of South Australia, Adelaide.
- [14] Furnell, A., Coleman, J., Ponton, K., Cockshell, S., & Fletcher, K. (2015, August). Scoping Analyses for the Anthropometric Survey of the Royal Australian Navy (ASRAN). In *Proceedings 19th Triennial Congress of the IEA* (Vol. 9, p. 14).
- [15] Paquette, S., Gordon, C., & Bradtmiller, B. (2009). Anthropometric Survey (ANSUR) II Pilot Study: Methods and Summary Statistics. Anthrotch, US Army Natick Soldier Research, Development and Engineering Center, MA.
- Gordon, C. C., Blackwell, C. L., Bradtmiller, B., Parham, J. L., Barrientos, P.,
  Paquette, S. P., Corner, B. D., Carson, J. M., Venezia, J. C., Rockwell, B. M., Mucher, M.,
  & Kristensen, S. (2014). 2012 Anthropometric Survey of U.S. Army Personnel:

*Methods and Summary Statistics*. US Army Natick Soldier Research Development and Engineering Center, MA.

- [17] Gordon, C. C., Blackwell, C. L., Bradtmiller, B., Parham, J. L., Hotzman, J., Paquette, S. P., Corner, B. D., & Hodge, B. M. (2013). 2010 Anthropometric Survey of U.S. Marine Corps Personnel: Methods and Summary Statistics. U.S. Army Natick Soldier Research Development and Engineering Center, MA.
- [18] Human Solutions GmBH. (2015). *Anthroscan User Guide*. Human Solutions Group.
- [19] JASP Team JASP Team (2020). JASP (Version 0.14.1).
- [20] Mark, R. *Defence Minister salutes our military women on Women's Day*. (2019). Retrieved from <u>https://www.beehive.govt.nz/release/defence-minister-salutes-our-military-women-women%E2%80%99s-day</u>.
- [21] New Zealand Defence Force Annual Report 2020. (2020). Retrieved from https://www.nzdf.mil.nz/assets/publication/20-099-NZDF-Annual-Report-2020-FA-WEB.PDF.
- [22] Pheasant, S. (1996). *Bodyspace: anthropometry, ergonomics and design*. Taylor & Francis: London.
- [23] Women in the NZDF. (2019). Retrieved from <u>https://www.nzdf.mil.nz/assets/Uploads/DocumentLibrary/Women-in-the-</u> <u>NZDFReport-to-30-June-2019.pdf.</u>
- [24] Pringle, R.H., et al. (2011). *Anthropometry Survey of UK Military Personnel 2006-7* (*Issue 3*). QinetiQ Ltd.
- [25] Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling Techniques, Third Edition* by William G (Third Edit). John Wiley & Sons.
- [26] Scoppio, G. (2018). Embracing indigenous culture in military organizations: The experience of Māori in the New Zealand Military. *Journal of Military and Strategic Studies*, 19(2).
- [27] Kouchi, M., & Mochimaru, M. (2005). Causes of the Measurement Errors in Body Dimensions Derived from *3D Body Scanners: Anthropological Science (Japanese Series)*, *113*(1), 63–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1537/asj.113.63</u>.
- [28] Tang, F., & Ishwaran, H. (2017). Random Forest Missing Data Algorithms. *Physiology & Behavior*, *10*(6), 363–377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/sam.11348</u>.
- [29] Son, Y., & Kim, W. (2020). Missing value imputation in stature estimation by learning algorithms using anthropometric data: A comparative study. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 10(14). https://doi.org/10.3390/app10145020.
- [30] Oketch, T. O. (2018). *Performance of Imputation Algorithms on Artificially Produced Missing at Random Data*. Tennessee State University. Retrieved from <u>http://dc.etsu.edu/etd%5Cnhttp://dc.etsu.edu/etd/3217</u>.

## Appendix A Measurement Room Layout

This appendix describes the measurement room layout and setup (as shown in Figure A-1). The enclosed measurement space (at least 3 m x 3 m) was constructed using room divider boards if a room of the required size was not available, and was situated near the body scanner. Two separate measurement cubicles/rooms were often used.

Each measurement room contained the following:

- two measurement boards (to create a flat and level surface)
- one anthropometry box (for seated measurements and landmarks)
- one stadiometer (for Seated Height measurements)
- one Brannock device (for foot measurements)
- one large table (to lay out measuring tools)
- one belt (for preferred waist landmarking)
- one hand measurements board (for hand measurements)
- one clear Perspex ruler (for Interpupillary Breadth measurement)
- one small bone calliper (for small breadths like Hand Breadth)
- tapes one steel anthropometric tape measure (for general landmarking) and one standard builders tape (for Buttock-Heel Length measurement)
- head-square plates (adapted use for Buttock-Heel Measurement)
- one anthropometer (for measurements such as Index Finger Reach)
- one segmometer (for Hand Length and Palm Length measurements)
- one large calliper (for Head Length and Head Breadth measurements)
- consumables (marker pens for landmarking, alcohol wipes for cleaning equipment, hand sanitiser to maintain hygiene, black stickers for landmarking for the scan)
- one laptop (to record measurements)
- one chair (for the measurement scribe)



Figure A-1. Schematic of the measuring room layout.

To accommodate the 3-D body scanner, survey sites were required to have:

- a ceiling height of at least 3 metres
- a floor area in excess of 2.2 x 2.2 m
- the capacity to darken the room for the best scanning results (e.g. drawn curtains), with no direct sunlight entering the room, and no major swings in temperature and humidity
- A vibration-free and level floor

A schematic of the scan booth and platform location is provided in Figure A-2.

#### UNCLASSIFIED



Figure A-2. Scanner floor layout.

## Appendix B Equipment and Instrumentation

The following appendix contains a comprehensive list of the measuring equipment, tools and consumables used to collect body measurements for the NZDF Anthropometry Survey. Manufacturer or supplier details are included where necessary.



Table B-1. NZDFAS measuring equipment.

Steel anthropometric tape ( <i>Cescorf</i> 6 mm wide x 2 m long)	
Standard builders tape	Contraction of the second seco
Standard clear Perspex ruler	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 TALIFLIS TAL
Stadiometer	
( <i>Seca</i> 213 and 217)	


Anthropometry box (Custom DTA built to standard anthropometry box dimensions 30 cm x 40 cm x 50 cm)	
Non-elastic belt (Polyurethane or leather)	
Head-square plates	
( <i>Rosscraft Innovations</i> Centurion kit, adapted use for Buttock-Heel Length measurement)	
Consumables Fine-tip markers for manual landmarking 14 mm adhesive black dots with 6 mm hole for scanner landmarking Alcohol prep pads and wipes Hand sanitiser	Lever concession
3-D body scanner ( <i>Human Solutions</i> VITUS Smart XXI, with associated	A contract price provide the contract price provide the contract of the contract the contract of the contract the contract of the contract the contract of the contract o





# Appendix C Briefing Procedure and Informed Consent

All NZDFAS participants received a brief upon arrival to explain the purpose of the survey, address any questions, and collect informed consent. The brief was delivered by one of the trained survey staff and included two parts: (i) an initial brief and (ii) informed consent.

## **Initial brief**

Participants were briefed either individually or in small groups if multiple participants arrived together. Although a standardised script was not used, the brief generally covered:

- the aims and objectives of the anthropometry survey
- the data to be collected (demographic data, manual measurement data and digital 3-D scan data)
- the privacy and security of the collected data (only approved DTA scientists and researchers would have access to the full data and scans, data was de-identified with a participant ID code and saved on password-protected devices)
- the measurement and scanning procedure (sequence of manual measurements and scanning, number of measurements, etc.)
- what was required of participants during measurement and scanning (clothing requirements, posture requirements during the scan, etc.)

Participants were given an opportunity to have any questions or concerns addressed at the end of this initial introduction.

## **Informed consent**

Following the initial brief, individuals who were willing to participate were asked to complete an informed consent form and assigned a unique participant ID code. It was emphasised that:

- the study had received ethics approval from the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (AUTEC)
- the study was safe and all data collected was confidential
- participation was voluntary and individuals were free to withdraw consent and leave at any point

A copy of the informed consent form is included below.



 
 Defence Technology Agency
 T +64 (0)9 445 5914

 New Zealand Defence Force
 F +64 (0)9 445 5890

 Naval Base
 E s kolose@dta.mil.mi
 Naval Base Private Bag 32901 Auckland 0744, New Zealand

E s.kolose@dta.mil.nz

Participant File Number: \_\_\_\_\_

6 May 2016

### NZDF ANTHROPOMETRY SURVEY AND DATABASE: INFORMED CONSENT FOR USE OF DATA FOR RESEARCH

Name: Work Address:	Service Number:		
 Work Phone:	Work E-mail:	_	
Emergency contact:			
Name:	Relationship:		
Рһопе #1:	Phone #2:		
<ol> <li>The details of the NZDF ar explained to me. I am clear abo purpose of the test(s), the potent have been answered.</li> </ol>	nthropometry survey/test and on-going anthropometry database have been out what will be involved (test protocol and measures) and I am aware of the tial benefits and the potential risks. Any questions I have about the test session	Yes No	
2. I have disclosed any injury or illness that will affect my ability to complete the test(s).		Yes	
		No	
3. My permission to perform this	test(s) is voluntary; I am free to stop the test(s) at any time, if I so desire.	Yes	
		No	
4. I acknowledge that there are risks and dangers inherent in any testing and declare that I know of no reason why I should not complete the test(s) described.			
5. I agree that my data may be stored indefinitely in the NZDF anthropometry database. I agree that my de- identified scan can be used for future research projects, and shared with other NZDF approved researchers without me providing any additional consent beyond this consent. The kind of projects my de-identified data might be used for include AUT University approved student research for degree completions and international collaborative research. The de-identified database is accessible to an approved list of researchers and may also be shared with other researchers overseas for approved projects. My data is linked to my name only on the subject identifier section of the database which is separate from the de-identified data on the NZDF anthropometry database. I may request withdrawal of my data from the database at any time.		Yes No	
6. I agree to my contact details being stored indefinitely in the subject identifier section of NZDF anthropometry database in case I need to be contacted for possible follow-up research (e.g. long term follow-up studies).		Yes	
No			

7 I have read the Participant Information Sheet and I agree in advance, individual test results are confidential and only approved personnel, namely Mr. Stephven Kolose – Project Lead; and Mr. Andy Richardson – Director Human Systems, DTA), will have access to the raw data and the database will also be used for Mr. Stephven Kolose PhD with AUT University.				Yes No		
Participant name:		Signed:		_Date: _		
Signature of parent/guardian if under 18:						
Tester/Briefed by:						
Name:	Signed:		Date:			



Stephven Kolose Human Factors Scientist Defence Technology Agency

# Appendix D NZDFAS Demographics Questionnaire

Following completion of the initial brief and informed consent upon arrival, all NZDFAS participants were then asked to provide demographic data. The PEDA (PErsonal DAta) file feature within the scanner associated Anthroscan software was used to record this demographic information. PEDA files were completed by one of the trained survey staff during a face-to-face interview with each participant. Figure D-1 and Table D-1 detail the information collected and options presented.

🔶 NZDF Dem	nographics Data Collection	-		$\times$
ID				
<u>I</u> D	]			
Date of Birth DD/MM/YYY	,			
Sex	male 🗸			
Handedness	right 🔽			
Ethnicity	Other 🗸			
Posting Location	Whenuapai 🔽			
Service	Army 🔽			
Trade	Combat			
Years of Service	0-5 🗸			
Shirt Size				
Fit Shirt	Good			
Trouser Size				
Fit Trousers	Good			
Data Collector	r			
Recent physical injury	,			
Comment box for measurer	s			
				. 1
		Submit	Canc	:el

# Figure D-1. Example of NZDFAS demographics and clothing fit satisfaction questionnaire.

Table D-1. Description	of NZDFAS demographics	questionnaire fields.
------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Field	Description
ID	9-digit ID assigned to the participant (6-digits representing the measurement date (DD/MM/YY) followed by 3-digits representing the count for that day e.g. 110618001).
Date of birth	The participant's date of birth, collected in the DD/MM/YYYY format.
Sex	The sex of the participant. Two options were provided: male or female.
Handedness	The handedness of the participant describing their dominant or preferred hand. Three options were provided: left, right, or ambidextrous.

Ethnicity	The main ethnicity that the participant identified with. Six options were provided: European, Māori/Pacific Island, Asia, Middle East/Latin America, Africa, or Other.
Posting location	The posting location of the participant. Nine options were provided (corresponding to the nine major NZDF camps): Whenuapai, Philomel, Papakura, Waiouru, Linton, Ohakea, Trentham, Woodbourne, or Burnham.
Service	The service that the participant belonged to. Three options were provided: Army, Navy, or Air Force.
Trade	The trade that the participant belonged to. Nine options were provided (based on the main trade categories on the NZDF website at the time of the survey): Combat, Specialist, Medical/Health, Apprentice, Engineering/Technical, Hospitality, Intelligence/IT/COMs, Logistics/Admin, Aviation, or Other.
Years of service	The completed years of service for the participant. Nine options were provided: 0-5, 6-10, 11-15, 16-20, 21-25, 26-30, 31-35, 36-40, or 40 plus.
Shirt size	The participant's shirt size of their everyday working uniform (i.e. the "General Working Dress" or GWD for Navy, the "Multi-terrain Combat Uniform" or MCU for Army, and the "General Purpose Uniform" or GPU for Air Force).
Fit shirt	The self-rated fit of participant's shirt, referring to their everyday working uniform. Five options were provided (based on a five-point Likert scale): Very good, Good, Acceptable, Poor, or Very Poor.
Trouser size	The participant's trouser size of their everyday working uniform.
Fit trousers	The self-rated fit of participant's trousers, referring to their everyday working uniform. Five options were provided (based on a five-point Likert scale): Very good, Good, Acceptable, Poor, or Very Poor.
Data collector	The name of the survey staff member collecting the demographic information.
Recent physical injury	A brief list of recent physical injuries for the participant (important for landmarking and measurement procedure).
Comment box for measurer	A field for the survey staff member to record any issues of clothing and/or equipment fit reported by the participant. This was posed as an open- ended question where participants were simply asked to describe any issues with their clothing/uniform fit and/or the equipment they used on a day-to-day basis.

## Appendix E Methods to Address Scan Anomalies

Artefacts were found in the digital 3D body scans during post-scan processing and the CySize analysis. Figure E-1 and Table E-1 depict typical scan anomalies observed in the NZDFAS dataset, and the recommended actions to address these.



Figure E-1. Common artefacts with CySize analysis - webbed skin (A), holes on the surface (B) or unknown artefacts (C - artefact below the left thigh).

Issue	Recommended Action
<b>Difficulty in seeing landmarks clearly</b> . Some landmarks were obstructed from view due to a digital phenomenon or artefact known as "webbing". Webbing occurs when two surfaces of the body are too close together and the software connects them during post processing (see items labelled A in Figure E-1).	<ul> <li>Recalculate the automatic body auto-fill function and modify the cut lines for the arms and the crotch.</li> <li>Zoom in on the webbing, sometimes there are "holes" where the digital tape can still pass through unimpeded, providing it is still in the approximate vicinity.</li> <li>Alternatively, take the measure just above or below the webbing, providing it still meets requirements in the measurement protocol definition.</li> <li>If the webbing is too extensive or there is clear doubt, skip this measure.</li> </ul>
<b>Measurements can be obscured by other</b> <b>body parts</b> . For example, the upper bicep being too close to (or touching) the chest, thus affecting clear Chest Circumference or Chest Breadth measurements.	<ul> <li>Reinspect the scan using the "segmentation" tool within CySize. This tool allows the user to manually specify cut lines to separate close body segments.</li> <li>If the issue persists, skip this measure.</li> </ul>
<b>The surface mesh can appear to have a</b> <b>"void" in the scan surface</b> (see item labelled B in Figure E-1).	<ul> <li>The void may be due to a misalignment of one of the eight scan patches that comprise each body scan model. Anthroscan provides an "adjust patches" utility which can be used to address these misalignments.</li> <li>Recalculate auto body fill.</li> <li>If this problem persists, skip this measure.</li> </ul>
<b>Unknown artefact or objects in the scan.</b> For example, see item labelled C in Figure E- 1.	<ul> <li>Adjust body cut points and recalculate auto body fill.</li> <li>In the example of the purple patch in Figure E-1, the auto hole filling function used during scan post process was unable to differentiate between the body and the seat pan, hindering the placement of the seat pan height landmark. Searching for a suitable surface on the opposite side of the seat pan may be an acceptable alternative as only the Y coordinate of the landmark is required in this case, regardless of the horizontal location on the seat pan.</li> <li>If in doubt, skip this measure.</li> </ul>
Inter-operator differences in how each landmark location is interpreted.	<ul> <li>Schedule regular meetings (weekly or fortnightly) to communicate concerns or discrepancies.</li> <li>Develop an "issues" register for unclear landmarks that the Lead Researcher checks and provides feedback on.</li> <li>Lead Researcher should conduct regular checks of the CySize measurer's landmark positioning and measurement positions.</li> </ul>
<b>Participant body scan images off-axis</b> (i.e. not in an x, y, z compatible position). This can be due to participant's incorrect body posturing within the scanner. For example, a participant may be facing slightly to the right, or body "hunched" forward, left or right.	<ul> <li>This issue was communicated to the CySize developer who developed an x, y, z correction tool. This allows the image to be re-aligned to the correct plane prior to taking measurements.</li> <li>If body posture is extremely off-axis, then the scan may need to be deleted/ignored.</li> </ul>

### Table E-1. CySize analysis challenges and recommended actions.

# Appendix F Landmarks

To accurately obtain anthropometric measurements in the survey, a series of anatomical landmarks were identified on the body. These can be divided into "marked" (physically or digitally labelled) and "unmarked" (not labelled) landmarks. For each landmark, a definition, visual image, description of the identification method and landmark source are detailed below. Note that for "source", secondary sources or other surveys with similar definitions are included in brackets.

The Frankfurt plane is frequently referred to in the landmarking procedures and describes the head position where the orbitale (the inferior point on the anterior border of the bony eye socket, identified by gentle palpation) and tragion (the superior point on the juncture of the cartilaginous flap (tragus) of the ear with the head) are horizontally aligned when viewed from the side (Figure F-1).



Figure F-1. Frankfurt plane.

Landmarks and body measurements are typically aligned to anatomical reference planes. For example, circumferential measures are typically measured at an angle perpendicular to the long axis of the limbs. Vertical Trunk Circumference and Waist Circumference Preferred are examples of exceptions to this rule as they follow physical landmarks that are not aligned to body axes. For the legs, head and torso, the long axis is congruent with the horizontal (transverse) plane. Depth measures are typically made along, or parallel to, the sagittal (anterior-posterior) plane, and breadth measurements are aligned with the frontal (coronal) plane. Figure F-2 provides a visualisation of the three anatomical planes, as well as the X, Y, Z coordinate system that is applied to each 3-D body scan by CySize.



Figure F-2. Anatomical planes and coordinate system used for taking manual and CySize-based measurements.

The following tables provide an index and descriptions of all marked and unmarked (i.e. visually identified) landmarks used in the NZDFAS.

Table F-1. Descriptions and images of marked landmarks.

MARKED LANDMARKS				
Landmark	Description	Image		
Acromion, Right and Left	<ul> <li><b>Definition:</b> The point on the superior aspect of the lateral part of the acromion border.</li> <li><b>Source:</b> ISAK (ANSUR I/II, AWAS, CLFS, CFAS)</li> </ul>			
	<b>Identification method:</b> The participant stands in the anthropometric position. Standing behind the participant, palpate the spine of the scapula towards the corner of the acromion (this represents the start of the lateral border which usually runs anteriorly, slightly superiorly and medially). The acromion has an associated bone thickness; palpate superiorly to the top margin of the acromion border in line with the lateral aspect. Apply the straight edge of a pen to the lateral and superior margin of the acromion to confirm the location of the lateral part of the border, and then mark this point. The same procedure is used for both left and right shoulders. The landmarks are also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan (both right and left) and the P03 sitting scan (only Acromion Right).			

Anterior Scye/Axilla	<ul> <li>Definition: The apex of the anterior axillary fold on the torso.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CFLS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands in the anthropometric position, with both hands on the hips. Firmly place the edge of a plastic ruler into the right armpit, in a horizontal position, and then prompt the participant to carefully lower the arm to rest against their side, clamping the ruler in place. Ensure that the ruler is level, then prompt the participant to relax the shoulders and mark the top of the ruler on the anterior side of the torso. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan</li> </ul>	
Buttock Point Posterior	<ul> <li>Definition: The point of maximum protrusion of the right buttock.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS), adapted for digital landmarking</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is digitally identified on the P02 standard scan and the P03 sitting scan. Use CySize section tools (X axis) to locate the most protruding point on the right buttock.</li> </ul>	

Cervicale (C7, Nape)	<b>Definition:</b> The superior palpable point of the spine of the seventh cervical vertebra.	
	Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS) Identification method: The participant stands in the anthropometric position. The spine of the seventh cervical vertebra is generally the most prominent bony process on the back of the neck. Prompt the participant to bend the head downwards and palpate the most prominent spinous process with the pad of the index finger. Ask the participant to slowly bring the head up to the Frankfurt plane, while physically tracking the seventh vertebra during this movement. Once the head is in place, mark the most prominent point of the seventh cervical vertebra spine. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan and the P03 sitting scan.	
Crotch Point	<ul> <li>Definition: The underside of the groin on the right of the genitalia.</li> <li>Source: CFAS (ANSUR II, AWAS), adapted for digital landmarking</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is digitally identified on both standing scans (P01 and P02). Use CySize section tools (Y and Z axes) to locate the lowest point of the groin area, on the right of male genitalia.</li> </ul>	

Dactylion III	<b>Definition:</b> The tip of the middle finger.	
	<b>Source:</b> ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS), adapted for digital landmarking	
	<b>Identification method:</b> This landmark is digitally identified on the P03 sitting scan. Use CySize section tools (X axis) to locate the most protruding point on the tip of the middle finger of the right hand (excluding the fingernail).	
Ectocanthus	<b>Definition:</b> The outside corner of the right eye formed by the meeting of the upper and lower eyelids.	
	Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS), adapted for digital landmarking Identification method: This landmark is digitally	
	identified on the P01 standing scan and the P03 sitting scan by visual inspection.	
Glabella	<b>Definition:</b> The anterior point on the frontal bone midway between the bony brow ridges.	
	Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)	
	<b>Identification method:</b> The participant stands with the head in a neutral position and looks straight ahead with brows relaxed. Visually identify the location of the glabella as the anterior point on the brow ridge and	

	confirm by palpation. Mark the site in line with the midsagittal plane. Note: On some participants there is no distinctly anterior point; in such circumstances, use judgement to establish its location.
Hallux	Definition: The tip of the first or innermost toe (big toe). Identification method: This landmark is digitally identified on the P03 sitting scan. Use CySize section tools (X axis) to locate the most protruding point on the tip of the big toe on the right foot (excluding the toenail).
Iliocristale	<ul> <li>Definition: The point on the most lateral aspect of the iliac tubercle, which is on the iliac crest.</li> <li>Source: ISAK (CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands in a relaxed position with the right arm folded across the chest. Standing behind the participant, firmly press the right hip bone with the right hand to locate the top of the iliac crest, using the left hand to provide resistance on the left side of the pelvis and stabilise the body. Once identified, palpate horizontally to locate the most lateral aspect of the crest and mark this site. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan.</li> </ul>

Medial malleolus	Definition: The medial point of the medial malleolus (inside ankle bone).Source: ANSUR I/II (CFAS, CLFS), adapted for digital landmarkingIdentification method: This landmark is digitally identified on the P03 sitting scan. Use CySize section tools (Y axis) to locate the most medially protruding point on the inside ankle bone.	
Midpatella	<ul> <li>Definition: The anterior point halfway between the top and bottom of the right patella (the kneecap).</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands erect on the anthropometry box with the knee relaxed. Locate the kneecap with the forefinger on the superior edge of the patella and the thumb on the inferior edge of the patella. Visually identify the midpoint between both edges and mark this site.</li> <li>Caution: Participants commonly lock their knees during the identification of this landmark. If the participant is experiencing difficulty in relaxing the knee, firmly hold the participant's thigh a few inches above the knee and then let go to relax the patella. This landmark is also digitally marked in the PO1 standing scan.</li> </ul>	

Midshoulder	<ul> <li>Definition: The point on the top of the right shoulder midway between the neck (Trapezius Point, Right) and the tip of the right shoulder (Acromion, Right).</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands in the anthropometric position. Standing behind the participant, lay the anthropometric tape along the top of the shoulder from the Trapezius Point (at the juncture of the neck and shoulder) to the Acromion Landmark at the tip of the right shoulder. Mark the site at one-half of the measured distance, ensuring that this point crosses over the top of the trapezius muscle. This landmark is also digitally labelled in the P02 standard scan.</li> </ul>	
Midstylion	<ul> <li>Definition: The midpoint, on the anterior surface of the wrist, of the horizontal line at the level of the stylion.</li> <li>Source: ISAK</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands with their right hand extended in front of them. Align the anthropometric tape around the participant's wrist (palm facing up) in line with the Stylion Landmark and perpendicular to the long axis of the forearm. Estimate the mid-point between the medial and lateral edges of the wrist and mark this site in line with the tape.</li> </ul>	

Olecranon Bottom	<ul> <li>Definition: The inferior aspect of the olecranon (tip of the ulna bone) with the elbow flexed at 90°.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CLFS), adapted for digital landmarking</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is visually identified on the digital P03 sitting scan. CySize section tools (Y and Z axes) can be used to confirm the location of the most inferior point on the surface of the flexed right elbow joint.</li> </ul>	
Olecranon Rear	<ul> <li>Definition: The posterior point of the olecranon (tip of the ulna bone) with the elbow flexed 90°.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (CLFS), adapted for digital landmarking</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is visually located on the digital P03 sitting scan. CySize section tools (X and Y axes) can be used to confirm the location of the most posterior point on the surface of the flexed right elbow joint.</li> </ul>	

Popliteal Point	<ul> <li>Definition: The juncture between the right calf and thigh behind the knee, with the knee flexed 90°.</li> <li>Source: AWAS (ANSUR I/II), adapted for digital landmarking</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is visually located on the digital P03 sitting scan. CySize section tools (X and Y axes) can be used to confirm the location of the innermost point of the thigh-calf junction at the back of the right knee, along the mid-sagittal plane of the right leg.</li> </ul>	
Radiale	<ul> <li>Definition: The superior point on the lateral border of the head of the radius.</li> <li>Source: ISAK (ANSUR I/II, AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands relaxed with arms hanging by the sides. Palpate the hollow dimple on the lateral side of the right elbow and locate the articular space between the humerus and the radius. Palpate the site downwards to locate the superior point on the lateral edge of the head of the radius, and mark this site without pulling the skin up or down. In difficult cases, hold the participant's wrist and slightly rotate the forearm to aid identification of the radius head during palpation. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan.</li> </ul>	

Second Thoracic Vertebra (T2)	<ul> <li>Definition: The superior point of the spine of the second thoracic vertebra.</li> <li>Source: AWAS</li> <li>Identification method: The participant can sit or stand for this landmark. Palpate the bony protrusions on the back of the neck down the spine using the index finger and thumb to track the length of each vertebra. Identify the bony protrusion two segments down from the Cervicale or C7 landmark (the most prominent spinous process at the back of the neck) and mark this site. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan.</li> </ul>	
Stylion	<ul> <li>Definition: The inferior point on the lateral margin of the styloid process of the radius.</li> <li>Source: ISAK (ANSUR I/II, AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands with their right hand forward and wrist lifted in front of them. Palpate the thumb side of wrist toward the wrist to locate the end of the radius and mark this site. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan.</li> </ul>	

Submandibular	<ul> <li>Definition: The juncture, in the midsagittal plane, of the lower jaw and the neck.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant sits with the head positioned in the Frankfurt plane. Place a pen on the underside of the jaw and lightly roll back toward the neck until it stops. Ensure that this landmark is above the infrathyroid before marking the site. On participants with a sloping anterior neck, use judgement about the likely position of a helmet strap.</li> </ul>	
Suprapatella	<ul> <li>Definition: The superior point of the patella (kneecap).</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant sits with the right leg extended forward. Palpate the patella from the lateral and medial sides, working up to the superior border through the patellar tendon. Once identified, reposition the participant's knee to form a 90° bend and track the superior border of the patella during the movement. Mark the site once in position. This landmark is also digitally marked in the P03 sitting scan.</li> </ul>	

Tenth Rib	<b>Definition:</b> The inferior point of the tenth rib bone.	
	Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)	
	<b>Identification method:</b> The participant stands erect. Palpate the bottom of the rib cage on the right side of the body, toward the front until the bottom of the tenth rib is located. Mark this site. On heavier participants, palpate horizontally from the lateral side, being careful not to move flesh from above or below the area. Apply firm pressure to locate the deep bony structure but avoid prolonged palpation of this area as participants may be sensitive in this region. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan.	
Thelion/Bustpoint	<b>Definition:</b> The anterior point of the right bra cup for females (Bustpoint) or the centre of the nipple for males (Thelion).	
	<b>Source:</b> ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CLFS), adapted for digital landmarking	K
	<b>Identification method:</b> This landmark is digitally identified on all three posture scans (P01, P02 and P03). Use CySize section tools (X axis) to locate the most protruding point on the right bra cup in females (Bustpoint), or visually identify the centre of the right nipple in males (Thelion).	

Thigh Point Top	<ul> <li>Definition: The highest point of the top of the right thigh of a seated individual.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS), adapted for digital landmarking</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is digitally identified on the P03 sitting posture scan. Use CySize section tools (Z axis) to locate the highest point on the top of the right thigh.</li> </ul>	
Tibiale Laterale	<ul> <li>Definition: The superior point on the lateral border of the tibial plateau of the knee.</li> <li>Source: ISAK</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands on the anthropometry box. Palpate the knee area using firm pressure to locate the joint space bounded by the lateral condyle of the femur and the antero-lateral part of the lateral tibial condyle (perpendicular to the axis of the leg). Locate the superior point on the lateral border of the tibial head by pressing firmly inwards. Mark the site roughly one-third of the distance along the border moving posteriorly. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan.</li> <li>Note: This landmark can be difficult to identify due to thick lateral ligaments and tendons that run across the</li> </ul>	

	extend the knee several times to ensure that the correct site has been marked.	
Trapezius Point, Right and Left	<ul> <li>Definition: The point at which the anterior border of the trapezius muscle crosses the lateral point at the base of the neck.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands and looks straight ahead with their right hand placed on the left shoulder (to help outline the trapezius muscle on the right shoulder). Moving from the shoulder to the neck, palpate the mass of the trapezius muscle to locate its anterior border. Mark the point where the anterior border of the muscle crosses the lateral sides of the neck. Repeat this procedure for the other side.</li> </ul>	
Trochanterion	<ul> <li>Definition: The superior point of the greater trochanter of the femur (not the lateral point).</li> <li>Source: ISAK (ANSUR I/II, AWAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands in a relaxed position with the right arm across the chest. Palpate the lateral aspect of the gluteal muscle with the heel of the right hand to locate the greater trochanter, while supporting the left side of the participant's hip with the left hand. Locate the lowest point on the thigh where the superior surface of the trochanter can be felt when</li> </ul>	

	strong downward and medial pressure is applied. Mark this site. This landmark is also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan. Note: This landmark can be difficult to locate, particularly in individuals with thick adipose tissue at the hip joint. It is often helpful to have participants flex at the hip and knee to assist location, or extend the right leg forward and pivot on the heel. Once located, track this point whilst returning the participant's leg to the vertical. On heavier participants, ensure that palpation is applied directly horizontal and the flesh either above or below the landmark is not moved.	
Waist Preferred, Anterior and Posterior	<b>Definition:</b> The height at which a participant would comfortably wear a belt or the waistband of their trousers. <b>Source:</b> AWAS <b>Identification method:</b> The participant stands in a relaxed position. Ask the participant to wear a belt, placing it at their preferred position. Mark the anterior landmark just above the belt in line with the Omphalion (Waist Preferred Anterior), and the posterior landmark just above the belt in line with the spine (Waist Preferred Posterior). These landmarks are also digitally marked on the P01 standing scan (only Waist Preferred Posterior) and the P02 standard scan (both landmarks).	

### Table F-2. Descriptions and images of unmarked landmarks.

UNMARKED LANDMARKS		
Landmark	Description	Image
Acropodion	<ul> <li>Definition: The tip of the first (Hallux) or second toe, whichever is longer.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CFLS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually during manual measurement as the most anterior point on the longest toe, excluding the toenail.</li> </ul>	
Biceps Point	<ul> <li>Definition: The highest point of the right flexed biceps brachii muscle as viewed from the participant's right side.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands with the right upper arm extended forward and the elbow flexed at 90°, with the fist tightly clenched and held facing the head. Prompt the participant to flex the biceps brachii muscle and locate the highest point on this muscle via visual inspection.</li> </ul>	

Deltoid Muscle	<ul> <li>Definition: The large muscle on the lateral border of the shoulder.</li> <li>Source: ISO 7250-1:2008(E)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located on the digital P03 sitting scan via visual inspection.</li> </ul>	
Inguinal Point	<ul> <li>Definition: The crease at the angle of the trunk and the anterior thigh with the participant in the seated position.</li> <li>Source: ISAK</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located on the digital P03 sitting scan via visual inspection.</li> </ul>	

Metacarpali II and V	Definition:	
	<ul> <li>Metacarpale II - The lateral point of the right metacarpophalangeal joint II (at the base of the index finger on the outer edge of the hand).</li> <li>Metacarpale V - The medial point of the right metacarpophalangeal joint V (at the base of the little finger on the outer edge of the hand).</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant sits with their right hand placed palm down on a table. Palpate the joint at the base of the index finger and the little finger to locate the most laterally protruding points.</li> </ul>	
Metatarsophalangeal Protrusion I and V	<ul> <li>Definition: The medial protrusion of the right foot in the region of the first metatarsophalangeal joint (I), and the lateral protrusion of the right foot in the region of the fifth metatarsophalangeal joint (V).</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands on a flat surface with weight equally distributed on both feet. Position the foot so that the inner side is parallel to a line on the surface. Visually locate the maximum protrusion on the inside and outside of the foot near the first and fifth metatarsophalangeal joints. If the protrusions are</li> </ul>	

	not clearly defined, palpate the joints to locate the most laterally protruding bony points.	
Omphalion	<ul> <li>Definition: The centre of the navel or belly button on the waist.</li> <li>Source: CFAS (ANSUR I/II, AWAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually during manual measurement and on the digital P02 standing scan, and is used as a guide for other markers (e.g. Waist Breadth markers).</li> </ul>	
Opisthocranion	<ul> <li>Definition: The posterior point on the back of the head.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR II (AWAS, CFAS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually during manual measurement.</li> </ul>	

Pternion	<ul> <li>Definition: The posterior point on the heel of the foot.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually during manual measurement.</li> </ul>	
Suprasternale	<ul> <li>Description: The inferior point of the jugular notch of the sternum (top of the breastbone).</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually on the digital P02 standing posture scan.</li> </ul>	

Substernale	<ul> <li>Definition: The inferior palpable point on the sternum.</li> <li>Source: AWAS</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually on the digital P03 sitting scan.</li> </ul>	
Tragion, Right and Left	<ul> <li>Definition: The superior point on the juncture of the cartilaginous flap (tragus) of the ear with the head.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CFLS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually during manual measurement.</li> </ul>	

Vertex	<ul> <li>Definition: The highest point on the head when the head is in the Frankfurt plane.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: This landmark is located visually during manual measurement.</li> </ul>	
Zygion, Right and Left	<ul> <li>Description: The lateral points on the zygomatic arch.</li> <li>Source: ANSUR I/II (AWAS, CFAS, CLFS)</li> <li>Identification method: The participant stands and looks straight ahead with the facial muscles relaxed. Visually identify the most lateral point on each zygomatic arch and confirm by palpation.</li> </ul>	

# Appendix G Measurement Guide

This section presents a simple visual guide of all measurements taken in the NZDF Anthropometry Survey except for body weight. These can be classified into heights, lengths, breadths, depths, circumferences, reaches, hand measurements and foot measurements. All human models were created using DAZ3D (<u>www.daz3D.com</u>) software and published in accordance with the regulations indicated by the DAZ3D end user license agreement.

## G.1 Heights & Clearances




#### G.2 Breadths



(10) Biacromial breadth(24) Chest breadth(79) Waist breadth(51) Hip breadth, standing



#### G.3 Lengths & Depths



#### G.4 Reaches



#### G.5 Circumferences





- (62) Neck circumference, base
- (27) Chest/bust circumference
- (33) Elbow circumference
- (80) Waist circumference, natural indentation
- (81) Waist circumference, preferred
- (15) Buttock circumference
- (52) Hip circumference, maximum
- (85) Wrist circumference
- (73) Thigh circumference (58) Knee circumference
- (21) Calf circumference
- (5) Ankle circumference
- (78) Vertical trunk circumference
- (11) Biceps circumference, flexed

G.6 Hand Measures DST R-2025-3



- (46) Hand length
- (63) Palm length
- (54) Index finger breadth, distal
- (55) Index finger breadth, proximal

#### G.7 Foot Measures



(40) Foot breadth (41) Foot length (9) Ball of foot length (61) Malleolus-hallux length (8) Ball of foot circumference

#### G.8 Head & Face Measures



(13) Bitragion submandibular arc



(49) Head length



(48) Head circumference

DST R-2025-3

## **Appendix H Measurement Description**

This section provides a detailed description of each measurement taken in the NZDF Anthropometry Survey using manual, automated or semi-automated measuring techniques. The purpose of this section is to document the measurement methods of the NZDFAS and provide a reference for future studies where anthropometric data is collected. Each measure is accompanied by its definition, a reference to the measurement definition source (e.g., previous military survey or international standard), the required measuring tools, and details regarding the measurement protocol.

## H.1 Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting

Definition	The largest horizontal depth of the abdomen region between the Substernale Landmark and the Inguinal Point in the seated posture.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Substernale and Inguinal Point
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan. Highlight the torso region between the Substernale Landmark and the Inguinal Point and use the calliper tool to find the largest depth (X function) within the highlighted region. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.
	Note: This measure cannot be obtained from scans containing artefacts in the abdomen region (often caused by shadowing from the hands). Future surveys should investigate a different technique to obtain this measure given the high frequency of these scan artefacts.



# H.2 Acromial Height, Standing

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Acromion Right
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Acromion Right Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



# H.3 Acromial Height, Sitting

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Acromion Right and Seat Pan Height Marker
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the difference between the Y coordinate of the Acromion Right Landmark and the Y coordinate of the Seat Pan Height Marker (which marks the top edge of the seat pan). The calculation is performed in an Excel spreadsheet. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.





## H.4 Acromion-Radiale Length

Definition	The distance between the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder and the Radiale Landmark on the right elbow.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Acromion Right and Radiale
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the point-to-point distance between the Acromion Right and Radiale landmarks.
	Note: Despite the appearance of a contoured surface line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.



#### H.5 Ankle Circumference

Definition	The horizontal perimeter of the lower leg measured at the height of the left anklebone (Lateral Malleolus), measured parallel to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 9550]
Landmark(s)	Lateral Malleolus
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal.</li> <li>Future surveys should obtain this measurement from the right foot instead of the left foot, as is standard anthropometry practice.</li> <li>Future surveys should investigate a more accurate technique of obtaining this measure (e.g. manual measurement).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



Definition	The distance between the tips of the middle fingers (Dactylion III) of the arms, both stretched maximally horizontally.
Source	ISAK
Landmark(s)	Dactylion III (left and right hand)
Instrument(s)	<ul> <li>A clear section of wall (flat, at least 2.5 m wide and with a clear corner or edge), with a blank sheet of paper temporarily fixed to the wall surface roughly 1.5 m from the edge or corner</li> <li>Standard builders tape</li> </ul>
Procedure	The participant stands erect against a wall with the feet together and arms spread out laterally at 90° to the body. Align the fingertip of the right hand with the edge of the wall. Prompt the participant to take a deep breath in and extend both arms laterally as far as possible. Ensure that the Dactyllion III (middle finger) fingertip remains in contact with the edge of the wall, and the heels, buttocks, upper back and back surfaces of the arms remain in contact with the wall surface. Mark the tip of the Left Dactylion III on the sheet of paper. Measure the distance from the right edge of the wall to this line with the builders tape to the nearest 0.1 cm, ensuring the tape is horizontal. Note: Future surveys should consider using a wall-mounted scale for ease and faster measurements.

## H.6 Arm Span



# H.7 Axilla Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the right axillary fold designated by the Anterior Scye Landmark on the front of the torso.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Anterior Scye
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Anterior Scye Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.
	Note: The Anterior Scye Landmark can be hidden in the P01 scan if there is insufficient clearance at the armpit. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the hands are abducted further away from the body, or use a different measurement technique.



#### H.8 Ball of Foot Circumference

Definition	The circumference of the foot at the first and fifth metatarsophalangeal protrusions on the ball of the left foot.
Source	ANSUR
Landmark(s)	Metatarsophalangeal Protrusion I and V
Instrument(s)	Anthropometric tape
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant stands in a relaxed position with feet comfortably apart and weight evenly distributed. Visually inspect the left foot and palpate to identify the first and fifth metatarsophalangeal bony protrusions. Place the tape around these sites and take the circumference reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>The first and fifth metatarsophalangeal protrusions are not necessarily horizontal in position, therefore the tape may not be perpendicular to the long axis of the foot.</li> <li>To ensure correct tape positioning, adjust the tape and prompt the participant to raise and lower their foot as required.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
	foot instead of the left foot, as is standard anthropometry practice.



## H.9 Ball of Foot Length

Definition	The distance from the back of the heel (Pternion) to the first metatarsophalangeal protrusion on the ball of the left foot.
Source	ANSUR II
Landmark(s)	Metatarsophalangeal Protrusion I and Pternion
Instrument(s)	Brannock device
Procedure	The participant stands in a relaxed position with the left foot placed in the Brannock device and the right foot comfortably apart with weight distributed evenly. Press the heel (Pternion) lightly against the rear curved plate, with the long-axis of the foot aligned with the long-axis of the device. Position the side-sliding plate to lightly press against the Metatarsophalangeal Protrusion I Landmark, using the extension latch of the device for increased accuracy. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: Future surveys should obtain this measurement from the right foot instead of the left foot, as is standard anthropometry practice.



#### H.10 Biacromial Breadth

Definition	The distance between the Acromion Landmarks on the tips of the shoulders.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Acromion Right and Acromion Left
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the point-to-point distance between the Acromion Right and Acromion Left landmarks. Note: Despite the appearance of a contoured surface line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.





# H.11 Biceps Circumference, Flexed

Definition	The circumference of the right upper arm around the flexed biceps muscle perpendicular to the long axis of the arm at the peak of the contracted Biceps Brachii (Biceps Point Landmark).
Source	AWAS/ANSUR
Landmark(s)	Biceps Point
Instrument(s)	Anthropometric tape
Procedure	The participant sits or stands with the right upper arm extended horizontally forward, the elbow flexed at 90° and the fist clenched and held facing the head. Prompt the participant to contract or flex the right biceps muscle. Position the tape at the peak of the bicep perpendicular to the long-axis of the arm. Apply light tension to the tape to eliminate gaps and ensure minimal skin indentation. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm with the muscle maximally contracted.





#### H.12 Bideltoid Breadth

Definition	The largest horizontal distance between the lateral margins of the upper arms on the Deltoid Muscles.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Deltoid Muscles
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan. Highlight the Deltoid Muscles (region of the upper arm between the Acromion and the Axilla landmarks) on both shoulders and use the calliper tool to find the largest horizontal breadth (Y function) within this highlighted region. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



# H.13 Bitragion Submandibular Arc

Definition	The distance from the Tragion Right Landmark over the Submandibular Landmark at the juncture of the jaw and neck, to the Tragion Left Landmark, with the head positioned in the Frankfurt plane.
Source	ANSUR
Landmark(s)	Tragion Right, Tragion Left and Submandibular
Instrument(s)	Anthropometric tape
Procedure	The participant sits or stands erect and looks straight ahead with the head positioned in the Frankfurt plane and the teeth lightly occluded. Position the tape with the zero mark aligned with the Left Tragion, passing over the Submandibular Landmark to the Right Tragion. Apply light tension to the tape to eliminate gaps and ensure minimal skin indentation. Take the reading the nearest 0.1 cm.



# H.14 Bizygomatic Breadth

Definition	The maximum horizontal breadth of the face between the left and right Zygion.
Source	ANSUR (adapted to use small bone calliper instead of spreading calliper)
Landmark(s)	Zygion Left and Zygion Right
Instrument(s)	Small bone calliper
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant sits or stands erect and looks straight ahead with glasses removed (if wearing). Palpate the cheekbones to locate the most lateral aspects of the zygomatic arches and place the calliper plates at these points at a 45° angle. Apply light pressure to the calliper branches to maintain contact with the skin but not indent the skin. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>The head is in a neutral position for this measurement. The Frankfurt position is not required.</li> <li>The small bone calliper is used instead of the large sliding calliper (more traditional tool for this measurement) as it</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
	provides a greater level of control. The large sliding calliper may be required for larger individuals with a breadth beyond 18 cm.





#### H.15 Buttock Circumference

Definition	The circumference of the buttock measured with the tape passing just above the most protruding point of the buttock, parallel to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 7520]
Landmark(s)	Buttock Point Posterior
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.
	<ol> <li>This measure cannot be accurately obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal and does not pass around the hands.</li> </ol>



## H.16 Buttock Depth

Definition	The largest horizontal depth of the right buttock and crotch region measured with the participant in the standing posture.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Iliocristale and Crotch
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan. Highlight the area below the Iliocristale Landmark and above the Crotch Landmark on the main body, avoiding any webbing scan artefacts between the hip and hands (occurs if the hands are too close to the body). Use the calliper tool to find the largest horizontal depth (X function) within the highlighted region. Note: This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans



## H.17 Buttock Height

Definition	The vertical height of the Buttock Circumference measurement (just above the most protruding point of the buttock) to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 0090]
Landmark(s)	Buttock Point Posterior
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan. Note: This measure cannot be accurately obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.



## H.18 Buttock-Heel Length

Definition	The distance between the back of the right buttock and the plane of the bottom of the right foot, with the participant seated on the floor and the right leg extended.
Source	RNZAF (unpublished)
Landmark(s)	Right Buttock and Heel Pad
Instrument(s)	Standard builders tape and two measurement plates (or Rosscraft headboard plates)
Procedure	The participant sits on the floor with the right leg fully extended forward (toes pointing up) and hands placed on the thighs. The buttock is shifted back as close to the wall as possible, with the left leg folded for increased comfort if necessary. Place one plate flat against the most posterior aspect of the buttock (not the wall), and the second plate flat against the heel of the outstretched foot (with the scribe's assistance). Ensure that both measurement plates are held vertically upright and perpendicular to the tape to avoid error. Following a line parallel to the leg, measure the distance between the inner surfaces of the two plates to the nearest 0.1 cm. Take the measurement immediately to avoid participant discomfort.



## H.19 Buttock-Knee Length

Definition	The largest horizontal depth from the back of the right buttock to the front of the right knee flexed at 90°.
Source	ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Right Buttock and Right Knee
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan. Highlight the region from the posterior aspect of the right buttock to the anterior aspect of right knee. Use the calliper tool to find the maximum horizontal depth (X function) within this highlighted region. Note: This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.



## H.20 Buttock-Popliteal Length

Definition	The horizontal distance between the Buttock Point Posterior (most protruding point on the right buttock) and the Popliteal Landmark, in the seated posture.
Source	ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Buttock Point Sitting and Popliteal
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the point-to-point distance between the Buttock Point Sitting (the most posterior aspect of the right buttock in the seated position) and the Popliteal Landmark (on the back of the right knee at the juncture of the calf and thigh).</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Despite the appearance of a contour line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.</li> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> <li>Other military surveys used a footrest or foot plates to ensure a 90° bend in the knees. In the NZDFAS, the height of the scanner seat platform was adjusted for each participant to ensure 90° knee flexion, with both feet and heels flat on the scanner platform.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The measure cannot be obtained if webbing scan artefacts are present behind the back of the knee, close to the seat pan. Future surveys should ensure that when participants are positioned for the sitting scan, there is sufficient space between the back of the knee and the forward edge of the seat pan (i.e. the participant sits slightly forward if necessary).</li> </ul>



iteal

#### H.21 Calf Circumference

Definition	The maximal horizontal perimeter over the calf muscle of the right lower leg, parallel to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 9541]
Landmark(s)	Calf Muscle
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.
	Note: Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal and passes around the widest point of the calf.



# H.22 Cervicale Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Cervicale (C7) Landmark on the spine at the base of the neck.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Cervicale (C7)
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Cervicale Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



# H.23 Cervicale Height, Sitting

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Cervicale (C7) Landmark on the spine at the base of the neck.
Source	ANSUR I (adapted for digital measurement, and captured at end tidal expiration instead of at the point of maximum respiration)
Landmark(s)	Cervicale (C7) and Seat Pan Height Marker
Instrument(s)	CySize



#### H.24 Chest Breadth

Definition	The maximum horizontal breadth of the chest at the level of the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (most protruding point on the right bra cup) in females.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Thelion/Bustpoint
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan. Highlight a thin horizontal region encompassing the centre of the Thelion/Bustpoint Landmark, avoiding any webbing scan artefacts between the arms and upper body at the armpits. Use the calliper tool to find the maximum horizontal breadth (Y function) within the highlighted region. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.
	Note: This measure cannot be obtained in scans where significant webbing scan artefacts are present at the armpits (from the arms being too close to the body). Future surveys should ensure that the hands are adequately abducted from the torso at the armpits to provide sufficient clearance and avoid webbing artefacts.



## H.25 Chest Depth

Definition	The horizontal distance between the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (most protruding point on the right bra cup) in females, and point on the back at the same level.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Thelion/Bustpoint and Thelion/Bustpoint Projected
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing as the point-to-point distance between the Thelion/Bustpoint and the Thelion/Bustpoint Projected Landmarks by adding their X coordinates together (calculation performed in an Excel spreadsheet). Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Locate the Thelion/Bustpoint Projected Landmark in CySize by adding Y and Z sections to the Thelion/Bustpoint Landmark and placing a new marker at the intersection of these lines on the posterior surface of the torso.</li> <li>Future survey should consider using the calliper tool (X function) for about the measurements</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



# H.26 Chest Height, Sitting

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (most protruding point on the right bra cup) in females.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Thelion/Bustpoint and Seat Pan Height Marker
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the difference between the Y coordinate of the Thelion/Bustpoint Landmark and the Y coordinate of the Seat Pan Height Marker (which marks the top edge of the seat pan). This calculation is performed in an Excel spreadsheet. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



#### H.27 Chest/Bust Circumference

Definition	The circumference of the chest at the level of the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (the most protruding point on the right bra/breast) in females, measured parallel to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 4510]
Landmark(s)	Thelion/Bustpoint
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.
	Note:
	<ol> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal and does not pass around the arms.</li> <li>Future surveys should investigate other measurement techniques to more accurately obtain this measure.</li> </ol>



# H.28 Chest/Bust Height

Definition	The vertical height of the front breast circumference level at the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (the most protruding point on the right bra/breast) in females, to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 0170]
Landmark(s)	Thelion/Bustpoint
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the crotch.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Crotch
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Crotch Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration. Note:
	<ol> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> <li>The Crotch Landmark can be difficult to locate if the legs are too close together and there is insufficient clearance between the thighs in the P01 scan. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the legs are further apart, or use a different measurement technique.</li> </ol>

# H.29 Crotch Height



## H.30 Crotch Length

Definition	The distance from the front waist to the back waist (at the height of the natural waist or the natural contraction points of the waist), passing through the crotch.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 6010]
Landmark(s)	Natural Waist Contraction Points and Crotch
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>The natural waist points are located by identifying the natural points of waist narrowing at the torso.</li> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



#### H.31 Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Anterior

Definition	The distance between the Waist Preferred Anterior Landmark (at the level of the participant's preferred belt height on the front waist in line with the Omphalion) and the Crotch Landmark.
Source	Nil
Landmark(s)	Crotch and Waist Preferred Anterior
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape) between the Crotch and Waist Preferred Anterior landmarks. Note:
	<ol> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> <li>The Crotch Landmark can be difficult to locate if the legs are too close together and there is insufficient clearance between the thighs in the P01 scan. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the legs are further apart, or use a different measurement technique.</li> </ol>




#### H.32 Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Posterior

Definition	The distance between the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark (at the level of the participant's preferred belt height on the back waist in line with the spine) and the Crotch Landmark.
Source	Nil
Landmark(s)	Crotch and Waist Preferred Posterior
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape) between the Crotch and Waist Preferred Posterior landmarks. Note:
	<ol> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> <li>The Crotch Landmark can be difficult to locate if the legs are too close together and there is insufficient clearance between the thighs in the P01 scan. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the legs are further apart, or use a different measurement technique.</li> </ol>





## H.33 Elbow Circumference

Definition	The elbow perimeter measured with a line passing over the right elbow backbone and the arm front hollow.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 8531]
Landmark(s)	Elbow
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal and passes over the inner crease of the elbow and the olecranon process (tip of the elbow).</li> <li>Elbow position and posture can vary significantly in scans and affect the accuracy of this measurement. Future surveys should use a different measurement technique to more accurately obtain this measure.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



# H.34 Elbow Rest Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Olecranon Bottom Landmark (lowest point) on the flexed right elbow.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008
Landmark(s)	Olecranon Bottom
Instrument(s)	Anthropometer and Anthropometry box
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant stands erect on the left side of the anthropometry box (lateral surface of the right leg touching the box) with the right elbow flexed at 90°. Measure the distance from the inferior point of the bony elbow process (Olecranon Bottom) to the top surface of the anthropometry box with the anthropometer. At end tidal expiration, take the reading at the distal edge of the sliding branch to the nearest 0.1 cm, maintaining contact with the elbow. A correction factor of +40.3 cm is added post-measurement to account for the height of the box.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Use a sturdy table if the anthropometry box is too low.</li> <li>Hold the fixed anthropometer branch flat against the top of the anthropometry box, and ensure the anthropometer is vertical.</li> <li>Keep the long axis of the right arm perpendicular to the anthropometry box top surface. For participants with a wider Lattisimus Dorsi, prompt the participant to slightly abduct the elbow away from the body.</li> <li>Take the reading at end tidal expiration to avoid fluctuations and movement due to breathing.</li> <li>Take the reading at the distal (outside) edge of the measuring window to include the width of both anthropometer branches.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



## H.35 Elbow Rest Height, Sitting

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Olecranon Bottom Landmark (lowest point) on the flexed right elbow.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Olecranon Bottom and Seat Pan Height Marker
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the difference between the Y coordinate of the Olecranon Bottom Landmark and the Y coordinate of the Seat Pan Height Marker (which marks the top edge of the seat pan). The calculation is performed in an Excel spreadsheet. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.</li> <li>Note:</li> <li>1. Ensure that the forearm is horizontal and parallel to the sitting surface.</li> <li>2. The bottom surface of the elbow can often contain scan artefacts, affecting the accuracy of this measure. Future surveys should investigate a different technique to obtain this measure.</li> </ul>



## H.36 Elbow-Fingertip Length

Definition	The horizontal distance from the Olecranon Rear Landmark on the back tip of the flexed right elbow to the tip of the middle finger (Dactylion III), with the hand held out straight and the palm facing inward.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Olecranon Rear and Dactylion III
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the point-to-point distance between the Olecranon Rear and the Dactylion III landmarks.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>The Olecranon Rear Landmark is located as a point on the posterior surface of the olecranon process in line with the "belly" or midline of the triceps. Note that this is somewhat subjective. Future surveys should investigate other techniques to obtain this measurement more reliably.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Despite the appearance of a contour line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.</li> </ul>



# H.37 Elbow-Grip Length

Definition	The horizontal distance from the back tip of the flexed right elbow to the centre of a 1.5 cm diameter marker gripped vertically in the right hand.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008
Landmark(s)	Olecranon and Grip Rod
Instrument(s)	Anthropometer and Grip rod
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant stands erect and holds a grip rod (marker pen) in their right hand (palm facing medially). The elbow is flexed at 90°. Palpate the posterior surface of the elbow joint and place the inside edge of the fixed anthropometer branch on the posterior aspect of the olecranon process. Position the inside edge of the sliding branch to lightly touch the anterior surface of the marker. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm with the arm and anthropometer both horizontally level. A correction factor of -0.75 cm is applied post-measurement to account for the additional radius of the 1.5 cm diameter marker.</li> <li>Note:</li> <li>1. Ensure that the grip rod (marker pen) is held firmly and in a vertical position to avoid error.</li> <li>2. Take the reading at the red line edge of the measuring window to exclude the width of both anthropometer branches.</li> </ul>



H.38	Eye Height
Definition	The vertical distance bet

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Ectocanthus Landmark on the outer corner of the right eye.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008 (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Ectocanthus
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Ectocanthus Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.





# H.39 Eye Height, Sitting

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Ectocanthus Landmark on the outer corner of the right eye.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008 (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Ectocanthus Sitting
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the difference Y coordinate of the Ectocanthus Landmark and the Y coordinate of the Seat Pan Height Marker (which marks the top edge of the seat pan). This calculation is performed in an Excel spreadsheet. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



#### H.40 Foot Breadth

Definition	The maximum horizontal distance of the left foot at the metatarsophalangeal joints (between Metatarsophalangeal Protrusions I and V).
Source	ANSUR (adapted by using small bone calliper)
Landmark(s)	Metatarsophalangeal Protrusions I and V
Instrument(s)	Small bone calliper
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant stands in a relaxed position with the feet comfortably apart and weight evenly distributed. Palpate the left foot to locate the first and fifth bony metatarsophalangeal protrusions. Firmly place the calliper plates on these sites and take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>The first and fifth metatarsophalangeal protrusions are not necessarily horizontal in position, hence the callipers may be at an angle offset to the long axis of the foot.</li> <li>Apply firm pressure to the calliper plates at the bony sites for greater accuracy.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Future surveys should obtain this measurement for the right foot instead of the left foot, as is standard anthropometry practice.</li> </ul>





# H.41 Foot Length

Definition	The length of the left foot from the Acropodian (the tip of the first or second toe, whichever is longer) to the Pternion (most posterior point on the heel).
Source	ANSUR II
Landmark(s)	Acropodian and Pternion
Instrument(s)	Brannock device
Procedure	The participant stands in a relaxed position with the feet comfortably apart and weight evenly distributed. The left foot is placed in the Brannock device with the heel lightly pressed against the rear, curved plate of the device. Move the sliding plate to make contact with the tip of the longest toe (the first or second toe), maintaining light pressure, and take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: Future surveys should obtain this measurement for the right foot instead of the left foot, as is standard anthropometry practice.



### H.42 Forearm-Forearm Breadth

Definition	The maximum horizontal distance between the outer sides of the left and right forearms.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Forearm
Instrument(s)	Anthropometer or Large sliding calliper, and Anthropometry box
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant sits erect on the anthropometry box, looking straight ahead with the shoulders and upper arms relaxed and both elbows bent at 90°. The forearms and hands are extended forward horizontally with the palms facing each other. Use either the large sliding calliper (for smaller individuals with a breadth less than 56 cm) or the anthropometer (for larger individuals) to obtain the measurement. Place the inner edge of the branches on the lateral points of each forearm. Take the reading at end tidal expiration to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note:</li> <li>1. For participants with a wider Lattisimus Dorsi, prompt the participant to slighly abduct the elbows away from the body.</li> <li>2. Take the reading at end tidal expiration to avoid fluctuations and movement due to breathing.</li> <li>3. If using the anthropometer, take the reading at the red line edge of the measuring window to exclude the width of both branches.</li> </ul>





# H.43 Functional Grip Reach

Definition	The horizontal distance from the back wall to the centre of a rod gripped in the hand of the outstretched right arm, with the right shoulder pressed against the wall.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008
Landmark(s)	Posterior surface of shoulder blade and Grip rod
Instrument(s)	Anthropometer and Grip rod (marker pen)
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant stands erect with both shoulder blades against a wall.</li> <li>The right arm is extended horizontally forward at 90° to the body, and the grip rod (marker pen) is held in the right hand with the palm facing medially. Place the fixed anthropometer branch firmly against the wall and position the inner edge of the sliding branch to lightly touch the anterior surface of the grip rod. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm with the arm and the anthropometer horizontally level. A correction factor of +0.35 cm is added post-measurement (+1.1 cm to account for the width of the fixed anthropometer branch, and -0.75 cm to account for the additional radius of the 1.5 cm diameter grip rod).</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Adjust the length of the anthropometer to suit the arm length of the participant by adding/removing sections.</li> <li>Hold the grip rod firmly in a vertical position to avoid error.</li> <li>Take the reading at the red line edge of the measuring window to exclude the width of both anthropometer branches.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>





### H.44 Hand Breadth

Definition	The breadth of the right hand between the protrusions at Metacarpali II and V.
Source	ANSUR (adapted to use small bone calliper instead of sliding calliper)
Landmark(s)	Metacarpali II and V
Instrument(s)	Small bone calliper and Hand measurement board (8 mm thickness)
Procedure	The participant sits at a table with the right hand palm down on the table surface, the fingers together and the thumb abducted. The middle finger is aligned parallel to the long axis of the forearm. The two distal phalanges of the fingers rest on the hand measurement board (or a flat surface 8 mm higher than the table). Place the calliper plates on the Metacarpale II and Metacarpale V bony protrusions. Apply firm pressure to the calliper plates and take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: The hand measurement board is used to reduce the "cupping" propensity of the hand and to ensure the hand is flat during measurement (palm resting on the table surface with the fingers resting
	on the hand measurement board).





H.45	Hand	Circum	ference
------	------	--------	---------

The circumference of the right hand encompassing the protrusions at Metacarpali II and V.
ANSUR
Metacarpali II and V
Anthropometric tape and Hand measurement board
The participant sits at a table with the right hand palm down on the table, the fingers together and the thumb abducted. The middle finger is aligned parallel to the long axis of the forearm. The two distal phalanges of the fingers rest on the hand measurement board (or a flat surface 8 mm higher than the table). Position the tape around the palm, passing over the Metacarpali II and V bony protrusions. Apply light tension to the tape to eliminate gaps and ensure minimal skin indentation. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: The hand measurement board is used to reduce the "cupping" propensity of the hand and to ensure the hand is flat during measurement (palm resting on the table surface with the fingers resting on the hand measurement board).





# H.46 Hand Length

Definition	The distance from the tip of the middle finger (Dactylion III), along its axis, to the Midstylion Landmark at the centre of the right wrist, measured with the hand stretched out flat, palm up.
Source	ANSUR (adapted to use segmometer instead of Poech sliding calliper, and measured palm up).
Landmark(s)	Dactylion III and MidStylion
Instrument(s)	Segmometer
Procedure	The participant sits at a table with the right forearm and hand flat on the table surface. The palm is open and facing up, with the fingers and thumb together and fully extended. Place the fixed branch of the segmometer on the Midstylion Landmark and position the sliding branch to lightly press against the tip of the middle finger (Dactylion III). Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.
	<ol> <li>Note:         <ol> <li>Ensure that the back of the hand and fingers are flat against the table surface (without cupping) during measurement.</li> <li>Take the measure to the tip of the middle finger, not the tip of the fingernail.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>



Definition	The maximum horizontal breadth of the head above the ears.
Source	ANSUR (adapted to use large sliding calliper instead of spreading calliper)
Landmark(s)	Lateral aspects of the head
Instrument(s)	Large sliding calliper
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant sits erect and looks straight ahead with glasses removed (if wearing). Visually identify the lateral aspects of the head (above the ears) and place the branches of the large sliding calliper at these points. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the calliper branches rests on the skin surface without indenting the skin.</li> <li>Hold the calliper above the participant's head and perpendicular to the mid-sagittal plane (with the scale facing the rear).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
	3. The head is in a neutral position for this measurement. The Frankfurt position is not required.

## H.47 Head Breadth





### H.48 Head Circumference

Definition	The circumference around the forehead just above the bony brow ridges and across the most protruding point of the back of the head.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 1530]
Landmark(s)	Glabella and Opisthocranion
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal at the bony brow ridge.</li> <li>For females, ensure that the position of the hair bun does not interfere with the measurement (ideally, the hair bun should be below the top of the ear). In cases where there is interference, the measure is unobtainable.</li> <li>If the head is not in the Frankfurt plane (i.e. too high or low, looking up or down), manually adjust the tape within the software so that it is parallel to this plane.</li> <li>Future surveys should obtain this measurement manually using the anthropometric tape.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>





# H.49 Head Length

The straight line distance between the Glabella (located between the brow ridges) and the Opisthocranion on the back of the head.
ANSUR (adapted to use large sliding calliper instead of spreading calliper)
Glabella and Opisthocranion
Large sliding calliper
The participant sits erect and looks straight ahead with glasses removed (if wearing). Place the branches of the large sliding calliper on the Glabella, located between the brow ridges, and the Opisthrocanion (posterior point on the back of the head). Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.
<ol> <li>Note:         <ol> <li>Ensure that the calliper branches rest on the skin surface without indenting the skin.</li> <li>The head is in a neutral position for this measurement. The Frankfurt position is not required.</li> <li>For females, ensure that the measurement excludes the hair bun (should be tied low to avoid interference).</li> </ol> </li> </ol>





# H.50 Hip Breadth, Sitting

The maximum horizontal breadth of the seated participant at the hips or thighs, whichever is larger.
ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Inguinal Fold
CySize
<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan. Highlight the hip and upper thigh region (from the posterior aspect of the buttock to the Inguinal Fold at the junction between the torso and thigh), and use the calliper tool to find the largest horizontal breadth (Y function) within this highlighted region.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> <li>The accuracy of this measurement is strongly affected by the sitting posture of the participant. Individuals seated in a wide stance (with the knees extended laterally out instead of straight forward) are likely to record a greater breadth. Future surveys should investigate other techniques to obtain this measure</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



## H.51 Hip Breadth, Standing

Definition	The largest horizontal breadth of the hip region between the Iliocristale and Crotch landmarks.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008 (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Iliocristale and Crotch
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan. Highlight the hip region from the Iliocristale Landmark to the Crotch Landmark and use the calliper tool to find the largest horizontal breadth (Y function) within this highlighted region.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>When highlighting the hip region, exclude any webbing scan artefacts between the hands and hip/thighs (occurs when the hands were too close to the body) and large creases and folds in the underwear. If this is not possible, the measure cannot be obtained. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scans where the hands are further away from the body.</li> </ol> </li> <li>This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting</li> </ul>
	underwear for the scans.





# H.52 Hip Circumference, Maximum

Definition	The maximum circumference of the body (excluding the appendages) at or about the height of the hip but below the height of the iliac crest.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Iliocristale and Crotch
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan. Place two markers on the hip region, one just below the top of the lliocristale Landmark and one just below the Crotch Landmark. Add a guideline between the two markers, and use the software to identify the maximum circumference of the hip region. Extract the measurement as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape).
	<ul> <li>Note:</li> <li>1. When highlighting the hip region, exclude any webbing scan artefacts between the hands and hips/thighs (occurs when the hands were too close to the body) and large creases and folds in the underwear. If this is not possible, the measure cannot be obtained. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the PO2 scans where the hands are further away from the body.</li> <li>2. This measure cannot be obtained for participants wearing baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.</li> </ul>

# H.53 Iliocristale Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Iliocristale Landmark on the top right side of the pelvis.
Source	ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Iliocristale
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Iliocristale Landmark. Note: The Iliocristale Landmark can be difficult to see on scans if the right arm and hand is positioned too close to the body. The scanner operator must ensure that there is sufficient space between the right upper limb and torso, and that the sticker identifying this landmark is clearly visible. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the hands are further away from the body.





# H.54 Index Finger Breadth, Distal

Definition	The maximum breadth of the index finger in the region of the joint between middle and distal phalanges, measured with the hand stretched out flat and fingers spread, palm down.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008 (adapted to measure palm down)
Landmark(s)	Distal interphalangeal joint of the right index finger
Instrument(s)	Small bone calliper
Procedure	The participant sits at a table with the right hand placed palm down on the hand measurement board. The centre wrist and medial side of the forearm rest flat on the table, with the index finger abducted from the rest. Firmly place the plates of the small bone calliper on the medial and lateral aspects of the distal interphalangeal joint of the index finger, and take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: 1. Apply firm pressure to the calliper plates at the bony sites for greater accuracy
	<ol> <li>Ensure that the palm and fingers rest flat on the table surface.</li> </ol>





## H.55 Index Finger Breadth, Proximal

Definition	The maximum breadth of the index finger in the region of the joint between middle and proximal phalanges measured with the hand stretched out flat and fingers spread, palm down.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008 (adapted to measure palm down)
Landmark(s)	Proximal interphalangeal joint of the right index finger
Instrument(s)	Small bone calliper
Procedure	The participant sits at a table with the right hand placed palm down on the hand measurement board. The centre wrist and medial side of the forearm rests flat on the table, with the index finger abducted from the rest. Firmly place the plates of the small bone calliper on the medial and lateral aspects of the proximal interphalangeal joint of the index finger, and take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: 1. Apply firm pressure to the calliper plates at the bony sites for greater accuracy.
	2. Ensure that the palm and fingers rest flat on the table surface.



# H.56 Index Finger Reach

Definition	The horizontal distance from the back wall to the tip of the right index finger of the outstretched right arm, with the right shoulder pressed against the wall.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for manual measurement)
Landmark(s)	Posterior surface of shoulder blade and Index finger
Instrument(s)	Anthropometer
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant stands erect with both shoulder blades against a wall. The right arm is extended horizontally forward at 90° to the body. The index finger is fully extended with all other fingers folded in (palm facing medially). Firmly hold the fixed anthropometer branch against the wall and move the inner edge of the sliding branch to lightly touch the tip of the index finger (excluding the fingernail). Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm with the arm and anthropometer both horizontally level. A correction factor of +1.1 cm is added post-measurement to add on the width of the fixed anthropometer branch.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Adjust the length of the anthropometer to suit the arm length of the participant by adding/removing sections.</li> <li>Take the reading at the red line edge of the measuring window to exclude the width of both anthropometer branches.</li> <li>Ensure that the shoulder blades and buttocks remain in contact with the wall during the measurement, and hold the fixed branch securely against the wall for stability.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



# H.57 Interpupillary Breadth

Definition	The distance between the centres of the left and right pupils.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Pupils
Instrument(s)	A standard transparent ruler
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant looks straight ahead with glasses removed (if wearing) and eyes focussed on a distant object straight ahead. Hold the transparent ruler in front of the nose and align the zero mark with the centre of the left pupil. For stability, hold the ruler between the thumb and index finger, and the use the remaining fingers to hold the face. Take the reading at the centre of the right pupil to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ask participants to keep eye movement to a minimum during measurement.</li> <li>Future surveys should use a pupilometer to obtain this measurement.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>





### H.58 Knee Circumference

Definition	The horizontal circumference of the knee at the height of the Midpatella Landmark at the centre of the right kneecap.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Midpatella
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape) of a Z section around the Midpatella Landmark on the right knee. Note: Ensure that no webbing scan artefacts are present between the
	left and right knee (occurs when the knees were too close to each other). If webbing is present, this measure cannot be obtained. Future surveys must ensure that there is sufficient clearance between the knees in the P01 scan, or investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the legs are further apart.



Definition	The vertical height of the front of the knee circumference level (over the right kneecap perpendicular to the leg-axis) to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 0110]
Landmark(s)	Midpatella
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.
	Note: Ensure that the measurement line (represented by the pink line) is aligned with the level of the Midpatella Landmark.

# H.59 Knee Height



# H.60 Knee Height, Sitting

Definition	The vertical distance between a footrest surface and the Suprapatella Landmark at the top of the right kneecap.
Source	ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Suprapatella
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the Y coordinate of the Suprapatella Landmark. Note: Other military surveys used a footrest or foot plates to ensure a 90° bend in the knees. In the NZDFAS, the height of the scanner seat platform was adjusted for each participant to ensure 90° knee flexion, with both feet and heels flat on the scanner platform.



# H.61 Malleolus-Hallux Length

Definition	The distance between the inner anklebone protusion (Medial Malleolus) and the tip of the big toe (Hallux).
Source	Nil
Landmark(s)	Medial Malleolus and Hallux
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the point-to-point distance between the Medial Malleolus and Hallux landmarks on the right foot.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Scan quality is often poor for the feet. If scan artefacts are present, this measure is often obtainable. Future surveys should obtain this measure via manual measurement.</li> <li>The Medial Malleolus Landmark is located using CySize section tools (Y section) to find the most medially protruding point on the inner anklebone protrusion.</li> <li>The Hallux Landmark is located using CySize section tools (X section) to find the most anteriorly protruding point on the big toe.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>





# H.62 Neck Circumference, Base

Definition	The circumference at the base of the neck, just on the transition between torso and neck.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 1520]
Landmark(s)	Base of the neck
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.
	Note: This measure sometimes requires manual modification of the measurement points as Anthroscan often produces sharp points at the base of the throat. In such cases, adjust the measurement points to create a more rounded profile that mimics an anthropometric tape.



## H.63 Palm Length

Definition	The distance from the proximal finger crease of the middle finger on the palm of the hand to the Midstylion Landmark at the centre of the wrist, measured with the hand stretched out flat, palm up.
Source	ISO 7250-1:2008 (adapted to use a segmometer instead of a sliding calliper)
Landmark(s)	Midstylion
Instrument(s)	Segmometer
Procedure	The participant sits at a table with their right forearm and hand flat against a table (palm open and facing up). The fingers and thumb are kept together. Place the fixed branch of the segmometer on the Midstylion Landmark and the sliding branch at the proximal crease of the middle finger. Take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm. Note: Ensure that the back of the hand and fingers is flat against the
	table surface (without cupping) during measurement.





# H.64 Popliteal Height

Definition	The vertical distance from the floor to the back of the right knee (the popliteal fossa at the dorsal juncture of the right calf and thigh).
Source	ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Popliteal
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the Y coordinate of the Popliteal Landmark.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Other military surveys used a footrest or foot plates to ensure a 90° bend in the knees. In the NZDFAS, the height of the scanner seat platform was adjusted for each participant to ensure 90° knee flexion, with both feet and heels flat on the scanner platform.</li> <li>The measure cannot be obtained in cases where webbing scan artefacts are present behind the back of the knee to the seat pan. Future surveys should ensure that when participants are positioned for the sitting scan, there is sufficient space between the back of the knee and the forward edge of the seat pan (i.e. the participant sits slightly forward if necessary).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



## H.65 Radiale-Stylion Length

Definition	The distance between the Radiale Landmark on the right elbow and the Stylion Landmark on the right wrist.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Radiale and Stylion
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the point-to-point distance between the Radiale and Stylion landmarks. Note: Despite the appearance of a contoured surface line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.



# H.66 Shoulder-Elbow Length

Definition	The distance between the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder and the Olecranon Bottom Landmark on the tip of the right elbow.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Acromion Right and Olecranon Bottom
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the point-to-point distance between the Acromion Right and Olecranon Bottom landmarks.
	Note: Despite the appearance of a contoured surface line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.



## H.67 Sitting Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the top of the head.
Source	ISAK
Landmark(s)	Vertex
Instrument(s)	Stadiometer, placed on the Anthropometry box
Procedure	<ul> <li>The participant sits erect on the stadiometer platform with their hands resting on their thighs or by their side, and the head positioned in the Frankfurt plane. The knees are flexed approximately 90° with the thighs parallel and the feet flat and in line with the thighs. Place your thumbs below each orbitale on the participant's face and the remaining fingers at the mastoid process at the base of the skull. Prompt the participant to take a deep breath in and hold. Apply a gentle upward lift through the mastoid process while keeping the head in the Frankfurt plane. Firmly push the stadiometer headboard down on the Vertex or the top of the head (with the scribe's assistance), compressing the hair as much as possible, and take the reading to the nearest 0.1 cm.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>A stretched stature method is used to account for diurnal spinal changes, as per ISAK protocol. Care must be taken to only lift the head upwards and not forwards or at an angle.</li> <li>Ensure that the participant does not contract the gluteal muscles nor push up with the legs.</li> <li>If the anthropometry box is too short to achieve parallel thighs and 90° knee flexion, a sturdy table can be used instead.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Future surveys should investigate deriving this measure using Stature, Eye Height (Standing) and Eye Height (Sitting): <i>Sitting Height = (Stature – Eye Height, Standing) + Eye Height, Sitting</i></li> </ul>


#### H.68 Sleeve Outseam

Definition	The surface distance between the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder and the Centre Wrist Landmark, passing over the Radiale Landmark.
Source	AWAS (adapted to use tape length instead of contour length)
Landmark(s)	Acromion Right, Radiale and Centre Wrist
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape) of a measurement line connecting the Acromion Right, Radiale and Centre Wrist landmarks.
	Note: The Centre Wrist Landmark is located in CySize by adding a Z section to the Stylion Landmark and then adding a marker on this loop at the mid-point between the lateral edges of the wrist when viewed straight on from the right side (parallel to the long axis of the arm).



#### H.69 Stature

Definition	The vertical height from the standing surface to the top of the head.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 0010]
Landmark(s)	Vertex
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the participant is standing erect with the head in the Frankfurt position for the scan.</li> <li>Although traditional height protocols require legs and feet together, Anthroscan measures body height with the legs shoulder-width apart to represent a more "natural" standing position.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



# H.70 Suprasternale Height

Definition	The vertical height of the base of the neck (front/lowest point of neck at base measurement, just on the transition between torso and neck) to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 0180]
Landmark(s)	Suprasternale
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan. Note: Ensure that the participant is standing erect with the head in the Frankfurt position for the scan.



Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Second Thoracic Vertebra (T2) Landmark.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	T2
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the T2 Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.

# H.71 T2 Height





# H.72 Tenth Rib Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Tenth Rib Landmark located at the bottom of the right side of the ribcage.
Source	AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Tenth Rib
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Tenth Rib Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



# H.73 Thigh Circumference

Definition	The horizontal circumference around the right leg slightly underneath the crotch, measured parallel to the standing surface.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 9511]
Landmark(s)	Crotch
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal and only includes the right thigh, especially in cases where both thighs are close together. If the thighs are too close, the measurement cannot be obtained.</li> <li>The tape can sometimes include artefacts (for example, see image on bottom right). Ensure that the tape closely follows the curvature of the thigh.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>



# H.74 Thigh Clearance

Definition	The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Thigh Point Top Landmark (the highest point on the right thigh).
Source	ANSUR/AWAS (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Thigh Point Top and Seat Pan Height Marker
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P03 sitting scan as the difference between the Y coordinate of the Thigh Point Top Landmark and the Y coordinate of the Seat Pan Height Landmark (which marks the top edge of the seat pan). This calculation is performed in an Excel spreadsheet. Note that the scan is taken at end tidal expiration.
	Note: This measure cannot be obtained from scans containing artefacts on the thigh surface (often caused by shadowing from the hands). Future surveys should investigate a different technique to obtain this measure given the high frequency of scan artefacts.



# H.75 Thumbtip Reach





# H.76 Tibiale-Laterale Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Tibiale- Laterale Landmark on the right knee.
Source	Nil
Landmark(s)	Tibiale-Laterale
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Tibiale-Laterale Landmark.



# H.77 Trochanterion Height

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Trochanterion Landmark on the upper side of the right thigh.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Trochanterion
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted as the Y coordinate of the Trochanterion Landmark in the P01 standing scan. Note: The Trochanterion Landmark can often be hidden due to the position of the hands in the P01 scan, therefore, this measure may be frequently unobtainable. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the hands are further apart from the body, or use another measurement technique.



# H.78 Vertical Trunk Circumference

Definition	The vertical circumference of the trunk on a line passing through the crotch and over the landmarks at Bustpoint (females) or Thelion (males), Midshoulder, and Buttock Point Posterior.
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Crotch, Thelion (males) or Bustpoint (females), Midshoulder, and Buttock Point Posterior.
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape) of a measurement loop connecting the Midshoulder, Thelion/Bustpoint, Crotch and Buttock Point Posterior landmarks.
	Note: This measure cannot be obtained for participants with baggy or loose-fitting underwear (e.g. boxers or shorts). Future surveys should ensure that participants wear form-fitting underwear for the scans.



Definition	The horizontal breadth of the waist at the level of the Omphalion.
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital measurement)
Landmark(s)	Waist Breadth Left and Waist Breadth Right
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan as the point-to-point distance between the Waist Breadth Right and Waist Breadth Left Landmarks at the level of the Omphalion.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>The Waist Breadth markers are located in CySize by adding a Z section to the Omphalion marker, and then placing new markers with Y sections along this line to find the most laterally protruding points.</li> <li>Despite the appearance of a contoured surface line in the figure, this measurement is extracted from CySize as a point-to-point linear distance.</li> <li>Future surveys should consider using the CySize calliper tool to obtain this measure from the P02 scan.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

#### H.79 Waist Breadth



#### H.80 Waist Circumference, Natural Indentation

Definition	The horizontal circumference of the waist measured at the height of the natural waist, determined by the point of contraction on the side.		
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 6510]		
Landmark(s)	Natural waist contraction points		
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan		
Procedure	<ul> <li>This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is horizontal.</li> <li>Adjust the measurement points to align with the natural waist contraction points (i.e. the point where the waist naturally narrows) if necessary.</li> <li>Future surveys should investigate other techniques to more accurately obtain this measure.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		
0			



#### H.81 Waist Circumference, Preferred

Definition	The horizontal circumference of the torso at the height of the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark (representing the preferred belt height on the back).		
Source	AWAS		
Landmark(s)	Waist Preferred Posterior		
Instrument(s)	CySize		
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the "tape" length (a CySize function that mimics an anthropometric tape) of a Z section around the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark.		
	Note: This measure cannot be obtained in cases where the hands are too close to the body and webbing scan artefacts are present between the hands and torso. Future surveys should investigate obtaining this measure from the P02 scan where the hands are further away from the body, or use a different measurement technique.		



# H.82 Waist Depth

Definition	The largest horizontal depth of the torso between the Iliocristale and the Tenth Rib landmarks.		
Source	ANSUR (adapted for digital extraction)		
Landmark(s)	Iliocristale and Tenth Rib		
Instrument(s)	CySize		
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan. Highlight the region of the torso between the Iliocristale and Tenth Rib landmarks, taking care to avoid the hands, and use the calliper tool (X function) to find the largest depth within the highlighted region. Note: Unlike the ANSUR protocol, the scan is captured at end tidal expiration rather than at the maximum point of quiet respiration, and		
	measurement is not limited to the height of the Omphalion (as it is in the ANSUR protocol).		



# H.83 Waist Height Preferred, Posterior

Definition	The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark (representing the preferred belt height on the back).
Source	AWAS
Landmark(s)	Waist Preferred Posterior
Instrument(s)	CySize
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P01 standing scan as the Y coordinate of the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark. Note that the scan is captured at end tidal expiration.



# H.84 Weight

Definition	Body weight (in kilograms) measured with the scale integrated into the scanner platform.		
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 9800]		
Landmark(s)	Nil		
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan		
Procedure	<ul> <li>The body weight measure is recorded with the P02 standard scan and saved using the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.</li> <li>Note: <ol> <li>Tare the scale at the beginning of each scan measurement session, and check calibration with a known weight (generally the calibration pole).</li> <li>The 3-D scanner frequently experiences weight errors. Check the scan folder immediately after each scan to ensure that a weight raw file is present. If not, rescan the participant or manually record the weight and enter this into the Anthroscan file post-scan.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		



#### H.85 Wrist Circumference

Definition	The circumference of the right wrist measured at the arm extremity just before the transition to the hand.
Source	Anthroscan [Measurement ID 8551]
Landmark(s)	Wrist
Instrument(s)	Anthroscan
Procedure	This measure is digitally extracted from the P02 standard scan via the Automated Measurement wizard in Anthroscan.
	Note: Ensure that the tape (represented by the yellow line) is perpendicular to the long axis of the arm across the wrist.



# Appendix I Summary Statistics

This appendix provides a summary of the results for each measure available in the NZDFAS. For each measure a definition, visual representation, summary statistics and percentiles are provided for both men and women.

The statistics presented are defined as follows:

- Valid Number of cases containing valid measurements
- Missing Number of missing measurements
- Mean (mm) Mean value
- **SE (mm)** Standard Error
- **SD (mm)** Standard Deviation
- Min (mm) Minimum measurement
- Max (mm) Maximum measurement
- **Skew** Skewness. A measure of symmetry of the data compared to a normal distribution. A positive value indicates a longer tail to the right.
- **Kur** Kurtosis. A measure of the tails of the data compared to a normal distribution. A negative kurtosis indicates a flat distribution with greater presence of outliers while a positive kurtosis indicates a peaked distribution.
- **Norm (p)** Normality. Results of a Shapiro-Wilkes test to evaluate if the data is normally distributed. A value >.05 indicates the data is not normally distributed.
- **CV (%)** Coefficient of Variation. A measure to describe the dispersion of the data. Equal to the standard deviation divided by the mean, multiplied by 100.

# I.1 ABDOMINAL EXTENSION DEPTH, SITTING

**Definition:** The largest horizontal depth of the abdomen region between the Substernale Landmark and the Inguinal Point, measured in the seated posture.

Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting			
Female	Grang	Male	
165	Valid	597	
46	Missing	192	
248	Mean (mm)	277	
3	SE (mm)	2	
39	SD (mm)	37	
181	Min (mm)	203	
399	Max (mm)	456	
1.2	Skew	0.9	
1.9	Kur	1.2	
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001	
16%	CV (%)	13%	

Percentiles			
Female	%	Male	
186	1	210	
194	3	222	
200	5	228	
208	10	233	
210	15	239	
216	20	246	
218	25	251	
226	30	255	
230	35	259	
234	40	264	
237	45	267	
241	50	271	
249	55	275	
253	60	280	
257	65	285	
260	70	291	
264	75	297	
272	80	305	
280	85	314	
298	90	327	
332	95	347	
351	98	359	
371	99	390	





# I.2 ACROMIAL HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder.

Acromial Height		
Female		Male
211	Valid	786
0	Missing	3
1365	Mean (mm)	1466
3	SE (mm)	2
49	SD (mm)	60
1240	Min (mm)	1276
1489	Max (mm)	1691
0.0	Skew	0.1
-0.2	Kur	0.3
0.928	Norm (p)	0.278
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles			
Female	%	Male	
1261	1	1327	
1267	3	1347	
1287	5	1369	
1301	10	1391	
1313	15	1406	
1326	20	1416	
1330	25	1425	
1337	30	1432	
1347	35	1442	
1355	40	1450	
1359	45	1459	
1366	50	1466	
1373	55	1472	
1379	60	1481	
1383	65	1487	
1390	70	1495	
1398	75	1505	
1405	80	1515	
1418	85	1527	
1432	90	1540	
1445	95	1564	
1461	98	1592	
1482	99	1618	





# I.3 ACROMIAL HEIGHT, SITTING

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder.

Acromial Height, Sitting		
Female		Male
195	Valid	700
16	Missing	89
596	Mean (mm)	622
2	SE (mm)	1
28	SD (mm)	30
531	Min (mm)	532
683	Max (mm)	734
0.2	Skew	0.0
-0.2	Kur	0.1
0.513	Norm (p)	0.922
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles			
Female	%	Male	
535	1	551	
547	3	562	
552	5	572	
558	10	583	
564	15	592	
570	20	598	
576	25	603	
579	30	608	
585	35	612	
588	40	615	
593	45	618	
596	50	622	
601	55	625	
603	60	629	
606	65	634	
612	70	638	
615	75	642	
619	80	648	
625	85	654	
633	90	660	
640	95	673	
650	98	681	
665	99	694	





# I.4 ACROMION-RADIALE LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance between the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder and the Radiale Landmark on the right elbow.

Acromion-Radiale Length		
Female		Male
199	Valid	755
12	Missing	34
320	Mean (mm)	347
1	SE (mm)	1
16	SD (mm)	19
271	Min (mm)	278
353	Max (mm)	403
-0.1	Skew	-0.1
-0.3	Kur	0.2
0.358	Norm (p)	0.623
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
286	1	302
290	3	307
295	5	315
299	10	323
304	15	328
307	20	330
309	25	334
311	30	338
313	35	341
316	40	342
318	45	345
320	50	347
323	55	349
324	60	351
326	65	354
329	70	356
330	75	359
334	80	362
337	85	366
341	90	371
347	95	377
349	98	384
351	99	392





# I.5 ANKLE CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The horizontal perimeter of the lower leg measured at the height of the left anklebone (Lateral Malleolus), measured parallel to the standing surface.

Ankle Circumference		
Female		Male
211	Valid	788
0	Missing	1
249	Mean (mm)	275
1	SE (mm)	1
16	SD (mm)	19
208	Min (mm)	218
298	Max (mm)	340
0.4	Skew	0.3
0.7	Kur	0.3
0.011	Norm (p)	< .001
6%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
217	1	234
222	3	241
226	5	246
232	10	251
235	15	256
238	20	260
240	25	263
241	30	265
242	35	267
245	40	269
247	45	271
248	50	273
250	55	276
251	60	279
254	65	281
256	70	283
258	75	286
262	80	290
265	85	294
270	90	299
276	95	310
287	98	316
291	99	325





#### I.6 ARM SPAN

**Definition:** The distance between the tips of the middle fingers (Dactylion III) of the arms, both stretched maximally horizontally.

Arm Span		
Female		Male
205	Valid	759
6	Missing	30
1681	Mean (mm)	1838
4	SE (mm)	3
63	SD (mm)	75
1534	Min (mm)	1593
1821	Max (mm)	2144
0.0	Skew	0.1
-0.3	Kur	0.5
0.256	Norm (p)	0.172
4%	CV (%)	4%



Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1543	1	1663
1547	3	1689
1575	5	1719
1607	10	1743
1619	15	1764
1628	20	1776
1634	25	1788
1647	30	1799
1656	35	1811
1666	40	1821
1673	45	1831
1682	50	1839
1685	55	1845
1694	60	1854
1706	65	1863
1710	70	1875
1719	75	1886
1732	80	1898
1749	85	1910
1767	90	1931
1793	95	1965
1811	98	1992
1818	99	2023



# I.7 AXILLA HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the right axillary fold designated by the Anterior Scye Landmark on the front of the torso.

Axilla Height		
Female		Male
174	Valid	683
37	Missing	106
1235	Mean (mm)	1327
4	SE (mm)	2
54	SD (mm)	60
1115	Min (mm)	1126
1376	Max (mm)	1538
0.0	Skew	0.2
-0.3	Kur	0.1
0.38	Norm (p)	0.103
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1124	1	1201
1129	3	1216
1145	5	1233
1160	10	1248
1172	15	1265
1190	20	1276
1201	25	1285
1208	30	1295
1216	35	1303
1224	40	1311
1231	45	1319
1238	50	1329
1247	55	1335
1250	60	1342
1254	65	1350
1264	70	1358
1270	75	1364
1279	80	1373
1288	85	1386
1308	90	1401
1319	95	1432
1339	98	1454
1363	99	1476





#### I.8 BALL OF FOOT CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The circumference of the foot at the first and fifth metatarsophalangeal protrusions on the ball of the left foot.

Ball of Foot Circumference		
Female		Male
206	Valid	761
5	Missing	28
231	Mean (mm)	254
1	SE (mm)	1
12	SD (mm)	14
201	Min (mm)	210
265	Max (mm)	304
0.2	Skew	0.0
-0.1	Kur	0.3
0.482	Norm (p)	0.251
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
207	1	220
210	3	227
213	5	231
219	10	236
220	15	240
222	20	244
223	25	245
224	30	247
226	35	250
227	40	251
229	45	253
232	50	254
233	55	255
234	60	257
235	65	259
238	70	261
239	75	264
241	80	265
244	85	269
247	90	272
251	95	276
255	98	280
260	99	285





Ball of Foot Circumference (mm)

#### I.9 BALL OF FOOT LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance from the back of the heel (Pternion) to the first metatarsophalangeal protrusion on the ball of the left foot.

Ball of Foot Length		
Female		Male
202	Valid	757
9	Missing	32
179	Mean (mm)	197
1	SE (mm)	0
9	SD (mm)	10
156	Min (mm)	165
206	Max (mm)	227
-0.2	Skew	0.0
0.3	Kur	0.2
0.298	Norm (p)	0.088
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
158	1	175
160	3	178
164	5	181
168	10	185
171	15	187
173	20	190
174	25	191
175	30	192
176	35	194
177	40	195
179	45	197
179	50	198
180	55	199
181	60	200
182	65	201
183	70	202
185	75	203
186	80	205
188	85	207
190	90	210
191	95	215
195	98	218
196	99	221





#### I.10 BIACROMIAL BREADTH

**Definition:** The distance between the Acromion Landmarks on the tips of the shoulders.

Biacromial Breadth		
Female		Male
202	Valid	779
9	Missing	10
376	Mean (mm)	423
1	SE (mm)	1
17	SD (mm)	19
334	Min (mm)	370
425	Max (mm)	484
0.1	Skew	-0.1
-0.1	Kur	-0.2
0.949	Norm (p)	0.694
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
342	1	378
345	3	384
349	5	390
356	10	397
360	15	403
362	20	406
365	25	409
368	30	412
370	35	416
372	40	418
374	45	420
376	50	423
379	55	425
380	60	428
383	65	430
384	70	433
387	75	436
390	80	439
394	85	442
397	90	447
404	95	455
409	98	460
415	99	466





# I.11 BICEPS CIRCUMFERENCE, FLEXED

**Definition:** The circumference of the right upper arm around the flexed biceps muscle perpendicular to the long axis of the arm at the peak of the contracted Biceps Brachii (Biceps Point Landmark).

<b>Biceps Circumference, Flexed</b>		
Female		Male
205	Valid	761
6	Missing	28
310	Mean (mm)	359
2	SE (mm)	1
31	SD (mm)	32
243	Min (mm)	264
441	Max (mm)	456
1.1	Skew	0.2
2.9	Kur	0.0
< .001	Norm (p)	0.224
10%	CV (%)	9%

	236	No.	
	e	1	
6		1	1
		J	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
251	1	290
261	3	302
268	5	307
275	10	320
281	15	327
287	20	332
291	25	337
295	30	342
296	35	346
301	40	350
304	45	355
308	50	358
310	55	364
313	60	367
315	65	371
322	70	376
326	75	381
332	80	386
336	85	392
345	90	402
363	95	415
380	98	426
423	99	439



# I.12 BIDELTOID BREADTH

**Definition:** The largest horizontal distance between the lateral margins of the upper arms on the Deltoid Muscles.

Bideltoid Breadth		
Female		Male
210	Valid	780
1	Missing	9
454	Mean (mm)	510
2	SE (mm)	1
30	SD (mm)	29
378	Min (mm)	414
565	Max (mm)	621
0.7	Skew	0.2
1.6	Kur	0.4
< .001	Norm (p)	0.014
7%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
395	1	447
406	3	457
411	5	463
419	10	472
427	15	478
430	20	485
436	25	490
440	30	494
444	35	498
447	40	503
449	45	507
452	50	511
455	55	514
458	60	517
462	65	520
466	70	524
470	75	527
475	80	532
480	85	538
491	90	547
507	95	558
529	98	572
551	99	588





# **I.13 BITRAGION SUBMANDIBULAR ARC**

**Definition:** The distance from the Tragion Right Landmark over the Submandibular Landmark at the juncture of the jaw and neck, to the Tragion Left Landmark, with the head positioned in the Frankfurt plane.

Bitragion Submandibular Arc		
Female		Male
206	Valid	759
5	Missing	30
276	Mean (mm)	305
1	SE (mm)	1
15	SD (mm)	18
244	Min (mm)	246
319	Max (mm)	367
0.3	Skew	0.1
0.1	Kur	0.2
0.087	Norm (p)	0.229
5%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
246	1	265
248	3	270
253	5	275
258	10	284
260	15	287
265	20	290
265	25	293
268	30	295
270	35	296
270	40	300
274	45	302
275	50	305
277	55	305
280	60	310
280	65	311
282	70	315
284	75	316
285	80	320
291	85	324
294	90	326
301	95	334
307	98	340
312	99	346





#### I.14 BIZYGOMATIC BREADTH

**Definition:** The maximum horizontal breadth of the face between the left and right Zygion.

Bizygomatic Breadth		
Female		Male
206	Valid	762
5	Missing	27
125	Mean (mm)	131
1	SE (mm)	0
7	SD (mm)	8
110	Min (mm)	109
146	Max (mm)	163
0.1	Skew	0.4
-0.4	Kur	0.4
0.18	Norm (p)	< .001
6%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
110	1	112
112	3	116
114	5	119
115	10	122
117	15	123
120	20	125
120	25	125
122	30	127
122	35	128
123	40	129
124	45	130
125	50	131
126	55	132
126	60	133
128	65	134
130	70	135
130	75	136
132	80	138
132	85	140
134	90	143
138	95	147
139	98	150
140	99	154





# I.15 BUTTOCK CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The circumference of the buttock measured with the tape passing just above the most protruding point of the buttock, parallel to the standing surface.

Buttock Circumference		
Female		Male
200	Valid	773
11	Missing	16
1045	Mean (mm)	1050
5	SE (mm)	3
74	SD (mm)	72
870	Min (mm)	863
1310	Max (mm)	1321
0.9	Skew	0.5
1.8	Kur	0.4
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
7%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
919	1	903
927	3	922
945	5	949
958	10	966
976	15	977
989	20	987
999	25	1000
1009	30	1009
1016	35	1017
1020	40	1024
1024	45	1034
1035	50	1044
1040	55	1051
1052	60	1061
1064	65	1070
1072	70	1081
1084	75	1094
1097	80	1109
1115	85	1126
1124	90	1143
1177	95	1173
1228	98	1204
1301	99	1256





# I.16 BUTTOCK DEPTH

**Definition:** The largest horizontal depth of the right buttock and crotch region measured with the participant in the standing posture.

Buttock Depth		
Female		Male
177	Valid	620
34	Missing	169
267	Mean (mm)	283
2	SE (mm)	1
33	SD (mm)	26
207	Min (mm)	223
405	Max (mm)	407
1.6	Skew	0.8
4.1	Kur	1.7
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
12%	CV (%)	9%

Percentiles			
Female	%	Male	
214	1	232	
225	3	241	
230	5	245	
233	10	252	
239	15	257	
243	20	262	
247	25	265	
249	30	268	
253	35	271	
256	40	274	
259	45	277	
263	50	280	
265	55	282	
268	60	286	
271	65	290	
275	70	294	
279	75	298	
288	80	303	
292	85	310	
301	90	316	
330	95	327	
359	98	340	
388	99	363	





# I.17 BUTTOCK HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical height of the buttock circumference measurement (just above the most protruding point of the buttock) to the standing surface.

Buttock Height			
Female		Male	
206	Valid	780	
5	Missing	9	
838	Mean (mm)	904	
3	SE (mm)	2	
36	SD (mm)	46	
751	Min (mm)	732	
940	Max (mm)	1083	
0.2	Skew	0.1	
-0.1	Kur	0.6	
0.718	Norm (p)	0.019	
4%	CV (%)	5%	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
759	1	796
769	3	816
780	5	833
792	10	848
797	15	858
807	20	864
814	25	873
817	30	880
824	35	887
828	40	892
834	45	898
838	50	905
843	55	909
846	60	916
851	65	921
856	70	927
860	75	933
863	80	940
877	85	949
886	90	960
759	1	796
769	3	816
780	5	833




## I.18 BUTTOCK-HEEL LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance between the back of the right buttock and the plane of the bottom of the right foot, with the participant seated on the floor and the right leg extended.

Buttock-Heel Length		
Female		Male
206	Valid	760
5	Missing	29
1001	Mean (mm)	1069
3	SE (mm)	2
43	SD (mm)	49
899	Min (mm)	909
1109	Max (mm)	1229
0.0	Skew	0.1
-0.2	Kur	0.3
0.72	Norm (p)	0.208
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
900	1	954
919	3	976
926	5	991
946	10	1008
956	15	1020
966	20	1029
973	25	1037
977	30	1043
983	35	1049
990	40	1056
996	45	1062
1001	50	1070
1008	55	1076
1013	60	1081
1018	65	1089
1023	70	1095
1031	75	1102
1035	80	1110
1043	85	1117
1051	90	1128
1073	95	1147
1088	98	1170
1100	99	1197





# I.19 BUTTOCK-KNEE LENGTH

**Definition:** The largest horizontal depth from the back of the right buttock to the front of the right knee flexed at 90°.

Buttock-Knee Length		
Female		Male
196	Valid	761
15	Missing	28
599	Mean (mm)	627
2	SE (mm)	1
26	SD (mm)	30
537	Min (mm)	511
682	Max (mm)	734
0.2	Skew	-0.1
0.2	Kur	0.4
0.517	Norm (p)	0.282
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
544	1	549
546	3	568
555	5	579
567	10	588
575	15	596
578	20	601
583	25	607
586	30	612
590	35	616
592	40	620
595	45	623
599	50	628
602	55	631
606	60	635
607	65	638
611	70	642
615	75	646
622	80	651
628	85	659
632	90	665
641	95	675
648	98	685
659	99	692





# **I.20 BUTTOCK-POPLITEAL LENGTH**

**Definition:** The horizontal distance between the Buttock Point Posterior (most protruding point on the right buttock) and the Popliteal Landmark, in the seated posture.

Buttock-Popliteal Length		
Female		Male
162	Valid	658
49	Missing	131
505	Mean (mm)	521
2	SE (mm)	1
28	SD (mm)	28
433	Min (mm)	438
595	Max (mm)	620
0.0	Skew	0.1
-0.1	Kur	0.2
0.803	Norm (p)	0.736
6%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
446	1	456
449	3	470
459	5	479
468	10	487
473	15	494
479	20	498
483	25	503
491	30	506
496	35	510
499	40	515
504	45	518
507	50	522
510	55	525
513	60	528
516	65	531
518	70	536
523	75	539
529	80	543
533	85	549
541	90	557
548	95	568
556	98	579
567	99	587





## I.21 CALF CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The maximal horizontal perimeter over the calf muscle of the right lower leg, parallel to the standing surface.

Calf Circumference		
Female		Male
209	Valid	782
2	Missing	7
377	Mean (mm)	391
2	SE (mm)	1
26	SD (mm)	27
314	Min (mm)	302
471	Max (mm)	481
0.5	Skew	0.2
0.6	Kur	0.2
0.022	Norm (p)	0.025
7%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
325	1	335
334	3	345
338	5	350
345	10	358
350	15	363
356	20	369
361	25	373
364	30	376
369	35	381
371	40	384
374	45	387
375	50	390
377	55	393
380	60	397
383	65	400
387	70	403
393	75	407
396	80	413
400	85	419
411	90	426
422	95	437
430	98	444
446	99	457





# **I.22 CERVICALE HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Cervicale (C7) Landmark on the spine at the base of the neck.

Cervicale Height		
Female		Male
205	Valid	732
6	Missing	57
1423	Mean (mm)	1534
4	SE (mm)	2
50	SD (mm)	61
1295	Min (mm)	1323
1555	Max (mm)	1781
0.1	Skew	0.1
0.1	Kur	0.4
0.176	Norm (p)	0.504
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1302	1	1396
1327	3	1420
1344	5	1436
1362	10	1457
1375	15	1473
1384	20	1484
1390	25	1494
1398	30	1502
1406	35	1511
1412	40	1520
1418	45	1526
1423	50	1533
1428	55	1542
1432	60	1550
1436	65	1557
1440	70	1564
1450	75	1575
1460	80	1582
1473	85	1593
1494	90	1611
1516	95	1636
1533	98	1654
1537	99	1680





# **I.23 CERVICALE HEIGHT, SITTING**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Cervicale (C7) Landmark on the spine at the base of the neck.

Cervicale Height, Sitting		
Female		Male
191	Valid	693
20	Missing	96
653	Mean (mm)	690
2	SE (mm)	1
28	SD (mm)	29
591	Min (mm)	605
732	Max (mm)	792
0.1	Skew	0.1
-0.4	Kur	0.2
0.425	Norm (p)	0.475
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
597	1	624
599	3	634
609	5	643
617	10	654
624	15	659
627	20	665
633	25	671
636	30	675
641	35	678
645	40	682
649	45	686
653	50	690
658	55	694
660	60	697
666	65	701
671	70	704
675	75	709
678	80	714
681	85	721
687	90	726
698	95	738
707	98	748
712	99	763





## I.24 CHEST BREADTH

**Definition:** The maximum horizontal breadth of the chest at the level of the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (most protruding point on the right bra cup) in females.

Chest Breadth		
Female		Male
208	Valid	771
3	Missing	18
319	Mean (mm)	366
2	SE (mm)	1
27	SD (mm)	30
265	Min (mm)	287
401	Max (mm)	471
0.7	Skew	0.6
0.4	Kur	0.6
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
8%	CV (%)	8%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
268	1	308
274	3	316
280	5	322
288	10	331
294	15	336
297	20	341
299	25	345
301	30	349
306	35	353
309	40	356
313	45	360
317	50	363
320	55	366
322	60	370
326	65	374
329	70	377
333	75	381
339	80	389
343	85	396
353	90	405
372	95	421
384	98	440
388	99	450





### I.25 CHEST DEPTH

**Definition:** The horizontal distance between the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (most protruding point on the right bra cup) in females, and point on the back at the same level.

Chest Depth		
Female		Male
209	Valid	787
2	Missing	2
251	Mean (mm)	250
2	SE (mm)	1
26	SD (mm)	25
195	Min (mm)	184
388	Max (mm)	326
1.0	Skew	0.3
2.8	Kur	-0.1
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
11%	CV (%)	10%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
205	1	199
211	3	205
213	5	212
220	10	220
224	15	225
230	20	228
233	25	232
235	30	235
238	35	238
240	40	241
244	45	245
248	50	248
251	55	251
256	60	254
258	65	258
261	70	262
265	75	265
272	80	272
275	85	278
284	90	283
297	95	292
306	98	301
317	99	315





# I.26 CHEST HEIGHT, SITTING

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (most protruding point on the right bra cup) in females.

Chest Height, Sitting		
Female		Male
194	Valid	690
17	Missing	99
429	Mean (mm)	449
2	SE (mm)	1
27	SD (mm)	29
355	Min (mm)	346
500	Max (mm)	547
0.1	Skew	-0.1
-0.2	Kur	0.3
0.979	Norm (p)	0.404
6%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
367	1	372
380	3	390
387	5	403
394	10	412
400	15	420
407	20	425
411	25	431
413	30	435
419	35	438
423	40	442
425	45	446
428	50	449
431	55	452
435	60	457
441	65	460
445	70	464
448	75	467
452	80	473
457	85	479
463	90	484
473	95	494
484	98	500
493	99	513





# I.27 CHEST/BUST CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The circumference of the chest at the level of the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (the most protruding point on the right bra/breast) in females, measured parallel to the standing surface.

Chest/Bust Circumference		
Female		Male
209	Valid	787
2	Missing	2
960	Mean (mm)	1055
6	SE (mm)	3
85	SD (mm)	84
790	Min (mm)	824
1356	Max (mm)	1321
1.2	Skew	0.3
3.3	Kur	0.0
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
9%	CV (%)	8%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
830	1	883
845	3	910
850	5	925
864	10	950
874	15	967
886	20	987
896	25	998
904	30	1008
920	35	1019
929	40	1026
940	45	1037
954	50	1049
963	55	1061
973	60	1070
982	65	1081
991	70	1095
1007	75	1105
1020	80	1124
1041	85	1145
1067	90	1168
1085	95	1200
1129	98	1228
1230	99	1281





# I.28 CHEST/BUST HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical height of the front breast circumference level at the Thelion (right nipple) in males or the Bustpoint (the most protruding point on the right bra/breast) in females, to the standing surface.

Chest/Bust Height		
Female		Male
209	Valid	786
2	Missing	3
1203	Mean (mm)	1303
3	SE (mm)	2
48	SD (mm)	54
1079	Min (mm)	1121
1327	Max (mm)	1495
0.1	Skew	0.1
-0.2	Kur	0.1
0.78	Norm (p)	0.618
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1100	1	1183
1110	3	1197
1130	5	1218
1144	10	1235
1153	15	1247
1158	20	1256
1169	25	1263
1174	30	1274
1181	35	1281
1190	40	1289
1198	45	1296
1202	50	1303
1209	55	1310
1214	60	1317
1220	65	1325
1227	70	1334
1231	75	1339
1241	80	1345
1256	85	1357
1264	90	1371
1287	95	1393
1298	98	1410
1313	99	1435





#### **I.29 CROTCH HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the crotch.

Crotch Height		
Female		Male
123	Valid	624
88	Missing	165
772	Mean (mm)	790
3	SE (mm)	2
35	SD (mm)	43
673	Min (mm)	669
852	Max (mm)	962
0.1	Skew	0.3
-0.1	Kur	0.6
0.552	Norm (p)	0.01
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
703	1	697
714	3	709
726	5	719
728	10	736
735	15	747
738	20	756
748	25	763
751	30	768
759	35	773
763	40	779
767	45	783
773	50	787
778	55	792
781	60	800
785	65	805
791	70	809
793	75	816
798	80	826
805	85	834
819	90	841
832	95	862
842	98	878
851	99	903





# I.30 CROTCH LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance from the front waist to the back waist (at the height of the natural waist or the natural contraction points of the waist), passing through the crotch.

Crotch Length		
Female		Male
189	Valid	723
22	Missing	66
785	Mean (mm)	891
3	SE (mm)	2
48	SD (mm)	59
686	Min (mm)	747
897	Max (mm)	1117
0.2	Skew	0.8
-0.6	Kur	0.9
0.052	Norm (p)	< .001
6%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
698	1	776
704	3	797
710	5	808
722	10	825
731	15	836
742	20	844
749	25	851
758	30	857
764	35	863
769	40	870
776	45	876
782	50	883
791	55	890
797	60	898
803	65	905
811	70	912
817	75	922
825	80	934
838	85	947
849	90	969
868	95	1005
884	98	1040
893	99	1064





# I.31 CROTCH-WAIST LENGTH PREFERRED, ANTERIOR

Definition: The distance between the Waist Preferred Anterior Landmark (at the level of the participant's preferred belt height on the front waist in line with the Omphalion) and the Crotch Landmark.

Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Anterior		
Female		Male
161	Valid	613
50	Missing	176
252	Mean (mm)	292
3	SE (mm)	1
44	SD (mm)	36
129	Min (mm)	198
363	Max (mm)	422
0.3	Skew	0.4
-0.2	Kur	0.3
0.05	Norm (p)	< .001
17%	CV (%)	13%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
166	1	218
177	3	224
189	5	237
204	10	247
210	15	255
217	20	261
222	25	266
225	30	271
232	35	275
236	40	279
239	45	284
243	50	290
250	55	294
257	60	299
268	65	305
275	70	310
282	75	314
287	80	321
296	85	330
320	90	339
330	95	353
345	98	367
352	99	396





# **I.32 CROTCH-WAIST LENGTH PREFERRED, POSTERIOR**

**Definition:** The distance between the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark (at the level of the participant's preferred belt height on the back waist in line with the spine) and the Crotch Landmark.

Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Posteriof		
Female		Male
129	Valid	515
82	Missing	274
424	Mean (mm)	425
8	SE (mm)	4
86	SD (mm)	82
269	Min (mm)	214
710	Max (mm)	847
0.8	Skew	1.5
0.5	Kur	2.9
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
20%	CV (%)	19%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
277	1	304
298	3	317
310	5	332
331	10	347
340	15	358
352	20	366
366	25	375
378	30	379
383	35	387
393	40	393
402	45	401
406	50	406
414	55	413
425	60	420
434	65	428
452	70	440
464	75	449
495	80	465
525	85	489
543	90	549
600	95	613
615	98	640
649	99	693





#### **I.33 ELBOW CIRCUMFERENCE**

**Definition:** The elbow perimeter measured with a line passing over the right elbow backbone and the arm front hollow.

Elbow Circumference		
Female		Male
209	Valid	786
2	Missing	3
253	Mean (mm)	287
1	SE (mm)	1
18	SD (mm)	20
208	Min (mm)	232
333	Max (mm)	353
1.0	Skew	0.2
2.1	Kur	0.1
< .001	Norm (p)	0.032
7%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
222	1	243
225	3	249
229	5	256
233	10	262
236	15	266
239	20	271
241	25	274
243	30	277
245	35	279
247	40	282
248	45	285
250	50	287
252	55	290
255	60	292
257	65	294
261	70	297
263	75	300
266	80	304
270	85	308
277	90	313
286	95	324
295	98	330
304	99	338





## I.34 ELBOW REST HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Olecranon Bottom Landmark (lowest point) on the flexed right elbow.

Elbow Rest Height		
Female		Male
206	Valid	760
5	Missing	29
991	Mean (mm)	1059
3	SE (mm)	2
39	SD (mm)	47
900	Min (mm)	934
1087	Max (mm)	1218
0.0	Skew	0.1
-0.4	Kur	0.0
0.616	Norm (p)	0.235
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
905	1	955
913	3	968
924	5	985
942	10	999
949	15	1010
959	20	1021
963	25	1029
970	30	1034
975	35	1040
981	40	1046
987	45	1051
992	50	1057
997	55	1064
1002	60	1072
1008	65	1079
1011	70	1085
1018	75	1090
1024	80	1097
1031	85	1105
1044	90	1116
1055	95	1138
1064	98	1151
1072	99	1173





# **I.35 ELBOW REST HEIGHT, SITTING**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Olecranon Bottom Landmark (lowest point) on the flexed right elbow.

Elbow Rest Height, Sitting		
Female		Male
189	Valid	686
22	Missing	103
254	Mean (mm)	253
2	SE (mm)	1
26	SD (mm)	28
201	Min (mm)	155
327	Max (mm)	341
0.1	Skew	0.0
-0.2	Kur	0.2
0.111	Norm (p)	0.725
10%	CV (%)	11%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
203	1	192
207	3	198
210	5	208
218	10	218
225	15	224
232	20	231
236	25	235
243	30	239
247	35	243
250	40	247
253	45	250
257	50	253
258	55	257
261	60	260
264	65	264
266	70	266
268	75	271
273	80	276
278	85	282
288	90	287
299	95	300
302	98	309
310	99	321





### **I.36 ELBOW-FINGERTIP LENGTH**

**Definition:** The horizontal distance from the Olecranon Rear Landmark on the back tip of the flexed right elbow to the tip of the middle finger (Dactylion III), with the hand held out straight and the palm facing inward.

Elbow-Fingertip Length		
Female		Male
183	Valid	679
28	Missing	110
436	Mean (mm)	482
1	SE (mm)	1
18	SD (mm)	21
385	Min (mm)	412
486	Max (mm)	564
-0.1	Skew	0.0
0.1	Kur	0.3
0.803	Norm (p)	0.526
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
393	1	432
401	3	439
404	5	447
413	10	455
418	15	460
421	20	465
425	25	469
427	30	472
432	35	475
433	40	477
435	45	479
436	50	481
438	55	484
440	60	487
442	65	489
445	70	492
448	75	495
450	80	499
453	85	504
461	90	509
465	95	518
470	98	522
474	99	533





# I.37 ELBOW-GRIP LENGTH

**Definition:** The horizontal distance from the back tip of the flexed right elbow to the centre of a 1.5 cm diameter marker gripped vertically in the right hand.

Elbow-Grip Length		
Female		Male
204	Valid	760
7	Missing	29
325	Mean (mm)	358
1	SE (mm)	1
15	SD (mm)	18
281	Min (mm)	293
393	Max (mm)	411
0.2	Skew	0.0
1.7	Kur	0.1
0.013	Norm (p)	0.503
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
288	1	315
294	3	321
302	5	328
306	10	337
310	15	340
313	20	343
316	25	346
318	30	349
321	35	351
323	40	354
324	45	356
325	50	358
327	55	360
328	60	363
329	65	365
331	70	367
334	75	371
337	80	375
339	85	378
343	90	382
350	95	388
354	98	395
359	99	401





### I.38 EYE HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Ectocanthus Landmark on the outer corner of the right eye.

Eye Height		
Female		Male
210	Valid	740
1	Missing	49
1548	Mean (mm)	1670
4	SE (mm)	2
52	SD (mm)	64
1404	Min (mm)	1476
1684	Max (mm)	1924
0.1	Skew	0.2
0.1	Kur	0.3
0.43	Norm (p)	0.164
3%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1428	1	1522
1455	3	1549
1471	5	1569
1482	10	1595
1495	15	1605
1504	20	1615
1513	25	1626
1519	30	1635
1529	35	1644
1536	40	1651
1543	45	1660
1548	50	1668
1553	55	1678
1561	60	1685
1565	65	1693
1571	70	1701
1580	75	1709
1586	80	1720
1594	85	1732
1619	90	1753
1640	95	1776
1660	98	1802
1676	99	1824





### **I.39 EYE HEIGHT, SITTING**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Ectocanthus Landmark on the outer corner of the right eye.

Eye Height, Sitting		
Female		Male
195	Valid	687
16	Missing	102
777	Mean (mm)	824
2	SE (mm)	1
30	SD (mm)	33
714	Min (mm)	720
868	Max (mm)	931
0.2	Skew	0.1
-0.2	Kur	0.2
0.217	Norm (p)	0.474
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
722	1	747
724	3	762
729	5	772
738	10	783
745	15	793
749	20	798
756	25	803
761	30	807
766	35	812
769	40	815
774	45	819
776	50	823
782	55	828
786	60	831
789	65	836
793	70	840
797	75	845
804	80	853
808	85	861
812	90	866
824	95	879
830	98	889
847	99	900





# I.40 FOOT BREADTH

**Definition:** The maximum horizontal distance of the left foot at the metatarsophalangeal joints (between Metatarsophalangeal Protrusions I and V).

Foot Breadth		
Female		Male
206	Valid	760
5	Missing	29
94	Mean (mm)	103
0	SE (mm)	0
6	SD (mm)	6
81	Min (mm)	85
113	Max (mm)	124
0.3	Skew	0.1
0.0	Kur	0.0
0.131	Norm (p)	0.009
6%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
83	1	90
84	3	91
85	5	93
86	10	95
88	15	95
89	20	97
90	25	99
91	30	100
92	35	100
92	40	101
93	45	102
94	50	103
94	55	103
95	60	104
95	65	105
97	70	106
98	75	106
99	80	108
100	85	109
101	90	111
103	95	113
104	98	115
107	99	117





### I.41 FOOT LENGTH

**Definition:** The length of the left foot from the Acropodian (the tip of the first or second toe, whichever is longer) to the Pternion (most posterior point on the heel).

Foot Length		
Female		Male
203	Valid	758
8	Missing	31
245	Mean (mm)	270
1	SE (mm)	0
11	SD (mm)	12
216	Min (mm)	229
276	Max (mm)	311
0.1	Skew	0.0
0.1	Kur	0.3
0.513	Norm (p)	0.163
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
223	1	241
226	3	246
227	5	250
231	10	254
234	15	256
236	20	259
238	25	262
239	30	264
241	35	265
242	40	267
244	45	269
245	50	270
246	55	271
247	60	273
249	65	275
250	70	276
252	75	277
254	80	280
256	85	281
257	90	285
260	95	290
268	98	295
271	99	299





# I.42 FOREARM-FOREARM BREADTH

**Definition:** The maximum horizontal distance between the outer sides of the left and right forearms.

Forearm-Forearm Breadth		
Female		Male
206	Valid	761
5	Missing	28
473	Mean (mm)	544
3	SE (mm)	2
41	SD (mm)	53
381	Min (mm)	396
633	Max (mm)	759
0.9	Skew	0.1
1.7	Kur	0.1
< .001	Norm (p)	0.121
9%	CV (%)	10%

Percentiles					
Female	Female % Male				
399	1	430			
410	3	443			
413	5	455			
425	10	477			
434	15	489			
443	20	497			
447	25	506			
450	30	515			
455	35	524			
459	40	531			
464	45	539			
470	50	545			
474	55	552			
478	60	559			
484	65	566			
488	70	574			
495	75	581			
501	80	586			
509	85	596			
515	90	612			
551	95	630			
565	98	649			
602	99	669			





#### **I.43 FUNCTIONAL GRIP REACH**

**Definition:** The horizontal distance from the back wall to the centre of a rod gripped in the hand of the outstretched right arm, with the right shoulder pressed against the wall.

Functional Grip Reach			
Female	Male		
206	Valid	760	
5	Missing	29	
699	Mean (mm)	768	
2	SE (mm)	1	
34	SD (mm)	40	
617	Min (mm)	646	
816	Max (mm)	899	
0.4	Skew	-0.1	
0.5	Kur	0.3	
0.03	Norm (p)	0.273	
5%	CV (%)	5%	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
622	1	663
644	3	685
649	5	702
658	10	716
665	15	730
668	20	737
674	25	742
680	30	748
684	35	754
689	40	759
693	45	765
698	50	769
702	55	773
708	60	778
712	65	783
715	70	787
718	75	793
724	80	801
730	85	810
742	90	821
755	95	831
780	98	850
788	99	862





#### I.44 HAND BREADTH

**Definition:** The breadth of the right hand between the protrusions at Metacarpali II and V.

Hand Breadth			
Female	le Male		
205	Valid	761	
6	Missing	28	
78	Mean (mm)	88	
0	SE (mm)	0	
4	SD (mm)	4	
69	Min (mm)	75	
87	Max (mm)	102	
0.1	Skew	0.1	
-0.3	Kur	0.0	
0.035	Norm (p)	< .001	
5%	CV (%)	5%	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
70	1	79
72	3	80
72	5	80
74	10	82
75	15	83
75	20	84
75	25	85
76	30	85
76	35	86
77	40	87
78	45	87
78	50	88
79	55	88
79	60	89
80	65	89
80	70	90
80	75	90
81	80	91
82	85	92
83	90	93
85	95	95
86	98	96
87	99	97





#### I.45 HAND CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The circumference of the right hand encompassing the protrusions at Metacarpali II and V.

Hand Circumference			
Female	Male		
206	Valid	761	
5	Missing	28	
190	Mean (mm)	214	
1	SE (mm)	0	
10	SD (mm)	11	
163	Min (mm)	174	
215	Max (mm)	246	
-0.1	Skew	0.1	
-0.2	Kur	0.2	
0.965	Norm (p)	0.096	
5%	CV (%)	5%	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
169	1	190
172	3	195
175	5	197
178	10	201
181	15	204
182	20	206
183	25	207
185	30	209
186	35	210
188	40	211
189	45	212
190	50	214
190	55	215
193	60	216
194	65	218
195	70	220
196	75	221
198	80	223
200	85	225
202	90	228
206	95	233
208	98	235
210	99	239





#### I.46 HAND LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance from the tip of the middle finger (Dactylion III), along its axis, to the Midstylion Landmark at the centre of the right wrist, measured with the hand stretched out flat, palm up.

Hand Length			
Female Male			
201	Valid	744	
10	Missing	45	
182	Mean (mm)	198	
1	SE (mm)	0	
10	SD (mm)	11	
153	Min (mm)	158	
211	Max (mm)	240	
-0.1	Skew	-0.1	
0.0	Kur	0.3	
0.975	Norm (p)	0.139	
5%	CV (%)	6%	

0	TA		
-			
		Y	
Tin	K	-	
		/	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
161	1	172
164	3	175
166	5	180
169	10	184
173	15	187
175	20	190
175	25	191
178	30	193
180	35	194
181	40	195
182	45	197
183	50	199
184	55	200
185	60	201
186	65	203
187	70	204
189	75	206
191	80	208
193	85	210
194	90	211
199	95	216
201	98	220
203	99	224



#### I.47 HEAD BREADTH

**Definition:** The maximum horizontal breadth of the head above the ears.

Head Breadth			
Female Male			
206	Valid	759	
5	Missing	30	
149	Mean (mm)	156	
0	SE (mm)	0	
7	SD (mm)	6	
129	Min (mm)	135	
169	Max (mm)	177	
0.1	Skew	0.0	
0.2	Kur	0.2	
0.857	Norm (p)	0.058	
5%	CV (%)	4%	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
133	1	141
135	3	143
139	5	145
141	10	148
142	15	149
143	20	150
145	25	151
146	30	152
146	35	154
147	40	155
148	45	155
149	50	155
150	55	156
151	60	157
151	65	158
152	70	159
153	75	160
155	80	161
156	85	162
158	90	164
160	95	165
162	98	166
165	99	170





# I.48 HEAD CIRCUMFERENCE

**Definition:** The circumference around the forehead just above the bony brow ridges and across the most protruding point of the back of the head.

Head Circumference		
Female		Male
131	Valid	785
80	Missing	4
578	Mean (mm)	598
1	SE (mm)	1
15	SD (mm)	16
547	Min (mm)	553
625	Max (mm)	650
0.1	Skew	0.0
-0.4	Kur	-0.2
0.068	Norm (p)	0.397
3%	CV (%)	3%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
548	1	563
552	3	569
555	5	573
558	10	578
561	15	581
563	20	585
566	25	588
568	30	590
571	35	593
573	40	595
575	45	597
579	50	599
580	55	601
583	60	603
585	65	605
588	70	607
589	75	609
592	80	612
594	85	614
596	90	619
598	95	623
603	98	627
607	99	634





#### **I.49 HEAD LENGTH**

**Definition:** The straight line distance between the Glabella (located between the brow ridges) and the Opisthocranion on the back of the head.

Head Length		
Female		Male
206	Valid	759
5	Missing	30
194	Mean (mm)	202
0	SE (mm)	0
7	SD (mm)	7
167	Min (mm)	183
215	Max (mm)	228
-0.4	Skew	0.1
1.7	Kur	0.1
0.002	Norm (p)	0.159
3%	CV (%)	3%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
176	1	186
182	3	189
185	5	191
187	10	194
188	15	195
190	20	196
191	25	197
192	30	199
192	35	200
193	40	200
194	45	202
194	50	202
195	55	203
196	60	204
197	65	205
198	70	206
199	75	207
200	80	208
201	85	209
202	90	211
204	95	213
207	98	216
208	99	219





### **I.50 HIP BREADTH, SITTING**

**Definition:** The maximum horizontal breadth of the seated participant at the hips or thighs, whichever is larger.

Hip Breadth, Sitting		
Female		Male
189	Valid	689
22	Missing	100
433	Mean (mm)	424
3	SE (mm)	1
35	SD (mm)	33
354	Min (mm)	351
578	Max (mm)	552
0.9	Skew	0.5
1.7	Kur	0.2
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
8%	CV (%)	8%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
368	1	359
377	3	368
386	5	377
395	10	384
400	15	391
405	20	398
410	25	402
412	30	406
418	35	409
419	40	413
424	45	418
428	50	422
432	55	426
437	60	429
444	65	433
448	70	439
455	75	445
461	80	451
466	85	459
474	90	468
495	95	483
520	98	495
536	99	513





# I.51 HIP BREADTH, STANDING

**Definition:** The largest horizontal breadth of the hip region between the Iliocristale and Crotch landmarks.

Hip Breadth, Standing		
Female		Male
97	Valid	476
114	Missing	313
378	Mean (mm)	367
3	SE (mm)	1
28	SD (mm)	23
290	Min (mm)	314
478	Max (mm)	449
0.7	Skew	0.5
2.0	Kur	0.6
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
7%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
336	1	322
341	3	326
345	5	332
349	10	339
352	15	344
356	20	349
359	25	351
361	30	356
366	35	358
367	40	362
371	45	364
373	50	366
378	55	368
379	60	371
382	65	373
386	70	377
395	75	380
400	80	385
403	85	390
410	90	396
426	95	410
440	98	422
464	99	433





Hip Breadth, Standing (mm)

# **I.52 HIP CIRCUMFERENCE, MAXIMUM**

**Definition:** The maximum circumference of the body (excluding the appendages) at or about the height of the hip but below the height of the iliac crest.

Hip Circumference, Maximum		
Female		Male
86	Valid	471
125	Missing	318
1051	Mean (mm)	1054
9	SE (mm)	3
82	SD (mm)	70
903	Min (mm)	803
1324	Max (mm)	1349
1.1	Skew	0.6
1.5	Kur	1.5
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
8%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
917	1	909
923	3	935
946	5	953
965	10	976
975	15	987
991	20	1001
1005	25	1010
1008	30	1017
1018	35	1024
1024	40	1032
1029	45	1038
1040	50	1046
1047	55	1053
1050	60	1062
1058	65	1070
1073	70	1079
1085	75	1092
1107	80	1105
1130	85	1120
1163	90	1144
1193	95	1182
1260	98	1221
1306	99	1267





# **I.53 ILIOCRISTALE HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Iliocristale Landmark on the top right side of the pelvis.

Iliocristale Height		
Female		Male
165	Valid	670
46	Missing	119
995	Mean (mm)	1071
4	SE (mm)	2
46	SD (mm)	54
821	Min (mm)	909
1093	Max (mm)	1254
-0.5	Skew	0.2
0.7	Kur	0.1
0.03	Norm (p)	0.409
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
875	1	952
902	3	966
917	5	986
933	10	1006
946	15	1018
958	20	1028
969	25	1035
975	30	1044
982	35	1050
989	40	1055
994	45	1061
998	50	1069
1003	55	1076
1008	60	1082
1014	65	1090
1021	70	1096
1025	75	1107
1031	80	1117
1038	85	1126
1052	90	1140
1066	95	1164
1078	98	1178
1083	99	1198




## I.54 INDEX FINGER BREADTH, DISTAL

**Definition:** The maximum breadth of the index finger in the region of the joint between middle and distal phalanges, measured with the hand stretched out flat and fingers spread, palm down.

Index Finger Breadth, Distal		
Female		Male
206	Valid	761
5	Missing	28
14	Mean (mm)	17
0	SE (mm)	0
1	SD (mm)	1
11	Min (mm)	12
17	Max (mm)	22
-0.6	Skew	0.2
0.3	Kur	0.3
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
8%	CV (%)	8%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
12	1	14
12	3	14
12	5	15
13	10	15
13	15	15
14	20	15
14	25	16
14	30	16
14	35	16
14	40	16
14	45	16
15	50	17
15	55	17
15	60	17
15	65	17
15	70	17
15	75	18
15	80	18
15	85	18
16	90	18
16	95	19
16	98	19
17	99	20





## **I.55 INDEX FINGER BREADTH, PROXIMAL**

**Definition:** The maximum breadth of the index finger in the region of the joint between middle and proximal phalanges measured with the hand stretched out flat and fingers spread, palm down.

Index Finger Breadth, Proximal		
Female		Male
206	Valid	761
5	Missing	28
17	Mean (mm)	19
0	SE (mm)	0
1	SD (mm)	1
13	Min (mm)	14
21	Max (mm)	25
-0.1	Skew	-0.1
0.8	Kur	0.2
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
7%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
14	1	16
15	2.5	17
15	5	17
16	10	18
16	15	18
16	20	18
16	25	18
17	30	19
17	35	19
17	40	19
17	45	19
17	50	20
17	55	20
17	60	20
18	65	20
18	70	20
18	75	20
18	80	21
18	85	21
18	90	21
19	95	22
19	97.5	22
20	99	23





## **I.56 INDEX FINGER REACH**

**Definition:** The horizontal distance from the back wall to the tip of the right index finger of the outstretched right arm, with the right shoulder pressed against the wall.

Index Finger Reach		
Female		Male
206	Valid	758
5	Missing	31
790	Mean (mm)	864
3	SE (mm)	2
36	SD (mm)	42
696	Min (mm)	744
899	Max (mm)	994
0.3	Skew	-0.1
0.1	Kur	0.1
0.324	Norm (p)	0.293
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
717	1	756
725	3	776
733	5	794
747	10	812
753	15	823
759	20	830
765	25	838
770	30	844
774	35	850
780	40	854
784	45	860
789	50	865
793	55	870
798	60	875
801	65	880
806	70	885
814	75	892
819	80	899
827	85	907
834	90	914
853	95	934
871	98	947
879	99	963





#### **I.57 INTERPUPILLARY BREADTH**

**Definition:** The distance between the centres of the left and right pupils.

Interpupillary Breadth		
Female		Male
197	Valid	747
14	Missing	42
58	Mean (mm)	61
0	SE (mm)	0
4	SD (mm)	4
50	Min (mm)	50
70	Max (mm)	75
0.0	Skew	-0.1
-0.2	Kur	0.4
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
6%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
50	1	50
50	3	54
53	5	55
55	10	55
55	15	57
55	20	58
55	25	60
56	30	60
57	35	60
57	40	60
58	45	60
59	50	61
60	55	61
60	60	62
60	65	63
60	70	64
61	75	64
62	80	65
62	85	65
63	90	65
64	95	67
65	98	68
67	99	70





## **I.58 KNEE CIRCUMFERENCE**

**Definition:** The horizontal circumference of the knee at the height of the Midpatella Landmark at the centre of the right kneecap.

Knee Circumference		
Female		Male
173	Valid	685
38	Missing	104
371	Mean (mm)	387
2	SE (mm)	1
26	SD (mm)	24
320	Min (mm)	296
462	Max (mm)	475
0.7	Skew	0.4
0.9	Kur	0.5
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
7%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
326	1	336
330	3	345
333	5	350
338	10	359
345	15	363
349	20	366
352	25	370
359	30	373
363	35	377
365	40	379
367	45	382
369	50	385
372	55	388
373	60	391
377	65	394
381	70	397
387	75	402
390	80	406
396	85	413
401	90	419
411	95	430
431	98	440
446	99	447





#### **I.59 KNEE HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical height of the front of the knee circumference level (over the right kneecap perpendicular to the leg-axis) to the standing surface.

Knee Height		
Female		Male
208	Valid	787
3	Missing	2
446	Mean (mm)	482
1	SE (mm)	1
20	SD (mm)	25
400	Min (mm)	390
501	Max (mm)	577
0.1	Skew	0.1
-0.1	Kur	0.6
0.753	Norm (p)	0.03
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
404	1	424
407	3	435
415	5	443
422	10	452
425	15	457
430	20	461
434	25	465
436	30	469
439	35	473
441	40	475
444	45	478
447	50	482
449	55	485
451	60	488
454	65	491
456	70	494
458	75	497
460	80	501
467	85	506
472	90	512
481	95	524
487	98	534
490	99	545





#### I.60 KNEE HEIGHT, SITTING

**Definition:** The vertical distance between a footrest surface and the Suprapatella Landmark at the top of the right kneecap.

Knee Height, Sitting		
Female		Male
210	Valid	767
1	Missing	22
513	Mean (mm)	556
2	SE (mm)	1
22	SD (mm)	27
451	Min (mm)	478
581	Max (mm)	659
0.0	Skew	0.1
0.2	Kur	0.2
0.982	Norm (p)	0.417
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
465	1	494
471	3	505
476	5	512
484	10	523
491	15	528
496	20	533
500	25	537
502	30	542
506	35	546
508	40	549
510	45	552
514	50	557
515	55	560
518	60	563
522	65	567
525	70	570
528	75	574
532	80	578
536	85	583
539	90	591
549	95	599
559	98	609
565	99	623





#### I.61 MALLEOLUS-HALLUX LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance between the inner anklebone protrusion (Medial Malleolus) and the tip of the big toe (Hallux).

Malleolus-Hallux Length		
Female		Male
148	Valid	544
63	Missing	245
191	Mean (mm)	211
1	SE (mm)	0
12	SD (mm)	11
157	Min (mm)	167
217	Max (mm)	248
0.0	Skew	-0.1
-0.5	Kur	0.7
0.426	Norm (p)	0.02
6%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
167	1	184
170	3	189
173	5	193
177	10	197
178	15	200
181	20	202
183	25	203
184	30	205
186	35	207
188	40	208
189	45	209
191	50	211
192	55	212
194	60	213
197	65	215
199	70	216
201	75	218
203	80	221
204	85	223
207	90	225
210	95	229
214	98	233
216	99	237





# I.62 NECK CIRCUMFERENCE, BASE

**Definition:** The circumference at the base of the neck, just on the transition between torso and neck.

Neck Circumference, Base		
Female		Male
196	Valid	785
15	Missing	4
394	Mean (mm)	460
2	SE (mm)	1
25	SD (mm)	32
335	Min (mm)	378
456	Max (mm)	591
0.2	Skew	0.6
-0.2	Kur	0.8
0.251	Norm (p)	< .001
6%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
342	1	398
351	3	407
354	5	415
364	10	422
368	15	428
372	20	434
376	25	438
382	30	443
384	35	446
387	40	450
390	45	454
393	50	458
395	55	462
399	60	465
403	65	469
405	70	474
408	75	478
416	80	484
420	85	491
426	90	502
441	95	518
446	98	529
450	99	557





### I.63 PALM LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance from the proximal finger crease of the middle finger on the palm of the hand to the Midstylion Landmark at the centre of the wrist, measured with the hand stretched out flat, palm up.

Palm Length		
Female		Male
201	Valid	744
10	Missing	45
105	Mean (mm)	115
0	SE (mm)	0
7	SD (mm)	8
80	Min (mm)	81
124	Max (mm)	142
-0.4	Skew	-0.4
0.9	Kur	1.1
0.013	Norm (p)	< .001
6%	CV (%)	7%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
87	1	90
90	3	96
94	5	102
98	10	105
100	15	107
101	20	109
102	25	110
103	30	111
103	35	112
104	40	113
105	45	114
105	50	115
106	55	116
106	60	117
108	65	118
110	70	119
110	75	120
111	80	122
112	85	123
114	90	125
116	95	127
117	98	130
119	99	133





## I.64 POPLITEAL HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical distance from the floor to the back of the right knee (the popliteal fossa at the dorsal juncture of the right calf and thigh).

Popliteal Height		
Female		Male
174	Valid	688
37	Missing	101
413	Mean (mm)	450
2	SE (mm)	1
20	SD (mm)	24
361	Min (mm)	388
463	Max (mm)	543
0.0	Skew	0.3
-0.3	Kur	0.3
0.63	Norm (p)	0.002
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
368	1	398
373	3	406
378	5	413
388	10	421
392	15	425
395	20	429
397	25	433
401	30	437
407	35	440
409	40	443
411	45	446
412	50	449
414	55	452
416	60	456
419	65	460
423	70	462
427	75	466
432	80	470
435	85	475
438	90	482
446	95	491
450	98	499
460	99	511





## **I.65 RADIALE-STYLION LENGTH**

**Definition:** The distance between the Radiale Landmark on the right elbow and the Stylion Landmark on the right wrist.

Radiale-Stylion Length		
Female		Male
196	Valid	748
15	Missing	41
239	Mean (mm)	267
1	SE (mm)	1
13	SD (mm)	15
199	Min (mm)	204
279	Max (mm)	314
-0.2	Skew	-0.1
0.5	Kur	0.5
0.419	Norm (p)	0.067
5%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
208	1	229
211	3	237
218	5	243
223	10	249
227	15	252
229	20	255
230	25	257
233	30	259
234	35	261
236	40	263
237	45	265
240	50	267
241	55	269
243	60	270
245	65	273
247	70	275
248	75	277
250	80	280
252	85	283
256	90	285
260	95	292
261	98	298
265	99	303





#### I.66 SHOULDER-ELBOW LENGTH

**Definition:** The distance between the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder and the Olecranon Bottom Landmark on the tip of the right elbow.

Shoulder-Elbow Length		
Female		Male
204	Valid	770
7	Missing	19
345	Mean (mm)	374
1	SE (mm)	1
16	SD (mm)	19
307	Min (mm)	301
385	Max (mm)	438
0.1	Skew	0.0
-0.3	Kur	0.5
0.609	Norm (p)	0.155
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
314	1	328
316	3	336
320	5	344
324	10	350
328	15	355
331	20	358
334	25	361
336	30	365
339	35	368
341	40	370
343	45	372
345	50	374
347	55	376
349	60	378
351	65	381
352	70	383
355	75	386
357	80	389
361	85	392
367	90	397
370	95	404
376	98	414
380	99	422





#### **I.67 SITTING HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the top of the head.

Sitting Height		
Female		Male
170	Valid	674
41	Missing	115
890	Mean (mm)	943
2	SE (mm)	1
30	SD (mm)	33
818	Min (mm)	836
977	Max (mm)	1066
0.1	Skew	0.2
-0.2	Kur	0.4
0.606	Norm (p)	0.142
3%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
825	1	868
836	3	878
844	5	889
849	10	901
857	15	910
864	20	915
872	25	921
875	30	926
878	35	930
881	40	933
885	45	939
889	50	942
892	55	945
898	60	950
905	65	954
910	70	959
911	75	964
915	80	970
920	85	976
929	90	985
940	95	999
949	98	1008
959	99	1027





#### I.68 SLEEVE OUTSEAM

**Definition:** The distance between the Acromion Right Landmark on the tip of the right shoulder and the Centre Wrist Landmark, passing over the Radiale Landmark.

Sleeve Outseam		
Female		Male
197	Valid	740
14	Missing	49
561	Mean (mm)	616
2	SE (mm)	1
24	SD (mm)	30
498	Min (mm)	498
619	Max (mm)	703
0.0	Skew	0.0
-0.5	Kur	0.2
0.575	Norm (p)	0.487
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
512	1	545
518	3	557
524	5	569
529	10	577
534	15	584
540	20	591
543	25	596
547	30	600
552	35	604
557	40	609
560	45	613
562	50	617
564	55	620
567	60	624
571	65	628
575	70	632
578	75	635
584	80	642
587	85	647
593	90	653
600	95	665
604	98	677
611	99	693





#### **I.69 STATURE**

**Definition:** The vertical height from the standing surface to the top of the head.

Stature		
Female		Male
211	Valid	788
0	Missing	1
1669	Mean (mm)	1787
4	SE (mm)	2
54	SD (mm)	65
1515	Min (mm)	1579
1832	Max (mm)	2035
0.2	Skew	0.2
0.0	Kur	0.2
0.305	Norm (p)	0.1
3%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1547	1	1640
1572	3	1666
1587	5	1683
1608	10	1706
1615	15	1720
1626	20	1731
1633	25	1739
1636	30	1752
1644	35	1760
1652	40	1768
1666	45	1778
1673	50	1785
1674	55	1793
1681	60	1803
1688	65	1811
1698	70	1818
1699	75	1825
1706	80	1836
1731	85	1851
1746	90	1868
1761	95	1897
1782	98	1923
1793	99	1954





# **I.70 SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical height of the base of the neck (front/lowest point of neck at base measurement, just on the transition between torso and neck) to the standing surface.

Suprasternale Height		
Female		Male
208	Valid	787
3	Missing	2
1369	Mean (mm)	1469
3	SE (mm)	2
50	SD (mm)	61
1247	Min (mm)	1268
1511	Max (mm)	1691
0.1	Skew	0.2
0.0	Kur	0.2
0.606	Norm (p)	0.189
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1254	1	1336
1270	3	1353
1289	5	1373
1311	10	1395
1318	15	1409
1326	20	1417
1334	25	1427
1341	30	1438
1349	35	1445
1356	40	1452
1363	45	1460
1370	50	1468
1376	55	1475
1381	60	1482
1386	65	1493
1391	70	1503
1398	75	1511
1403	80	1518
1423	85	1528
1435	90	1547
1455	95	1567
1467	98	1595
1487	99	1616



1050 1200 1350 1500 1650 1800 1950 Suprasternale Height (mm)

100

50·

-0 50-100-

150

200

## I.71 T2 HEIGHT

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Second Thoracic Vertebra (T2) Landmark.

T2 Height		
Female		Male
202	Valid	764
9	Missing	25
1396	Mean (mm)	1504
4	SE (mm)	2
52	SD (mm)	61
1275	Min (mm)	1268
1539	Max (mm)	1757
0.2	Skew	0.1
-0.1	Kur	0.4
0.188	Norm (p)	0.329
4%	CV (%)	4%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1289	1	1360
1301	3	1383
1306	5	1406
1330	10	1428
1342	15	1442
1356	20	1453
1362	25	1463
1372	30	1473
1377	35	1480
1381	40	1489
1387	45	1498
1393	50	1506
1400	55	1511
1404	60	1520
1409	65	1526
1416	70	1534
1428	75	1543
1438	80	1554
1451	85	1567
1471	90	1580
1491	95	1603
1509	98	1625
1510	99	1653





# **I.72 TENTH RIB HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Tenth Rib Landmark located at the bottom of the right side of the ribcage.

Tenth Rib Height		
Female		Male
201	Valid	717
10	Missing	72
1063	Mean (mm)	1144
3	SE (mm)	2
44	SD (mm)	52
930	Min (mm)	976
1185	Max (mm)	1308
-0.1	Skew	0.1
-0.1	Kur	0.0
0.989	Norm (p)	0.338
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
963	1	1028
981	3	1048
990	5	1060
1007	10	1079
1022	15	1091
1026	20	1098
1035	25	1105
1039	30	1114
1044	35	1120
1053	40	1129
1058	45	1136
1065	50	1144
1071	55	1150
1077	60	1156
1082	65	1162
1085	70	1172
1093	75	1181
1100	80	1190
1106	85	1198
1118	90	1208
1132	95	1232
1140	98	1253
1157	99	1273





## **I.73 THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE**

**Definition:** The horizontal circumference around the right leg slightly underneath the crotch, measured parallel to the standing surface.

Thigh Circumference		
Female		Male
193	Valid	745
18	Missing	44
594	Mean (mm)	597
3	SE (mm)	2
43	SD (mm)	51
478	Min (mm)	403
774	Max (mm)	734
0.3	Skew	-0.2
1.3	Kur	0.7
0.021	Norm (p)	< .001
7%	CV (%)	9%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
492	1	454
504	3	486
531	5	511
543	10	538
555	15	549
563	20	560
568	25	567
574	30	571
579	35	578
583	40	586
588	45	592
595	50	599
598	55	603
601	60	609
607	65	615
610	70	622
618	75	628
626	80	635
637	85	644
650	90	658
670	95	685
680	98	699
688	99	717





#### **I.74 THIGH CLEARANCE**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the sitting surface and the Thigh Point Top Landmark (the highest point on the right thigh).

Thigh Clearance		
Female		Male
164	Valid	578
47	Missing	211
158	Mean (mm)	168
1	SE (mm)	1
14	SD (mm)	16
123	Min (mm)	107
203	Max (mm)	229
0.3	Skew	-0.1
0.2	Kur	0.8
0.382	Norm (p)	0.019
9%	CV (%)	10%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
127	1	130
131	3	134
136	5	140
140	10	148
144	15	152
146	20	155
147	25	158
149	30	161
152	35	162
154	40	165
155	45	167
157	50	169
159	55	171
161	60	173
163	65	174
165	70	177
168	75	179
170	80	182
171	85	185
175	90	188
182	95	193
184	98	198
197	99	206



50 75 100 125 150 175 200 225 250 Thigh Clearance (mm)

60<sup>-</sup> 75<sup>-</sup> 90<sup>-</sup>

## **I.75 THUMBTIP REACH**

**Definition:** The horizontal distance from the back wall to the tip of the thumb of the outstretched right arm, with the right shoulder pressed against the wall.

Thumbtip Reach		
Female		Male
206	Valid	757
5	Missing	32
755	Mean (mm)	825
2	SE (mm)	1
36	SD (mm)	41
658	Min (mm)	700
857	Max (mm)	961
0.3	Skew	-0.1
0.2	Kur	0.3
0.195	Norm (p)	0.073
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
684	1	723
693	3	738
699	5	754
710	10	775
717	15	787
723	20	794
731	25	800
736	30	805
741	35	810
747	40	815
751	45	821
755	50	826
759	55	831
763	60	836
767	65	840
772	70	845
778	75	851
782	80	859
788	85	867
797	90	878
816	95	891
837	98	903
847	99	925





## **I.76 TIBIALE-LATERALE HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Tibiale-Laterale Landmark on the right knee.

Tibiale-Laterale Height		
Female		Male
203	Valid	756
8	Missing	33
439	Mean (mm)	480
2	SE (mm)	1
22	SD (mm)	28
363	Min (mm)	409
506	Max (mm)	578
0.1	Skew	0.3
0.5	Kur	0.1
0.224	Norm (p)	0.002
5%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
388	1	422
402	3	430
407	5	437
414	10	446
417	15	451
420	20	457
424	25	461
428	30	465
430	35	469
432	40	473
435	45	476
438	50	480
440	55	483
444	60	487
446	65	490
448	70	494
450	75	498
456	80	502
463	85	507
471	90	516
477	95	530
479	98	541
486	99	549





## **I.77 TROCHANTERION HEIGHT**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Trochanterion Landmark on the upper side of the right thigh.

Trochanterion Height		
Female		Male
128	Valid	396
83	Missing	393
852	Mean (mm)	932
4	SE (mm)	3
48	SD (mm)	56
700	Min (mm)	783
975	Max (mm)	1121
-0.2	Skew	0.1
0.3	Kur	0.1
0.873	Norm (p)	0.807
6%	CV (%)	6%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
736	1	813
755	3	823
778	5	840
793	10	860
808	15	875
813	20	885
821	25	897
827	30	902
830	35	912
835	40	917
847	45	924
855	50	930
861	55	937
864	60	944
873	65	951
878	70	959
882	75	966
894	80	977
901	85	989
908	90	1001
930	95	1025
942	98	1044
958	99	1064





## **I.78 VERTICAL TRUNK CIRCUMFERENCE**

**Definition:** The vertical circumference of the trunk on a line passing through the crotch and over the landmarks at Bustpoint (females) or Thelion (males), MidShoulder, and Buttock Point Posterior.

Vertical Trunk Circumference		
Female		Male
135	Valid	531
76	Missing	258
1626	Mean (mm)	1786
6	SE (mm)	4
72	SD (mm)	83
1487	Min (mm)	1524
1812	Max (mm)	2036
0.4	Skew	0.1
-0.4	Kur	0.0
0.048	Norm (p)	0.267
4%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
1499	1	1597
1506	3	1629
1518	5	1660
1534	10	1685
1546	15	1706
1563	20	1720
1577	25	1732
1584	30	1742
1590	35	1752
1597	40	1762
1613	45	1769
1621	50	1778
1624	55	1792
1638	60	1802
1648	65	1814
1664	70	1829
1679	75	1842
1691	80	1859
1699	85	1874
1722	90	1899
1757	95	1931
1787	98	1953
1798	99	1972





#### **I.79 WAIST BREADTH**

**Definition:** The horizontal breadth of the waist at the level of the Omphalion.

Waist Breadth		
Female		Male
173	Valid	599
38	Missing	190
324	Mean (mm)	334
3	SE (mm)	1
35	SD (mm)	32
259	Min (mm)	256
446	Max (mm)	452
1.0	Skew	0.5
1.4	Kur	0.1
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
11%	CV (%)	10%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
266	1	272
273	3	282
279	5	289
285	10	296
289	15	303
295	20	306
299	25	311
301	30	315
308	35	319
312	40	323
316	45	326
320	50	331
324	55	336
328	60	340
332	65	344
339	70	350
344	75	355
352	80	363
358	85	369
367	90	377
382	95	392
417	98	403
441	99	420





# **I.80 WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE, NATURAL INDENTATION**

**Definition:** The horizontal circumference of the waist measured at the height of the natural waist, determined by the point of contraction on the side.

Waist Circumference,		
Nat	ural indentation	on
Female		Male
207	Valid	787
4	Missing	2
803	Mean (mm)	898
7	SE (mm)	4
95	SD (mm)	104
636	Min (mm)	689
1148	Max (mm)	1351
1.0	Skew	0.7
1.2	Kur	0.4
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
12%	CV (%)	12%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
661	1	718
664	3	741
682	5	755
701	10	777
709	15	790
726	20	805
737	25	820
743	30	836
753	35	846
764	40	858
776	45	870
783	50	883
796	55	896
810	60	907
819	65	921
829	70	940
858	75	959
877	80	982
895	85	1013
921	90	1046
1000	95	1093
1047	98	1128
1085	99	1169





## I.81 WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE, PREFERRED

**Definition:** The horizontal circumference of the torso at the height of the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark (representing the preferred belt height on the back).

Waist Circumference,			
	Preferred		
Female		Male	
145	Valid	678	
66	Missing	111	
866	Mean (mm)	936	
8	SE (mm)	4	
99	SD (mm)	104	
673	Min (mm)	709	
1219	Max (mm)	1389	
0.9	Skew	0.6	
1.1	Kur	0.5	
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001	
11%	CV (%)	11%	

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
661	1	718
664	3	741
682	5	755
701	10	777
709	15	790
726	20	805
737	25	820
743	30	836
753	35	846
764	40	858
776	45	870
783	50	883
796	55	896
810	60	907
819	65	921
829	70	940
858	75	959
877	80	982
895	85	1013
921	90	1046
1000	95	1093
1047	98	1128
1085	99	1169





#### I.82 WAIST DEPTH

**Definition:** The largest horizontal depth of the torso between the Iliocristale and the Tenth Rib landmarks.

Waist Depth		
Female		Male
203	Valid	728
8	Missing	61
236	Mean (mm)	257
3	SE (mm)	1
39	SD (mm)	37
166	Min (mm)	185
400	Max (mm)	433
1.3	Skew	0.8
2.6	Kur	0.9
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001
17%	CV (%)	15%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
173	1	193
184	3	200
188	5	208
196	10	214
200	15	221
206	20	224
210	25	229
213	30	235
217	35	239
221	40	243
225	45	247
230	50	251
235	55	255
239	60	260
241	65	266
248	70	272
253	75	279
261	80	287
265	85	295
281	90	307
316	95	330
336	98	343
371	99	366





# **I.83 WAIST HEIGHT PREFERRED, POSTERIOR**

**Definition:** The vertical distance between the standing surface and the Waist Preferred Posterior Landmark (representing the preferred belt height on the back).

Waist Height Preferred,		
	Posterior	
Female		Male
182	Valid	761
29	Missing	28
999	Mean (mm)	1048
4	SE (mm)	2
49	SD (mm)	50
892	Min (mm)	861
1141	Max (mm)	1327
0.1	Skew	0.7
-0.3	Kur	2.2
0.592	Norm (p)	< .001
5%	CV (%)	5%

Percentiles		
Female	%	Male
894	1	951
905	3	964
918	5	976
932	10	990
941	15	999
952	20	1007
966	25	1015
973	30	1020
980	35	1027
987	40	1033
993	45	1038
998	50	1044
1006	55	1051
1012	60	1058
1020	65	1063
1028	70	1072
1032	75	1079
1039	80	1086
1051	85	1098
1058	90	1110
1077	95	1130
1090	98	1146
1108	99	1183





#### **I.84 WEIGHT**

**Definition:** Body weight (in kilograms) measured with the scale integrated into the scanner platform.

Weight							
Female		Male					
205	Valid	748					
6	Missing	41					
70	Mean (mm)	86					
1	SE (mm)	0					
11	SD (mm)	13					
51	Min (mm)	56					
117	Max (mm)	137					
1.3	Skew	0.5					
2.8	Kur	0.5					
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001					
16%	CV (%)	15%					

Percentiles							
Female	%	Male					
51	1	60					
53	3	63					
56	5	67					
58	10	70					
60	15	73					
61	20	75					
62	25	76					
64	30	78					
65	35	80					
66	40	82					
68	45	83					
69	50	85					
70	55	86					
71	60	88					
72	65	90					
74	70	92					
76	75	94					
77	80	97					
79	85	99					
83	90	102					
89	95	108					
51	1	60					
53	3	63					



#### **I.85 WRIST CIRCUMFERENCE**

**Definition:** The circumference of the right wrist measured at the arm extremity just before the transition to the hand.

Wrist Circumference							
Female		Male					
204	Valid	786					
7	Missing	3					
160	Mean (mm)	180					
1	SE (mm)	0					
9	SD (mm)	11					
139	Min (mm)	150					
192	Max (mm)	223					
0.6	Skew	0.3					
0.9	Kur	0.2					
< .001	Norm (p)	< .001					
6%	CV (%)	6%					

Percentiles							
Female	Male						
142	1	157					
144	3	160					
146	5	162					
149	10	166					
151	15	168					
153	20	170					
154	25	171					
155	30	173					
156	35	175					
157	40	177					
159	45	178					
161	50	179					
162	55	181					
162	60	182					
163	65	184					
164	70	185					
166	75	186					
167	80	189					
169	85	191					
170	90	195					
179	95	200					
182	98	203					
189	99	208					





#### Appendix J Minimum Sampling Requirments

This appendix provides the minimum sampling size requirements for NZDFAS measures for both men and women. Note that these sample sizes are unique for each measure and are based on the calculation recommended by ISO 15535:2008 and provided in Equation 4 where CV is the coefficient of variation of the measure and a is the percent relative accuracy required or the acceptable tolerance (typically 1% or 2%).

$$n \ge \left(1.96 \times \frac{CV}{a}\right)^2 \times 1.534^2$$
 (5) ISO 15535 sample size

In Table H-1, the CV was primarily derived from the ANSUR II summary statistics. For measures not available in ANSUR II, summary statistics from ANSUR I (\*) and the Australian Warfighter Anthropometric Survey (†) were substituted. Summary statistics were not available for several measures as they were not measured in other military and civilian surveys reviewed. Recommended sample size could not be calculated for these measures. These measures are indicated by "NA" in Table J-1.

As the NZDF represents a finite sample of approximately 8900 individuals, the ISO sampling strategy tends to overestimate the true sampling requirements, particularly for measures requiring larger sample sizes. However, it does provide a reasonable metric to evaluate the quality of the sample achieved in the NZDFAS. Table J-1 also provides Cochran's corrected sample size for finite populations for the ISO 1% relative accuracy sample size. As per Cochran's recommendation, this correction is used when the estimated sample size is greater than 5% of the population or a sample size of 83 for women and 384 for men, based on 2019 NZDF populations estimates of 1,631 women and 7,677 men.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Table J-1. Minimum sampling rates for each measure in the NZDFAS according to ISO 15535:2008 guidance at relative accuracy (a) equal to 1% and 2%. Calculations are based on ANSUR II summary statistics, ANSUR I (\*) and the Australian Warfighter Anthropometric Survey (†). Cochran's corrected sample size is provided for the ISO 1% relative accuracy sample. The Actual sample size is colour-coded to indicate where minimum sampling requirements have been met: green = the ISO 2% and the Cochran's corrected ISO 1% requirements are met; amber = the ISO 2% requirement is met but the Cochran's corrected 1% is not met; and red = none of the minimum sample size requirements are met.

NZDFAS Measure		M	ales		Fe			
	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)
Abdominal Extension Depth, Sitting	597	1492	485	1249	165	1696	424	1389
Acromial Height	786	174	44	170	211	171	43	167
Acromial Height, Sitting	700	226	56	220	195	217	54	211
Acromion-Radiale Length	755	246	61	238	199	275	69	266
Ankle Circumference	788	369	92	352	211	431	108	408
Arm Span	759	197	49	192	205	226	56	220
Axilla Height	683	181	45	177	174	183	46	179
Ball of Foot Circumference	761	238	60	231	206	241	60	234
Ball of Foot Length	757	245	61	237	202	253	63	245
Biacromial Breadth	779	192	48	187	202	227	57	221
Biceps Circumference, Flexed	761	844	211	760	205	915	229	818
Bideltoid Breadth, Sitting	780	366	92	349	210	367	92	350
Bitragion Submandibular Arc	759	251	63	243	206	255	64	247
Bizygomatic Breadth	762	172	43	168	206	156	39	153
Buttock Circumference	773	511	128	479	200	499	125	469
Buttock Depth	620	1047	262	921	177	986	246	874
Buttock Height	780	286	71	276	206	272	68	263
Buttock-Heel Length	760	223	56	217	206	242	60	235
Buttock-Knee Length, Sitting	761	221	55	215	196	275	69	266
Buttock-Popliteal Length	658	269	67	260	162	317	79	304
Calf Circumference	782	518	130	485	209	527	132	493
Cervicale Height	732	157	39	154	205	164	41	161

UNCLASSIFIED

#### UNCLASSIFIED

NZDFAS Measure		Males Females						
	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)
Cervicale Height, Sitting*	693	183	46	179	191	200	50	195
Chest Breadth	778	360	90	344	208	430	108	407
Chest/Bust Circumference	787	616	154	570	209	689	172	632
Chest Depth	787	966	242	858	209	1103	276	965
Chest/Bust Height, Sitting*	786	283	71	273	209	430	108	407
Chest/Bust Height	690	176	44	172	194	203	51	198
Crotch Height	624	273	68	264	123	294	74	283
Crotch Length	723	40	10	40	189	40	10	40
Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Anterior	613	508	127	477	161	422	106	400
Crotch-Waist Length Preferred, Posterior	515	621	155	575	129	633	158	585
Elbow Circumference	786	NA	NA		209	NA	NA	
Elbow Rest Height	760	1236	309	1065	206	1153	288	1003
Elbow Rest Height, Sitting	686	1154	288	1003	189	977	244	867
Elbow-Fingertip Length	679	212	53	206	183	255	64	247
Elbow-Grip Length	760	241	60	234	204	291	73	280
Eye Height	740	NA	NA		210	NA	NA	
Eye Height, Sitting	687	154	38	151	195	149	37	146
Foot Breadth	760	239	60	232	206	240	60	233
Foot Length	758	211	53	205	203	230	58	223
Forearm-Forearm Breadth	761	697	174	639	206	621	155	575
Functional Grip Reach	760	NA	NA		206	NA	NA	
Hand Breadth	761	223	56	217	205	218	55	212
Hand Circumference	761	210	53	204	206	202	50	197
Hand Length	744	239	60	232	201	279	70	269
Head Breadth	759	116	29	114	206	112	28	110
Head Circumference	785	71	18	70	131	108	27	107
Head Length	759	112	28	110	206	139	35	137

UNCLASSIFIED

#### UNCLASSIFIED

NZDFAS Measure		Μ	ales		Females			
	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)
Hip Breadth, Sitting	689	573	143	533	189	609	152	564
Hip Breadth, Standing	476	441	110	417	97	513	128	481
Hip Circumference, Maximum	471	382	95	364	86	394	98	375
Iliocristale Height	670	217	54	211	165	225	56	219
Index Finger Breadth, Distal	761	NA	NA		206	NA	NA	
Index Finger Breadth, Proximal	761	NA	NA		206	NA	NA	
Index Finger Reach	758	NA	NA		206	NA	NA	
Interpupillary Breadth	747	258	64	250	197	311	78	299
Knee Circumference	685	359	90	343	173	456	114	430
Knee Height	787	300	75	289	208	303	76	292
Knee Height, Sitting	767	230	57	223	210	253	63	245
Malleolus-Hallux Length	544	NA	NA		148	NA	NA	
Neck Circumference, Base	785	315	79	303	196	253	63	245
Palm Length	744	259	65	251	201	270	67	261
Popliteal Height	688	301	75	290	174	334	83	320
Radiale-Stylion Length	748	299	75	288	196	360	90	344
Shoulder-Elbow Length	770	226	57	220	204	241	60	234
Sitting Height	674	137	34	135	170	135	34	133
Sleeve Outseam	741	244	61	237	197	265	66	256
Stature	788	138	34	136	211	140	35	138
Suprasternale Height	787	164	41	161	208	167	42	163
T2 Height <sup>+</sup>	764	167	42	163	202	159	40	156
Tenth Rib Height	717	207	52	202	201	203	51	198
Thigh Circumference	745	790	197	716	193	741	185	676
Thigh Clearance	578	674	169	620	164	634	158	586
Thumbtip Reach	757	261	65	252	206	298	75	287
Tibiale-Laterale Height	756	292	73	281	203	296	74	285

UNCLASSIFIED
## UNCLASSIFIED

NZDFAS Measure		Males			Females			
	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)	Actual	1%	2%	Cochran (ISO 1%)
Trochanterion Height	396	269	67	260	128	252	63	244
Vertical Trunk Circumference	531	261	65	252	135	215	54	209
Waist Breadth	599	1023	256	903	173	1091	273	955
Waist Circumference, Natural	787	1275	319	1094	207	1218	304	1051
Waist Circumference, Preferred	678	NA	NA		145	NA	NA	
Waist Depth	728	1928	482	1541	203	1960	490	1562
Waist Height Preferred, Natural and Posterior	761	220	55	214	182	235	59	228
Weight	748	2498	625	1885	205	2375	594	1814
Wrist Circumference	786	234	59	227	204	231	58	224

## Symbols and Abbreviations

3-D	3-Dimension
AMU	Aviation Medical Unit
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ANSUR	Army Anthropometric Survey
AUT	Auckland University of Technology
AWAS	Australian Warfighter Anthropometric Survey
CFAS	Canadian Forces Anthropometric Survey
CFLS	Canadian Forces Land Survey
CV	Coefficient of Variation
DRDC	Defence Research and Development Canada
DST-Group	Defence Science and Technology Group
DTA	Defence Technology Agency
DST	Defence Science and Technology
DTSO	Defence Science and Technology Organisation
FVEY	Five Eyes
GPU	General Purpose Uniform
GWD	General Work Dress
IP	Interpupillary Distance
ISAK	International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry
ISO	International Standards Organization
JNCO/E	Junior Non-Commissioned Officers and Enlisted
JO/Cadet	Junior Officers and Cadets
LINZ	Life in New Zealand
ISWRUP	In-Service Weapons Upgrade Program
MCU	Multi-terrain Combat Uniform
NCO	Non-Commissioned Officer
NZDF	New Zealand Defence Force
NZDFAS	New Zealand Defence Force Anthropometric Survey
OAHS	Operations Analysis and Human Systems
PEDA	Personal Data

PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
RNZAF	Royal New Zealand Air Force
SD	Standard Deviation
SE	Standard Error
SNCO	Senior Non-Commissioned Officers
SO	Senior Officers
SPRINZ	Sports Performance Research Institute of New Zealand
ТТСР	The Technical Coordination Program